

1 Criminal Misc. No.1058/2026
KABR010025202026



Presented on :26.05.2026
Registered on :27.05.2026
Decided on :15.07.2026
Duration :1(M) 18 days.

**IN THE COURT OF II ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT,
BENGALURU**

Dated this the 15th day of July, 2026

:PRESENT:

Shri. Mohammed Mujeer Ulla C.G.,
B.A. LL.B,
II Additional District and Sessions Judge
Bengaluru Rural District, Bengaluru.

Criminal Miscellaneous No.1058/2026

Petitioner : Prashanth Kumar,
S/o. Chandrappa,
Aged about 25 years,
Present Address:
C/o Indravani, 2nd Cross,
Om Shakthi Layout,
Shikaripalya, Maragondanahalli,
Anekal Taluk, Bengaluru City.

Permanent Address:
Hyadarahalli Village,
Hire Hadalagi Hobli,
Hovina Hadalagi Taluku,
Vijayapura District.
(Accused/petitioner is in judicial
custody)

(Repted. By Shiva Prasad S- Adv.)



Vs.

Respondent : 1. The State of Karnataka through
Hebbagodi Police Station,

(Represented by the learned
Special Public Prosecutor)

2. Smt. Renuka
W/o Ananda Kumar,
Aged about 38 years,
Near Elina Apartment,
Maragondanahalli Main Road,
Shikaripalya village,
Jigani Hobli, Anekal Taluk,
Bengaluru.

ORDER

The petitioner has filed the petition under Section 483 of BNSS seeking regular bail in Crime No.543/2025 of Hebbagodi Police Station, for the offences punishable U/Sec.103(1) of BNS and 3(2)(v-a) of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act 1989 (SC/ST(PA) Act 1989).

2. The learned Special Public Prosecutor has filed objection.



3. After service of notice, the respondent 2 appeared before the court and submitted that she will adopt the objection filed by SPP.
4. Heard the arguments on both side and perused record.
5. The Points for consideration are:
 1. Whether the petitioner is entitle for regular bail?
 2. What order?
6. My findings on the above points are as under:

Point No.1: In the Negative,
Point No.2. As per final order
For the following;

REASONS

7. **Point No.1:-** The case of the prosecution is that the accused/petitioner is the husband of deceased Reshma. Deceased Reshma had a daughter by name Navika through her first husband Surendar. She left her first husband and started residing in Shikaripalya village of Anekal Taluk. In the year 2025 the accused/petitioner who was also the resident of same village came in



contact with deceased Reshma. He by giving assurance that he will give life arrange marriage with her. After marriage, accused/petitioner and deceased Reshma have been residing in a rented house situate at 2nd cross, Om Shakti Layout, Shikaripalya, Anekal Taluk. The accused/petitioner came to know that his wife Reshma was talking with one Rameshewara of the same village. Therefore he start suspecting her. Reshma belongs to Madiga (SC) cast. Accused/petitioner belongs to Vishwakarma which is neither Scheduled Caste nor Scheduled Tribe. While he was quarreling with his wife Reshma he was abusing her with reference to her caste. On 15.10.2025 at about 3.00 pm., the accused quarreled with his wife Reshma regarding she calling to Rameshwar. At that time Reshma abused the accused. Therefore he was enraged and slapped her. When Reshma made attempt to assault accused he pushed her and made her to fall on the Sofa set and committed her murder by pressing her neck with his hands. After committing murder, with an intention to



destroy the evidence, he took the dead body of Reshma into Bathroom and switch on the electric geyser to create a scene that she died due to electric shock. Therefore he committed offences punishable under Section 103(1) of BNS and Section 3(2)(v-a) of SC and ST (PA) Act, 1989.

8. A perusal of record would show that on the basis of written complaint alleged to have been given by Smt. Renuka the sister of deceased Reshma the SHO of Hebbagodi police registered FIR in Crime No.543/2025 against accused/petitioner for the offences punishable under Section 103(1) of BNS and Section 3(2)(v-a) of SC and ST (PA) Act, 1989. During the course of investigation the police arrested the accused/petitioner on 16.10.2025 and produced him before the court and the court remanded him to judicial custody. Since then he is behind the bars. He filed the instant petition seeking bail.

9. The incident in question was alleged to have been occurred in the rented house where the



accused/petitioner and deceased were residing as husband and wife. The dead body was found in said house. CW.6 Navika the daughter of deceased Reshma is the prime witness. When she went to the house in the evening she noticed the dead body. She and other witnesses have given evidence regarding for what reason the accused/petitioner and the deceased were quarreling. A perusal of charge sheet would show that there is sufficient material on record to connect the accused/petitioner to the alleged offences. Having regard to the material available against the accused/petitioner the gravity and severity of the offences which are punishable with life imprisonment or death, I am of the opinion that, this is not a fit case to grant bail before examination of the material witnesses. In view of the above, I answer point No.1 in the Negative.

12. **Point No.2:** For the reasons stated above, I pass the following:

ORDER



The petition filed by the petitioner-
accused under section 483 of BNSS is
dismissed.

(Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed and typed by her, the same is corrected by me and then pronounced in the open Court on this the 15th day of July, 2026).

(Mohammed Mujeer Ulla C.G.)
II Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge,
Bengaluru Rural District, Bengaluru.



**Order pronounced in open
court vide separate sheets**

ORDER

The petition filed by the
petitioner-accused under Section
483 of BNSS is dismissed.

II AD & SJ, BRD.