

(The deposition of the witness is typed to my dictation in the open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

(SUBRAMANYA J.N.)

Prl. District & Sessions Judge,
Bengaluru Rural District,
Bengaluru.

WITNESS RECALLED, DULY SWORN ON 11.07.2022

Cross-examination by Sri. AJ Adv. for accused:

I know reading and writing in Kannada. Now I can't say during 2016 on which particular date accused came to my shoe showroom. Accused came to our shoe showroom subsequent to 02.00 p.m. Accused left approximately blue colour shoe in the dustbin of our shoe showroom. Accused purchased PUMA company shoe in my shoe showroom. Rajesh Kumar a staff of my shoe showroom sold/ shown new shoe to the accused.

4 S.C.No.109/2016 P.W.12 CW.22

2. After 2 to 5 days from the date of purchase of the new shoe by the accused a police came to my shoe showroom, on that date I have shown the shoe left by the accused in my shoe showroom. On the same day police recorded my statement and got my signature. Police have took shoe from my shoe showroom. After 3 or 4 days along with accused police came to my shoe showroom. Police recorded my address and events in a document and got my signature. Before making signature I read the contents of the documents prepared by the police in my shoe showroom.

3. It is false to suggest that on 24.01.2016 neither police came to my shoe showroom nor recorded mahazar/ my statement nor took my signature.

4. It is false to suggest that when police have recorded mahazar I was not present. It is false to suggest that now at the instance of the police I deposed false evidence.

5. It is true to suggest that in the Court hall on previous hearing date and today I saw the accused.

6. It is true to suggest that when police recorded mahazar in my shop I do not know the name of the accused.

Re-examination: NIL

(The deposition of the witness is typed to my dictation in the open Court).

R.O.I. & A.C.

(SUBRAMANYA J. N.)

Prl. District & Sessions Judge,
Bengaluru Rural District,
Bengaluru.