

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
JUDGE, BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT, BENGALURU.**

SC.No.244/2024

CW.2 IS EXAMINED AS PW.2

WITNESS DULY SWORN ON 27-03-2025.

Deposition of	Hanumantha
Father's Name	Ganganna
Age	38 Years
Occupation	Provision store
Residence	Thigalarapalya

EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY LEARNED PP:

I have put signature to original of Ex.P.1-panchanama now shown to me at Byadarahalli Police Station in the year 2020 in the evening. At that time, Police were there. My neighborers were also present. Except Police and my neighborers, I have not observed that whether any other person was there at that time or not. At that time, nothing was seized in my presence. At that time, A3 who is present before Court was not there. I do not know the contents of Ex.P.1. I have not given any statement before Police

pertaining to this case.

(At this stage, learned PP prays to treat the witness as hostile. On perusal of records and the submission of learned PP, learned PP is permitted to treat the witness as hostile).

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY LEARNED PP:

2. It is true to suggest that I was in Byadarahalli Police Station on 17-03-2020. I do not know that at that time CW.3 was also present. It is false to suggest that on that day at about 09:00 pm, CW.8 had taken me, CW.3 and other staff to Bande Rock Pitch of Mahadeshwara Nagara. I do not know that at that isolated place, some 4-5 culprits by holding deadly weapons in their hands were making preparations to commit dacoity. I do not know that CW.8 confirmed about the information he gathered and he with his staffs-CW.4 to 7 tried to apprehend them and able to caught hold A1 to A4 and another person run away from said place. I do not know that CW.8 has seized two iron rods and two packets of chilly powder now shown to me from those accused persons. I do not know that at that spot, CW.8 has drawn panchanama from 09:30 to 10:30 pm. It is false to

suggest that I put my signature to said panchanama in that place at that point of time. It is false to suggest that at that time A3 who is present before Court was present and I have seen him in that place.

3. It is false to suggest that in that regard, I have given statement before IO as now read over to me. Copy of said statement is marked as Ex.P.4 for convenience. It is false to suggest that I know A3 very well and hence, only to assist him, I am deposing falsely.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY SRI.SG ADVOCATE FOR A3:

Nil

(The deposition of the witness is typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

(Smt.Geetha K.B)
Prl. District and Sessions Judge,
Bengaluru Rural District,
Bengaluru.