

CNR No:PBFZ020006532026 CASE No:CS-307-2026

Ranjit Singh vs Sohan Lal

Present: Sh. Satish Kumar Sharma Advocate,counsel for plaintiff

Heard on the interim stay application whereby learned counsel for plaintiff argued that plaintiff was owner of the land measuring 7 kanal 3 marla as per sale deed copy of which is annexed with the plaint as Annexure-P1. Learned counsel further argued that out of this land plaintiff has sold 2 kanals of land to Sohan Lal (deceased) son of Munshi Ram vide registered sale deed dated 19.11.1997 and also delivered the possession of said property at the time of sale deed. Further plaintiff also sold 1 kanal 10 marla of land out of above said 7 Kanals and 3 marla of land to M/s Abrol Cattle Feed Ferozepur City through Rakesh Kumar being proprietor of the firm and delivered its possession on execution of sale deed. Hence the remaining land i.e. 3 kanal 3 marla is in possession of plaintiff as owner. Further defendants have no right in the remaining land of plaintiff but they are interfering in his peaceful possession. Plaintiff has further requested them not to interfere in his exclusive possession but despite his repeated requests, defendants have paid no heed. Hence the present application.

In support of contentions raised above, learned Counsel has placed on record copy of jamabandi of year 2018-19, photostat copy of sale deed dated 27.02.1997, copy of sale deed dated 23.12.1997, copy of sale deed dated 19.11.1997 and photostate copy of order dated 01.07.2009.

After considering the submissions of the Ld. Counsel for plaintiff and after perusal of above said record relied upon by the

plaintiffs, prima facie case is made out in favour of plaintiff at this stage. In these circumstances, this court is of the opinion that, urgency arises in the present suit. If the stay is not granted, the purpose for filing the present suit as well as application shall be frustrated. Accordingly defendants are restrained from dispossessing the plaintiff from the suit property and from interfering in his exclusive possession on the same, except the due course of law till next date of hearing. This order shall not effect the rights of any other party in any way except the parties to suit.

Plaintiff is directed to get effected service of defendants, failing which ex-parte stay order will automatically be vacated.

Compliance of order 39 Rule 3 CPC be made within 24 hours. Notice of the suit as well as application be issued to the defendants for 02.03.2026 on filing of PF/RC and requisite number of copies of plaint. Dasti service be given if requested.

Date of Order: 20.02.2026

(Amandeep Kaur Rathor)
Civil Judge Junior Division-5,
Ferozepur
UID No . PB00663