



IN THE COURT OF PRL. CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC, ANEKAL.

PRESENT: **Sri. Shankarareddy.D.V.**, L.L.B., PGD in IR & PM.,
Prl. Civil Judge and JMFC, Anekal.

Dated: This the 16th day of June 2026

CC.No.7359/2021

Complainant : The PSI of Sarjapura PS, Sarjapura.
[Represented By Learned A.P.P)]

V/s.

Accused : **1. Bharath S/o Munisadappa,**
Age: 18 years,
2. Rajappa S/o Bettappa,
Age: 45 years,
3. Kanthamma S/o Munisadappa,
Age: 41 years,
All are R/at: Bikkanahalli village,
Sarjapura Hobli, Anekal Taluk,
Bengaluru District.

(Rep. By Sri.R.M Advocate)

*_*_*

Date of commission of offence : 22-11-2020
Date of report of offence : 24-11-2020
Offence complained of : U/s.324 & 506 R/w 34 of IPC.
Name of the complainant : Nethra,
Date of commencement of evidence : 23-04-2026
Date of closing of evidence : 23-04-2026

Judgment : 16-06-2026.

**Prl. Civil Judge & JMFC.
Anekal.**

J U D G M E N T

The PSI of Sarjapura PS, Anekal Taluk filed charge-sheet against the accused No.1 to 3 for the offences punishable U/s.324, 504 R/w 34 of IPC.

2. Brief facts of the prosecution case is set out hereunder;

On 22-11-2020 at about 2.00PM the accused No.1 to 3 having previous enmity against CW-1, picked up quarrel with CW-1 at Bikkanahalli village, Sarjapura Hobli, Anekal Taluk and assaulted her with club and abused her in vulgar language and thereby the accused No.1 to 3 committed the aforesaid offences.

3. After filing of charge-sheet, this court has taken cognizance for the aforesaid offences and ordered to issue summons to the accused No.1 to 3 after registration of criminal case. Accordingly, present criminal case registered against the accused No.1 to 3 and issued summons to the accused No.1 to 3.

4. On receipt of summons, accused No.1 to 3 appeared before the court through counsel and enlarged on bail. Subsequently, charge-sheet copy supplied to the accused No.1 to 3 in terms of Sec.207 of Cr.P.C. Thereafter, charges prepared for the offences punishable U/s.324, 504 R/w 34 of IPC and explained the same to the accused No.1 to 3, to which accused No.1 to 3 pleaded not guilty and claimed for trial. Hence, matter set down for prosecution evidence.

5. To prove its case, prosecution examined one witness as PW-1 and documents marked as Ex.P1 to 3. Thereafter, statement of accused in terms of section 313 Cr.P.C., dispensed as no incriminating evidence against accused.

6. Having heard both side and on perusal material available on record, the following points arise for consideration;

POINTS

1. Whether the prosecution proves beyond reasonable doubt that on 22-11-2020 at about 2.00PM the accused No.1 to 3 having previous enmity against CW-1, picked up quarrel with CW-1 at Bikkanahalli village, Sarjapura Hobli, Anekal Taluk and assaulted her with club and abused her in vulgar language and thereby the accused No.1 to 3 committed an offences punishable U/s.324, 504 R/w 34 of IPC?
2. What order?

7. On appreciation of material available on record, the above points are answered as under:-

Point No.1	:	In the Negative,
Point No.2	:	As per the final order, for the following;

REASONS

8. **Point No.1:-** PW1 being complainant/victim deposed in her examination-in-chief that 5 years ago she signed to Ex.P1 and 2 (complaint and mahazure) at the request of police and she does not know the averments contains therein and she further deposed that police have not conducted any mahazure in her presence and she further deposed that no quarrel took place between her and accused and she was not subjected any assault at the hands of accused and accused have not abused her in vulgar language.

In the said manner, PW-1 being star witness to the prosecution case completely turned hostile. Hence, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor elaborately cross-examined PW-1, but failed to elicit any worthy answers in support of her case. In the circumstance, if other circumstantial witnesses as described in the charge-sheet are examined, no purpose would be served ; rather their examination would consume valuable time of the court and prosecution. Therefore, the other circumstantial witnesses as described in the

charge-sheet are not examined. Thus, absolutely no material available on record to point the guilt of the accused No.1 to 3 for the aforesaid offences. Therefore, this court opines that prosecution failed to prove its case beyond doubt that accused No.1 to 3 committed the offences punishable U/s.324, 504 R/w 34 of IPC. In the result, point No.1 answered in the Negative.

9. Point No.2:- For the reasons assigned in Point No.1, this court proceeds to pass the following ;

ORDER

Accused No.1 to 3 are hereby acquitted of the offences punishable under section U/s.324, 504 R/w 34 of IPC.

Property ie., 3 feet club which was said to have seized by the Investigating officer during the course of investigation and reported to the court in PF No.123(A)/2020 is ordered to be destroyed after expiry of appeal period as same is worthless.

Bail bonds executed by the accused No.1 to 3 and their sureties stand discharged after expiry of six months from today.

{Dictated to the Stenographer and typed by her the same is corrected and then pronounced by me in the open court on this 16th day of June 2026.}

**Prl. Civil Judge & JMFC.
Anekal.**

:ANNEXURES:**I. WITNESS EXAMINED FOR PROSECUTION SIDE:**

P.W.1 - Nethra,

II. WITNESS EXAMINED FOR ACCUSED PERSONS: -NIL-**III. DOCUMENTS GOT MARKED FOR PROSECUTION:**

Ex.P.1 - Complaint,
Ex.P.1(a) - Signature,
Ex.P.2 - Mahazure,
Ex.P.2(a) - Signature,
Ex.P.3 - Statement of PW-1,

IV. DOCUMENTS GOT MARKED FOR ACCUSED PERSONS: -NIL-

(Sri. Shankarareddy D.V)
Prl. Civil Judge & JMFC.
Anekal.