

**IN THE COURT OF IX ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
JUDGE, BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT, BENGALURU.**

SC NO.343/2019

CW.22/PW.16

WITNESS DULY SWORN ON 02.02.2026

NAME OF THE WITNESS	Dr. Indira L Asangi.
Husband NAME	G.V. Devendra.
AGE	49 years
OCCUPATION	Professor and HOD of Forensic Medican, Sri. Venkatateshwara Medical collage, Chennai.
RESIDENCE	Chennai.

EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY LEARNED P.P:

I am working as Assistant professor, Forensic Medicine at Rajarajeshwari Medical collage and Hospital, Bengaluru since from 2017 to June 2025. I received requisition from PSI, Kagallipur Police Station on 03.09.2018 at 2.50 PM to conduct PM of Majunatha.

2. On examination of the dead body at 2-55 p.m that of 35 years old male and found measuring 178 cm in length, moderately build, rigor mortis passed off. Decomposition has set in. the body is decomposed, discolored, disfigured, distended and foul smelling, hair of scalp easily pluckable,

Postmortem peeling of skin present at places marbling present at places eye balls protruded, bleaching and soddening of both hands and feet present, degloving and destocking of cuticle of hands and feet present Scrotum and penis distended. Tottoo ದರ್ಶನ written in Kannada present over outer aspect of front of right arm and right side of front of chest, Tattoo ವಜ್ರಮ್ಮ written in Kannada present over front of left side of chest.

Clothes and articles after examination dried, packed, labeled, sealed and handed over to concerned Police along with the sample seal.

At the time of conducting of P.M, I found 11 external injuries noticed in the P.M report.

On desection of the body, I noticed following internal injuries.

1. Scalp:- on reflection right side extravasation of blood noted at right partial region corresponding to external injures No.2. Bilateral temporalis contused skull- intact vertebrae:- transected at C6 -C7 vertebrae corresponding to external injury No.6.

2. Larynx described trachea cut at 2- 3rd rings.

3. Remaining all other organs are intact and pale.

4. All injuries are fresh and anti mortem in nature.
5. Fracture ends shows extravasation of blood.
6. Abrasions are bright red in colour.
6. Opinion as to the cause of death is shock and hemorrhage consequent to cut throat injury sustained. On the same day I given final opinion to the police. Now I have seen the PM report and it is marked as Ex.P.22 and witness signature is marked as Ex.P.22(a).

The injury mentioned in the PM report can be caused by using stone and Machete. The clothes on the dead body was given to the police is identified by the witness. Now which are marked One white T-Shirt as M.O.1, Black Jeenes pant as M.O.2, Blue colored underwear as M.O.3, Black thread as M.O.4, Silver colored metallic bangle as M.O.5, twine thread in three rows as M.O.6, twine thread tied at both ankles as M.O.7, Red cotton thread as M.O.8.

CROSS EXAMINATION ON BEHALF OF ACCUSED BY Sri. K.S.R Advocate:

I am working 4 years as Assistant professor. Before I joining Rajarajeshwari Medical Hospital I am working at Kollarpur, DY patil Hospital about 8 months. At the time of post mortem two technician (Mortuary workers) assist to

me. I have not mentioned these two person assist to me in conducting post mortem at Ex.P-22. The decomposition of body started between 36 to 48 hours at the time of conducting post mortem. It is false to suggest that once the body is started decomposition it is difficulty to identified the injuries on the dead body. It is false to suggest that, on decomposition of the body it is difficulty to exact the injures. It is true that, based on Mo's No.1 to 8 we can't ascertained cause of death. I am not produced the requisition given by the police to conduct P.M. It is false to suggest that, rigor mortis is an advanced P.M interval. It is true that, it is difficulty to find out exact time of death. It is false to suggest that, Mos 1 to 8 is not to related to P.M report. I did not find the injuries like self defense while murdering the person. I am not authorized to deposed it's a murder or suicide. But, the death caused due to inflict of sharp edged weapon. I have not mentioned the injures found on the dead body caused by sharp edged weapon. It is false to suggest that, I have assessed the cause of death and certain. It is true that, I could not say that, the injures caused by which weapon. It is true that I did not mentioned C6-C7 injuries are horizontal or vertical or measurement.

It is false to suggest that C6-C7 injuries only my assessment. I have not mentioned in Ex.P-22 injuries found are single stroke or multiple stroke.

The dead body was stored in the cold storage. I have not taken photographs of the dead body or at the time of conducting internal or external examination. I have not prepared separate injury chart. It is false to suggest that I could not say the exact cause of death. It is false to suggest that I prepared P.M report on the request of the police without conducting P.M. I have not received any weapon from the police. It is true that without receiving weapon I could not say the injuries inflicted which weapon. It is false to suggest that I am not conducting P.M properly.

RE-EXAMINATION: NIL

(Certify that deposition is dictated to typist in open court on computer directly)

R.O.A.C

IX Addl. District and Sessions Judge,
Bengaluru Rural District, Bengaluru.