

WITNESS IS PRESENT THROUGH V.C. AT 11.55 A.M.

Witness is recalled and duly sworn on 26-10-2021

Cross-examination: By Sri. Advocate for accused:

It is true to suggest that the injury No.1 and 3 are mentioned incised wound, the size and width of the wounds are different. It is true to suggest that the injury No.2 and 4 are mentioned stab injury, the size, length and width of the wounds are different. I did not get requisition from the concerned I.O for the examination of the weapon of offence.

2. It is false to suggest that the injury No.3 is mentioned in the P.M. report it is possible to cause sharp edge of glass piece and sharp edge Iron rod. It is true to suggest that the injury No.3 is surgical wound that was a reason could not exact cause of injury.

3. It is false to suggest the in ordered to help the Investigation Officer, I had given wrong opinion to help the prosecution case.

Re-Examination: Nil.

[Typed to my dictation in the open court]

R.O.I. & A. C.

(Chennappa Gouda)
VI Addl. District & Sessions Judge.
Bengaluru Rural District,
Bengaluru.

