

Duly sworn on 19.03.2025

Cross examination by Sri.M.P. Advocate for accused:

Today I have not brought any MLC record pertaining to injured Ramesh, Lokesha and Kavitha. On last occasion once I have brought the MLC register, since it was required by another doctor it was taken by him. I was under the impression that today the said document is not required hence I didn't brought it. It is the team of doctors who have treated the Kavitha. On 17.11.2017, myself, Dr. Prakash, Surgeon and others were in the hospital, it is Dr. Prakash has operated Kavitha. Dr. Prakash has not given any opinion about the injuries found on Kavitha. It is true to suggest that, the wound certificate was not issued by operated Dr. Prakash. I have issued the wound certificates of all. It is false to suggest that, to oblige the I.O. I have issued all three wound certificate as per the wish of I.O. The police have given written requisition for examination of weapon. It is false to suggest that, police have not given any written requisition to me. I do not know, whether said requisition produced before the court. There are two weapons M.O.6 and 7 a knife and a metallic blade were given for my inspection and opinion. It is true to suggest that, both weapons are different type of weapons. It is false to suggest that, I have not stated that by making use of which weapon which particular injuries has been caused to which particular person. It is true to suggest that, the tip of M.O.6 has sharp edge. It is false to suggest that, if this weapon has been used there

will be taling over the body. In wound certificate column provided for specifying the history of injury and caused by whom. It is false to suggest that, I have not mentioned the details in the said column about the persons who caused assault or injury. It is true to suggest that, at the time of giving treatment to Ramesh and Lokesh they were conscious but they were disoriented. It is true to suggest that, I have not mentioned the said facts in wound certificate. It is false to suggest that, injuries found on the Ramesh, Lokesh and Kavitha cannot be caused by making use of weapons M.O.6 and M.O.7 and I am deposing false. It is false to suggest that, in order to oblige the I.O., I have issued such wound certificates. It is false to suggest that, these types of injuries to the persons could be caused by broken glass pieces or other sharp edged articles or objects. It is false to suggest that, the police have sent any weapons for my examination and I am deposing false. It is false to suggest that, to suit the convenience of police, I have given my opinion. It is false to suggest that, I am deposing false against the accused at the instance of police.

Re-examination: Nil

(Typed to my dictation in the Open court.)

R.O.I & A.C.

6th Addl. District & Sessions Judge,
Bengaluru Rural District, Bengaluru.