

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRL. DISTRICT AND  
SESSIONS JUDGE, BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT,  
BENGALURU.**

**SC.No.244/2024**

**CW.8 IS EXAMINED AS PW.1**

**WITNESS DULY SWORN ON 18-03-2025.**

Deposition of	Ajith Kumar Koluru
Father's Name	Sanganagowda
Age	33 Years
Occupation	PSI, Rajagopalanagara Police Station
Residence	Byadarahalli

**EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY LEARNED PP:**

I was working as PSI at Byadarahalli Police Station from February 2020 to June 2023.

2. On 17-03-2020 at 11:00 pm, PW.1 has produced his report cum complaint along with panchanama, two iron rods, two chilly powder packets and four accused persons before me. I have received them

and registered said complaint in Crime No.95/2020, prepared FIR and submitted to the Court. Now I have seen said true copies of said panchanama and complaint. They are marked as Ex.P.1 and 2 respectively. My endorsement on Ex.P.2 is marked as Ex.P.2(a) and true copy of FIR is marked as Ex.P.3. Then I have mentioned the seized articles in PF No.41/2020 and submitted to the Court. (Original documents are produced in SC No.68/2024 and it is still pending)

3. On the same day, I have arrested four accused persons at 11:30 pm., as per procedure and then kept them in suitable bandobasth. I have recorded the statements of CW.2 to 7.

4. On 18-03-2020, I have recorded the voluntary statements of A1 to A4 and then produced them before Court through my staff with remand application. I have deputed my staff to trace escaped A5 but, I could not trace him. Hence, on 28-04-2020, I have filed charge

sheet against all the five accused persons. I can identify the arrested accused person. Witness properly identified A3 before Court. I can identify the articles produced before me. Witness has properly identified two iron rods and two chilly powder packets, which are produced in SC No.68/2024 and marked as MO.1 to 4.

**CROSS-EXAMINATION BY SRI.SG ADVOCATE FOR A3:**

5. It is false to suggest that PW.1 has not produced Ex.P.1, 2 and MO.1 to 4 before me and I am deposing falsely on this point. It is false to suggest that all the above documents and articles are concocted in the Police Station and I have falsely implicated A3 in this case. It is false to suggest that PF memo is also concocted only for the purpose of this case. It is false to suggest that CW.2 to 7 have not given statements before me and I have concocted those statements. It is false to suggest that A3 has not given voluntary statement before me and I concocted it. It is false to suggest that I have filed false charge sheet against A3.

**RE-EXAMINATION:**

Nil

(The deposition of the witness is typed to my dictation in  
the open court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

(Smt.Geetha K.B)

Prl. District and Sessions Judge,  
Bengaluru Rural District,  
Bengaluru.