

SC.No.286/2023
PW.6

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRL. DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT,
BENGALURU.**

SC.No.286/2023

CW.1 IS EXAMINED AS PW.6

WITNESS DULY SWORN ON 31-07-2025.

Deposition of	Annapoorna
Husband's Name	Raghavendra
Age	26 Years
Occupation	Mason
Residence	Ballodige village, Chittapura, Kalburgi District.

EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY LEARNED PP:

My husband-Raghavendra died about 3 years back. Before his death, he was working as mason at Roobi Colony, Hosakote with mesthri Parappa-(CW.12). At that time, we were residing in Jopadi Shed near Gokul Apartment, Kannuralli Road, Hosakote.

2. Generally, my husband was going to his duty

at 08:00 am., and coming back at 06:00 pm. At the time of death of my husband, I had two sons. At that time, I was pregnant. Now, I have one more son.

3. About 3 years prior to my deposition on one Sunday at about 02:00 pm., my husband had been to CW.12 to get wages. Afterwards, he had not return. Hence, I have called him through the phone of my neighbourer-Siddappa. At that time, my husband told me that he was at Roobi Colony and will come back. At about 08:00 pm., once again I have called to my husband's phone but, it was switched-off. On that night, I was waiting for him, but he has not come back. On next day morning at about 07:00 am., CW.12 came to my house and told that my husband is murdered. Afterwards, I had been to Roobi Colony and saw the dead body of my husband. There was injury on his head, blood ooze out from it and he was already dead. Afterwards, CW.12 has brought police to said place. At that time, police have taken the photograph of dead body. On that day at 11:00 am., I have lodged complaint before police. I do not know

reading and writing. I can only put my LTM. Now I have seen said complaint. It is marked as Ex.P.20. I have only put my LTM to it.

4. CW.12 told me that my husband has to give Rs.2,000/- to one Vicky. With regard to said amount, there was quarrel between Roni & Vicky and my husband and in that regard, my husband was murdered.

5. At the spot of incident, police have collected a bunch of beedi which was in the pocket of my husband, a pair of slipper and black colour keypad mobile phone of my husband and also collected the bloodstained mud and sample mud and seized them and drew panchanama. Now I have seen said panchanama. It is marked as Ex.P.21. I can identify those articles.

(As per request of learned P.P., the intact 5 sealed bags are opened in presence of accused and learned counsel for accused)

6. Now I have seen keypad mobile phone, sample mud, a pair of slipper, a bunch of beedi and bloodstained

mud. They are marked as MO.5 to 9 respectively.

7. At the time of conducting panchanama, police have taken photographs as per Ex.P.7 to 15.

8. After drawing up of panchanama, police have taken dead body to M.G. Hospital. I have also went to said Hospital. At that time, police have conducted Inquest and have taken photographs. Now I have seen those two photographs. They are marked as Ex.P.22 and 23 subject to marking of Certificate U/s 65-B of the Evidence Act. In Ex.P.23 photograph, I am visible.

9. One month after this, police have called me to the Police Station. At that time, police have told that accused No.1 by name Vicky was traced and shown him to me. I can identify him. Witness has properly identified the accused No.1 who is produced before Court through VC from JC. At that time, I have given further statement before police.

(At this stage, learned PP prays to treat the witness as

partly hostile. On perusal of records and the submission of learned PP, learned PP is permitted to treat the witness as partly hostile).

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY LEARNED PP:

10. It is true to suggest that my husband had been out of the house on 26-06-2022 at 02:00 pm. It is true to suggest that on next day morning at 09:00 am., CW.12 informed the facts to me. It is true to suggest that afterwards, I had been to the spot, I had seen the dead body of my husband and then I had been to Hosakote Police Station and has given my statement, which was reduced into computerized script by the police.

11. It is true to suggest that after receipt of said complaint, police came to the spot and drew spot panchanama from 01:30 to 02:30 pm. It is true to suggest that at that time, two witnesses-CW.2 and 3 were present. It is true to suggest that at that time, my husband had possessed two keypad phones. One was black colour which is already marked as MO.5 and another was green colour keypad mobile. It is true to suggest that at the spot

where dead body of my husband was lying, there was plywood sheet also lying and it was bloodstained and it was also seized by the police. I can identify those two items.

(As per request of learned P.P., the intact 2 sealed bags are opened in presence of accused and learned counsel for accused)

12. Now I have seen the green colour keypad mobile which was with my husband and it is marked as MO.10. It was having the SIM. Now I have seen that bloodstained plywood sheet, which was lying by the side of my husband and it is marked as MO.11.

**CROSS-EXAMINATION BY SRI.MCP ADVOCATE FOR
ACCUSED:**

13. The distance between the place in which we were residing and the Roobi Colony was about 5 kms. We were residing in said shed for about 3 years. We were having acquaintance with CW.12 only since two weeks prior to the death of my husband. I did not possess his

phone number. My husband was working with CW.12 only since two weeks prior to his death. Before death of my husband, I had not been to Roobi Colony. I cannot say the phone numbers of my husband. However, his phone number was written in a paper and by taking it, I had been to neighbourer-Siddappa and thus, Siddappa had called my husband. I have not shown said chit to police and not produced before police. I had not taken Siddappa to the Police Station and not showed him.

14. At 08:00 pm., when I called, the phone of my husband was switched-off and afterwards, till next day morning, I have not contacted anyone and not obtained help of anyone to go to Roobi Colony. My husband was drinking alcohol everyday. My husband had taken loan before his death. During his lifetime, my husband because of intoxication due to drinking alcohol, had made some petty quarrels.

15. It is false to suggest that the persons who have lent money to my husband were coming to our hut and

insist for repayment. My husband had taken loan only with A1 and absconded A2 and not with others. My husband never spoken with me that he had taken loan with A1 and absconded A2.

16. When I had been to Police Station to lodge complaint, I had not stated anything about the loan taken by my husband with A1 and absconded A2 because, I was not aware about it at that time. I was not aware that who were working with my husband. Before death of my husband, I had no acquaintance with A1 or absconded A2 or any other workers who were working with my husband. I am not visible in Ex.P.7 to 15. At the time of taking photographs, I have not put my LTM to any documents. It is true to suggest that at the time of taking photographs as per Ex.P.7 to 15, I was not at the spot.

17. Police have not issued notice to me to give further statement but, one of the police came and called me to the Police Station. I do not remember the said date and month. CW.2 and 3 are not known to me. They might

have been aged about 25 years. My husband was talking with black colour mobile i.e., MO.5.

RE-EXAMINATION:

Nil

(The deposition of the witness is typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

(Smt.Geetha K.B)
Prl. District and Sessions Judge,
Bengaluru Rural District,
Bengaluru.