

SC.No.286/2023
PW.3

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRL. DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT,
BENGALURU.**

SC.No.286/2023

CW.23 IS EXAMINED AS PW.3

WITNESS DULY SWORN ON 22-08-2024.

Deposition of	Nagaraj
Father's Name	T.Muniyappa
Age	45 Years
Occupation	HC 601, Hosakote Police Station
Residence	Hosakote

EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY LEARNED I/C PP:

Since September 2021, I am working as Head Constable at Hosakote Police Station.

2. On 27-06-2022, I along with CW.24 and 25 were deputed by the IO to find out the culprit of present case. We have made efforts to search A1 at his residence and the places where he worked earlier and then, we got

credible information that he may be available at Bengaluru Railway Station or K.R.Puram Railway Station and then we had been to Bengaluru Railway Station and then to K.R.Puram Railway Station and at about 08:45 am, we found A1 near the Station and on suspicion, we caught hold him and produced before IO at 09:20 am with report. Now I have seen report and it is marked as Ex.P.5. My signature on it is marked as Ex.P.5(a). I can identify said accused. Now I have seen him. The witness properly identified A1 who is produced before Court through VC.

3. The IO has given written notice to us to find the culprit. Now I have seen said written notice and it is marked as Ex.P.6.

**CROSS-EXAMINATION BY SRI.MCP ADVOCATE FOR
ACCUSED:**

4. When we were deputed to find out the culprit, the photograph of A1 was not given and his description was also not given to us. We identified the culprit through

the information given by our informant. It is true to suggest that I have not mentioned this fact in Ex.P.5. Before said date, I had not seen A1 and I was not acquainted with him. It is false to suggest that name of A1 was not known to me before he was caught hold. It is true to suggest that name of accused is not mentioned in Ex.P.6. I have not mentioned in the report that in which places we have made enquiry about A1. It is true to suggest that K.R.Puram Railway Station is heavy density place.

5. It is true to suggest that it is not mentioned in Ex.P.5 that who had first seen A1 at K.R.Puram Railway Station. Witness volunteers that we all three have seen A1 at a time as shown by the informant. On that day, we had been to find out culprit not in uniform. A1 was sitting on the platform of front side of the K.R.Puram Railway Station. However, I have not mentioned it in Ex.P.5.

6. It is false to suggest that A1 was not on the

platform of front side of the K.R.Puram Railway Station. It is further false to suggest that hence, I have not mentioned that fact in Ex.P.5. It is true to suggest that on 11-07-2022, we have apprehended A1 and produced before IO.

7. We have made search for A1 for about 14 days. On 11-07-2022, we had been to K.R.Puram Railway Station in Car. It is false to suggest that on 11-07-2022, myself, CW.24 and 25 have not been to K.R.Puram Railway Station and not seen A1 there and not caught hold him in that place and not produced before IO.

RE-EXAMINATION:Nil

(The deposition of the witness is typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

(Smt.Geetha K.B)
Prl. District and Sessions Judge,
Bengaluru Rural District,
Bengaluru.