

SC.No.286/2023
PW.8

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRL. DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT,
BENGALURU.**

SC.No.286/2023

CW.10 IS EXAMINED AS PW.8

WITNESS DULY SWORN ON 03-09-2025.

Deposition of	Rajashekar B
Father's Name	Beerappa
Age	36 Years
Occupation	Agriculturist
Residence	Dandupalya, Hosakote

EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY LEARNED PP:

I have put my signatures to panch notice and panchanama now shown to me on 11-07-2022 near V.R.Grand Apartment at Rooby Layout. Said panch notice and panchanama are marked as Ex.P.25 and 26 respectively. Signatures of witness on these documents are marked as Ex.P.25(a) and 26(a) respectively. Said panchanama was drafted from 11:15 am to 11:45 am. At

the time of drawing up of panchanama, accused has shown the spot of incident and at that time, myself, one Praveen and police officers were there. A murder had taken place in that place on 26-06-2022. At that time, photograph was taken. Now I have seen said photograph. It is marked as Ex.P.27 subject to production of Certificate U/s 65-B of the Indian Evidence Act. In that photograph, myself, Praveen, accused and police are visible. I am standing by the left side of police in that photograph. The person who is standing by my left side is Praveen. Accused is also visible in that photograph, who is standing on left side of the person who has worn blue colour shirt. Said panchanama was drafted in Laptop in that place and its printout was taken and then I have put signature to it. Witness has properly identified the accused before Court who is produced before Court from JC through VC.

2. After drawing up of panchanama as per Ex.P.26, accused has taken us and police to Varadhapura near a temple and has shown a place where bushes were

grown and has made search and produced the hammer. At that time, the police have seized it, put it in White bag and sealed it. I can identify said article.

(As per request of learned P.P., the intact sealed cover is opened in presence of accused and learned counsel for accused)

3. Now I have seen the hammer which was seized at that spot. It is marked as MO.12. The signature on the chit pasted on the White bag over MO.12 is my signature. At that spot, police have examined it, prepared panchanama in Laptop, took its printout and then took my signature to it. It was lunch time. Said panchanama might have drawn from 12:30 noon to 01:30 pm. Even in that spot, police have taken photograph. Now I have seen said panchanama. It is marked as Ex.P.28. My signature on it is marked as Ex.P.28(a). At that time, police have taken 4 photographs as now shown to me. They are marked as Ex.P.29 to 32 subject to production of Certificate U/s 65-B of the Indian Evidence Act. In this photograph also, myself, Praveen, accused and others are

visible.

**CROSS-EXAMINATION BY SRI.MCP ADVOCATE FOR
ACCUSED:**

4. I studied upto 2nd PUC. The distance between my village and Hosakote Police Station is about 1-1½ kms. Hosakote police officers are not acquainted to me. I was in my village when police have issued notice to me. CW.11-Prasad is from our village. Hence, I know him. Police have issued notice to me at about 11:00 am-12:00 noon. I have not seen the issuance of notice to CW.11. After issuance of notice to me, along with police, I had been to the spot of incident where panchanama was drawn. When we reached said spot, it was about 11:15 am. CW.11 came to said spot separately after I reached the spot. Police have typed the notice at the spot where I was there. I do not know the name of police officer who has typed the notice and took its printout. Before I putting signature to notice, CW.11 had not put his signature to it. I do not know when he had put signature to it.

5. Accused and police accompanied to the spot of incident. When notice was issued to me, accused was also there. We went to the spot of incident in police jeep. At the spot, police have enquired the accused. I was standing about 5-10 feet away from the accused and police and hence, I have not heard what was their conversation. Accused was talking in Hindi language. I do not know Hindi language. Hence also, I do not know what was their conversation. The conversation between accused and police took place for about half an hour. At that spot, the neighbouring house people were not there at that time. At the time of conversation between accused and police only, police have made spot inspection.

6. I do not know the name of police officer who has typed the panchanama and took its printout. I do not know the names of Companies of said Laptop and Printer. I cannot say from which instrument, photographs were taken. I do not know the name of police officer who has taken photographs. I have not seen Ex.P.27 earlier to today after taking it. The date and time of taking

photograph is not visible in Ex.P.27. It is true to suggest that the photograph was taken at the place where building was under construction.

7. The distance between the spot where Ex.P.26-panchanama was drawn and the place where Ex.P.28-panchanama was drawn is about half Km. Accused has told to take them to the spot where weapon was hidden.

(At this stage, the Court time is over. Hence, further cross examination is deferred)

(The deposition of the witness is typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

(Smt.Geetha K.B)
Prl. District and Sessions Judge,
Bengaluru Rural District,
Bengaluru.