

**IN THE COURT OF VI ADDL. DISTRICT &
SESSIONS JUDGE, BENGALURU RURAL
DISTRICT, BENGALURU.**

Dated this the 25th day of March, 2026

PRESENT

Sri. Devananda, B.Com., LL.M.
VI Addl. District & Sessions Judge,
Bengaluru Rural District, Bengaluru.

SC No.195/2022

Complainant: State by Electronic City
Police Station.

(By Public Prosecutor)

-Vs-

Accused : A1. Abdul Rehaman,
S/o Abdul Khadhar,
Aged about 29 years,
R/at Near Ragi Machine and Green
Medical, B block, Subhashnagara,
Begur Hobli, Bengaluru.

(Rep. By Sri. SA- Advocate)

ORDER

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 of Cr. PC. praying to grant bail in his favour in present SC No.195/2022 on the file of this court, Bengaluru Rural District, Bengaluru, arising out of Crime No.20/2022 of Electronic City police station, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 392 and 397 of Indian Penal Code.

2. Brief facts of the prosecution case are as hereunder:

The incident took place on February 4, 2022, between 19:00 and 19:30 hours. The crime occurred on a road in A.E.C.S. Layout, Subhash Nagar 'C' Block, Begur Hobli, which falls under the jurisdiction of the Electronic City Police Station, Bengaluru City. CW-1 had parked their auto-rickshaw to relieve themselves. The accused intercepted CW-1, threatened them with a knife, and forcibly robbed them. The investigation confirmed the act was committed with the illegal intent of gaining money by way of robbing purse containing cash of Rs.1240/-, Aadhar

card, Pan card. Based on the investigation, the charge sheet has been submitted to the court against the accused for the offences punishable under Section 392 and Section 397 of IPC. In the bail petition, the petitioner has assigned the reasons for preferring said application and seek for grant of bail.

3. The learned Public Prosecutor has filed objections and prays for rejecting of the bail petition on the basis of contentions raised in objection statement.

4. Heard and perused the materials placed on record.

5. The following points would arise for consideration:

Whether the petitioner is entitled to grant of bail under Section 439 of Cr. PC., as prayed for in the petition?

6. My answer to the above point is in the Affirmative for the following:

REASONS

7. As per the case of the prosecution, The incident was took place on February 4, 2022, between 19:00 and 19:30 hours. The concerned police have already completed the investigation and have preferred the charge sheet in CC No.7804/2022 in Cr.No.20/2022 and the case was committed by Jurisdictional Chief Judicial Magistrate to this court and the present case was registered against the accused. The accused was already on bail before the Committal court. In the present case, again the bail was granted on 23.12.2022 later the accused remained absent and surety was not furnished by him. Thereafter, the charges were framed against the accused. But he remained absent and has not furnished surety. It is after issuance of proclamation. He was produced before this court on 05.03.2026 and was remanded to judicial custody. The offences alleged against the accused are not punishable with death or imprisonment for life. The presence of accused/petitioner is very much required for the purpose of trial. The accused/petitioner has pleaded the difficulties and submits he is ready to abide by conditions of the court. He claims to be the bread earner of the family having kids.

He appears to be the permanent resident of the address mentioned in cause title. There are no previous criminal antecedents found against the accused. In respect of the apprehension expressed by the prosecution and by considering previous non-appearance of accused and issuance of coercive process for securing his presence imposing of one of the conditions to furnish cash security amount of Rs.30,000/- along with other conditions will fulfill the object under the facts and circumstances of this case. Hence the accused/petitioner has made out grounds. Accordingly, the above point is answered in the affirmative. Thus, I pass the following:

ORDER

The petition filed by the accused/petitioner under Section 439 of Cr.P.C., is allowed.

The accused/petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail in SC No.195/2022 arising out of CC No.7804/2022 in Crime No.20/2022 of Electronic City police station on his executing personal bond for the sum of Rs.50,000/ (Rupees Fifty Thousand only) along with a surety

for like sum to the satisfaction of this court and upon furnishing of sum of Rs.30,000/- as cash security in the office of this court, subject to the following conditions:

- 1) He shall not tamper with prosecution witnesses and shall not threaten the prosecution witnesses.

- 2) He shall be regular in his appearance before the court on all the dates of hearing.

(Dictated to the Stenographer Grade-I, transcribed by her and transcript, corrected by me and then pronounced in open Court on this the **25th Day of March, 2026**)

(Devananda)

VI Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge,
Bengaluru Rural District, Bengaluru.

Order pronounced in open court
vide separate

ORDER

The petition filed by the accused/
petitioner under Section 439 of Cr.P.C.,
is allowed.

The accused/petitioner is ordered
to be enlarged on bail in SC
No.195/2022 arising out of CC
No.7804/2022 in Crime No.20/2022 of
Electronic City police station on his
executing personal bond for the sum of
Rs.50,000/ (Rupees Fifty Thousand
only) along with a surety for like sum
to the satisfaction of the this court and
upon furnishing of sum of Rs.30,000/-
as cash security in the office of this
court, subject to the following
conditions:

- 1) He shall not tamper with prosecution witnesses
and shall not threaten the prosecution witnesses.
- 2) He shall be regular in his appearance before the
court on all the dates of hearing.

VI Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge,
Bengaluru Rural District, Bengaluru.