

Presented on : 06.06.2026  
Registered on : 06.06.2026  
Decided on : 16.06.2026  
Duration : 10 days.

**IN THE COURT OF I ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND  
SESSIONS JUDGE, BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT,  
BENGALURU**

**Dated this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2025**

**:PRESENT:**

Shri. Mohammed Mujeer Ulla C.G.,  
B.A. LL.B,  
II Additional District and Sessions Judge,  
&  
C/C I Additional District and Sessions Judge  
Bengaluru Rural District, Bengaluru.

**Criminal Miscellaneous No.1155/2026**

**Petitioner** : Koushal @ Koushal Kumar,  
S/o. Ravindranath.V.S,  
Aged about 19 years,  
Permanent resident of No. 38,  
Balabhoomi City, TVS Road,  
Kothur village,  
Hosur Hobli,  
Krishnagiri District,  
Tamilnadu State - 635 109.

(Repted. by Sri. A.S. Kishor Kumar, Adv.)

Vs.

**Respondent** : The State through CEN Police  
Station, Bengaluru Rural District.  
(Represented by the learned  
Public Prosecutor)

**ORDER**

Petitioner has filed the instant petition U/Sec.483 of BNSS, 2023 seeking regular bail in Crime No.189/2025 of respondent CEN Police Station, Bengaluru Rural District, Bengaluru for the offences punishable U/Sec.66(c), 67, 67(a) of Information Technology Act, 2008 and 75(1)(iv), 78(2) of BNS.

2. The learned Public Prosecutor has filed objections.
3. Heard the arguments on both side and perused record.
4. The Points for consideration are:
  1. Whether the petitioner is entitle for regular bail?
  2. What order?
5. My findings on the above points are as under:

Point No.1: In the Affirmative

Point No.2. As per final order

For the following;

**REASONS**

6. **Point No.1:-** In the complaint, it is stated that the complainant is having Instagram ID No. aishvile, <https://www.instagram.com/aishvileigsh=MW1weHdOMThtMjFibQ> in

her cell phone. On 15.11.2025, at about 1.50 p.m, she received a message from unknown person. In the said message, the unknown person created a reel by using her photo and in the said reel, he used abusive language against her. After seeing the said message and reel, on 18.11.2025, the complainant lodged complaint before the SHO of CEN police station,. On the basis of the said complaint, FIR in Crime No. 189/2025 was registered against unknown person for the offences punishable under Sections U/Sec.66(c), 67, 67(a) of Information Technology Act, 2008 and 75(1)(iv), 78(2) of BNS.

7. During the course of investigation, the Investigation Officer collected information regarding by using which mobile phone, the said reel was created and uploaded in the instagram and came to know that the accused / petitioner created the said reel. Therefore, On 01.06.2020, the Investigation Officer arrested the petitioner / accused and produced him before the jurisdictional Magistrate. The Jurisdictional Magistrate remanded him to judicial custody. Since then, he is

behind the bars. He filed the instant petition seeking bail.

8. The offences alleged against the petitioner / accused are not punishable with death or imprisonment for life. After arrest, his cell phone has been seized. Therefore, nothing is left to be seized from the petitioner to complete the investigation. After arrest, the Investigation Officer produced the petitioner / accused before the jurisdictional Magistrate with a request to remand him to judicial custody. This would give an indication that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the Investigation Officer.
9. The petitioner is aged about 19 years. He is the student of GTTC College, Devanahalli. There is no criminal antecedents against him. When such is the case, I am of the opinion that having regard to the age of the petitioner, nature of the offences and fact that there is no criminal antecedents against him and his presence is not required for the Investigation Officer for custodial interrogation, it would be just and proper to grant bail to him by pressing into servicing the

settled principle that bail is a rule and jail is an exception. The other apprehension raised by the prosecution can be suitably safeguard by imposing conditions. In view of the above, I answer point No.1 in the affirmative.

10. **Point No.2:** For the reasons stated above, I pass the following:

**ORDER**

The petition filed by the petitioner- accused under section 483 of BNSS is allowed.

The petitioner - accused is ordered to be released on bail in Crime No.189/2025 of CEN Police Station, for the offences punishable U/Sec. 66(c), 67, 67(a) of Information Technology Act, 2008 and 75(1)(iv), 78(2) of BNS on execution of bail bond in a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with a surety of like sum subject to the following conditions:

1. He shall not pose threats or intermeddle with the complainant, her family members and

prosecution witnesses.

2. He shall furnish documents relating to his and his surety's residence and also photo of their houses sufficient to easily locate in the locality and file affidavit stating in what capacity, they are residing therein.

3. He shall provide his contact number tagged to his Aadhar card and shall not change the number without prior intimation to the Court.

4. He shall not change his residence without prior intimation to the Court.

5. He shall furnish his Aadhar Card and Ration Card of his family.

6. He shall not engage in similar offences.

7. He shall comply the condition No.2, 3 and 5 within 15 days after he release from jail.

(Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed and typed by her, the same is corrected by me and then pronounced in the open Court on this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2026).

(Mohammed Mujeer Ulla C.G.)  
C/C I. Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge,  
Bengaluru Rural District, Bengaluru.