

**IN THE COURT OF IX ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSION
JUDGE, BANGALORE RURAL DISTRICT BANGALORE**

SC NO. 123/2019

C.W 9 Examined as P.W 6

WITNESS DULY SWORN ON 22-08-2019

DEPOSITION OF	Shivakumar
FATHER/ HUSBAND NAME	Padmarajegowda
AGE	38 Years
OCCUPATION	PSI, Talaghattapura police station
RESIDENCE	Bengaluru

EXAMINATION IN CHIEF BY P.P :

For the last 1 ½ year I have been working in the above said police station.

On 12-12-2018 at 8.45pm when I was in the police station CW 1 visited and given report along with mahazar, seized properties and accused. Accordingly, I registered the case in Cr No. 352/2018 and sent FIR to the court and also to the higher police officers.

Now I see the said report it is already marked as Ex P 4, signature is marked as Ex P4 (b). Now I see the FIR, it is marked as Ex P5, signature is marked as Ex P5 (a).

I reported seized properties to the court under PF No. 183/2018.

I arrested the accused persons, enquired them and recorded their voluntary statement.

The accused persons have given information regarding their involvement in 3 cases of Talaghattapura police station one case of Konanakunte police station, one case of Hulimavu police station. Accordingly, I produced them before the Learned Magistrate and took them for further investigation.

Thereafter, I recorded the statements of CW 2, 3 and CW 4 to 8. Based upon the information of accused persons I conducted investigation pertaining to above said 5 cases, recovered some properties and thereafter I produced them before concerned Magistrate. After completion of investigation on 10-02-2019 I filed the charge sheet against accused persons. Now I see the seized properties it is already marked as Mo1 to 11. The said persons are before the court.

CROSS EXAMINATION BY D.J.D FOR A4:

It is not true to suggest that I have not recorded statements of any witnesses. It is not true to suggest that no accused given any voluntary statement before me. It is not true to suggest that no witnesses about seizure of properties. It may be true that the properties like Mo 1 to 11 may available in market. It is not true to suggest that false charge sheet is filed against accused persons. It is not true to suggest that CW 1 not produced any accused and any properties before me. It is not true to suggest that I am deposing falsely.

CROSS EXAMINATION BY M.C.L FOR A5, A.J FOR A1:

Adopts the above cross examination.

(Certify that deposition is dictated to typist in open court on computer directly)

R.O.I & A.C

IX Addl. District and Sessions Judge,
Bangalore Rural District, Bengaluru.