

Presented on : 30.05.2026

Registered on : 01.06.2026

Decided on : 23.06.2026

Duration : 24 days.

**IN THE COURT OF I ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND  
SESSIONS JUDGE, BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT,  
BENGALURU**

**Dated this the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of June, 2026**

**:PRESENT:**

Shri. Mohammed Mujeer Ulla C.G.,  
B.A. LL.B,  
C/c I Additional District and Sessions Judge,  
Bengaluru Rural District.

**Criminal Miscellaneous No.1096/2026**

**Petitioner** : Kiran.B,  
S/o. Gangadhar,  
Aged 29 years,  
No. 248, Rayan Nagar,  
Nelamangala Town,  
Nelamangala Taluk,  
Bengaluru Rural District - 562  
123.

(In FIR, accused No.1)

(Repted. by Sri. Raghu.K.K, Adv.)

Vs.

**Respondent** : The State through Nelamangala  
Town Police Station,  
(Represented by the learned  
Public Prosecutor)

**ORDER**

Petitioner has filed the instant petition U/Sec.482 of Barathiya Nagarika Suraksha Sanhitha, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail in case of his arrest in connection with Crime No.99/2026 of Nelamangala Town Police Station, for the offences punishable U/Sec. 326(g), 109, 115(2), 351(2), 352 r/w 3(5) of BNS.

2. The learned Public Prosecutor has filed objections.
3. Heard the arguments on both side and perused record.
4. The Points for consideration are:
  1. Whether the petitioner is entitle for anticipatory bail?
  2. What order?
5. My findings on the above points are as under:

Point No.1: In the Affirmative,

Point No.2. As per final order

For the following;

**REASONS**

6. **Point No.1:-** In the complaint annexed to the FIR in Crime No. 99/2026 of Nelamangala Town police station

alleged to have been given by the complainant Ravi Chandra, it is stated that his sister's daughter Sinchana had been residing with him for education purpose. While Sinchana was going to college, accused / petitioner was following and inducing her to love him. After came to know the said fact, the complainant went to the house of accused / petitioner and informed the said fact to his uncle Mahadeva and requested him to advise accused / petitioner. After some time, the complainant performed the marriage of his niece Sinchana with one Nandish of Mallasandra village, near Tumkur. After marriage, when Sinchana was leading marital life with her husband Nandish, the petitioner / accused was calling her through phone and troubling her. Nandish, the husband of Sinchana informed the said fact to the complainant and also warned the petitioner / accused that if he again call Sinchana, he will lodge complaint to the police. Therefore, accused / petitioner became angry on Nandish. In the month of March, accused / petitioner met complainant and enquired him regarding whereabouts of Nandish and told that he will not leave and would kill him. He also

threatened to commit murder of the complainant. On 12.05.2026 at about 11.00 p.m, when the complainant was in his house situate in Rayan Nagar, 2<sup>nd</sup> Cross, Nelamangala Town, accused / petitioner went there, abused Nandish and enquired the complainant regarding whereabouts of Nandish. When the complainant enquired accused / petitioner as to why he was intervening in the life of his niece Sinchana and her husband Nandish, accused / petitioner quarreled with complainant and assaulted him with hands. The wife and neighbourers of complainant came and rescued him. They advised the petitioner / accused and sent him. On 13.05.2026 at about 2.35 am, when the complainant was sleeping in the house, he heard some voice outside his house. When he went to see outside his house, he saw that his house was burning. At that time, the petitioner and another were standing near his house and they were putting fire to the house. Thereafter, the petitioner and another accused filled kerosine in the beer bottle and threw towards the house of the complainant to burn his house and to commit his murder. The said bottles striked to the wall of

the complainant's house causing damage to the wall. Therefore, the petitioner and another committed offences punishable U/Sec. 326(g), 109, 115(2), 351(2), 352 r/w 3(5) of BNS.

7. A perusal of record would that on the basis of the written complaint alleged to have been given by the complainant on 13.05.2026, the SHO of Nelamangala Town police station registered FIR in Crime NO. 99/2026 against the accused for the offences punishable U/Sec. 326(g), 109, 115(2), 351(2), 352 r/w 3(5) of BNS.
8. In the FIR, the petitioner is shown as accused No.1. Apprehending arrest in the said case, he filed the instant petition seeking anticipatory bail.
9. The main offence against accused / petitioner is punishable under Section 109 and 326(g) of BNS. The prosecution has produced photo of the house of the complainant which were taken by the Investigation Officer while conducting spot inspection. No serious damage was caused to the said house due to the alleged acts of the petitioner. In the incident in question, the complainant sustained simple injury. No weapon has been

used to assault the complainant. Therefore, nothing is to be seized from the petitioner to complete the investigation.

10. The petitioner is permanent resident of Nelamangala Town. He is aged about 29 years. There is no criminal antecedents against him. In the incident in question, no major damage caused to the house of the complainant and he did not sustained grievous injury. The incident in question alleged to have been occurred on 13.05.2026. Already one month elapsed. In the one month, Investigation Officer would have conducted major portion of investigation and recorded the statements of witnesses. If the anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner by imposing condition that he shall appear before the Investigation Officer and co-operate with the investigation, no prejudice will be caused to the prosecution. When such is the case, I am of the opinion that by applying the principle that bail is a rule and jail is exception, anticipatory bail can be granted to the petitioner by imposing reasonable conditions to safeguard the apprehensions raised by the prosecution.

In view of the above, I answer point No.1 in the affirmative.

11. **Point No.2:** For the reasons stated above, I pass the following:

**ORDER**

The petition filed by the petitioner under section 482 of BNSS is allowed.

The petitioner/ accused No.1 is ordered to be released on bail in the event of his arrest in connection with Crime No.99/2026 of Nelamangala Town police station for the offences punishable U/Sec. 326(g), 109, 115(2), 351(2), 352 r/w 3(5) of BNS by taking bond in a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with a surety of like sum subject to the following conditions:

1. The petitioner shall appear before the SHO of respondent Police Station or Investigating Officer within 15 days from the date of this order.
2. He shall mark his attendance before SHO of Nelamangala Town police station on every Monday in between 10.00 am and 2.00 p.m for the period of two months or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier.
3. He shall appear before the Investigating

Officer whenever called upon to appear by giving notice in writing well in advance fixing the date and time of appearance and co-operate with the investigation.

4. He shall furnish documents relating to his and his surety's residence sufficient to easily locate in the locality along with QR code of their residence and photo of their houses and file affidavit stating in what capacity, they are residing therein.

5. He and his surety shall not change their residence without prior intimation to the Court.

6. He and his surety shall provide their contact numbers to the Investigating Officer and shall not change the contact number without prior intimation to the Court.

7. He and his surety shall submit affidavits about their occupations, occupational addresses with supporting documents.

8. He shall furnish his Aadhar card, Ration card of his family.

(Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed and typed by her, the same is corrected by me and then pronounced in the open Court on this the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of June, 2026).

(Mohammed Mujeer Ulla C.G.)  
C/c I Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge,  
Bengaluru Rural District, Bengaluru.