

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRL. DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT,
BENGALURU.**

SC.No.219/2022

CW.2 IS EXAMINED AS PW.3

WITNESS IS DULY SWORN ON 13-11-2025.

Deposition of	Rahul singh
Father's Name	Yogesh Kumar
Age	26 years
Occupation	Private work
Residence	Yelachenahalli

EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY LEARNED PP:

Witness admits his signature on notice marked as Ex.P.1 and signature as Ex.P.1(b). He also identifies his signature on Ex.P.2. Marked as Ex.P.2(b). About 2-3 years back during night hours after dinner when I was coming back to home, I signed these documents in Empire Hotel in Raghuvanahalli. I am not aware of the contents of the documents. I do not know why the

police have taken my signature to those documents. Police have not seized anything in my presence. I have not given statement to police. I Know CW.3 Pavan.

(At this stage, learned PP prays to treat the witness as hostile. On perusal of records and the submission of learned PP, learned PP is permitted to treat the witness as hostile).

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY LEARNED PP:

2. It is true to suggest that on 03-08-2022 the police called me and Pavan to Police Station through their staff at 5.00 pm. It is false to suggest that the police have taken me and pavan to Amruth Nagar BDA Layout Bridge. It is false to suggest that we found 5 persons armed with deadly weapon and making preparations to commit dacoity which were taken to custody by police. It is false to suggest that the police have apprehended four persons out of five in our presence. It is false to suggest that those persons were holding deadly weapons and on enquiry, they told their

names as Chethan who was holding one sickle and he is a rowdy-sheeter. It is false to suggest that the other person apprehended was Santosh Kumar who was holding a knife and he is also a rowdy-sheeter. It is false to suggest that other person apprehended was Deepak Kumar who was holding a club and he is also a rowdy-sheeter. It is false to suggest that the other person apprehended was Goutham who was holding a chilly powder and he is also a rowdy-sheeter. It is false to suggest that the police have seized the articles and apprehended the accused and prepared mahazar in my presence and I signed both notice and mahazar at the spot. It is false to suggest that in order to support the accused, I am deposing falsely. The statement is marked as Ex.P.4. It is false to suggest that even though the entire incident occurred in my presence and I have given statement, I am deposing falsely.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ADVOCATE FOR

ACCUSED:

NIL

(The deposition of the witness is typed to my dictation
in the open court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

(Smt.B.S.Rekha)
Prl. District and Sessions Judge,
Bengaluru Rural District,
Bengaluru.