

KABR010013382026



**IN THE COURT OF I ADDL. DISTRICT & SESSIONS
JUDGE, BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT,
BENGALURU.**

Dated this the 08th day of April, 2026

PRESENT **Sri. Siddalinga Prabhu. B.Sc., LL.B.,**
I Addl. District & Sessions Judge,
Bengaluru Rural District, Bengaluru.

Crl.Misc.No.513/2026

PETITIONER: Surya T.S.,
S/o Shanthamurthy T.R,
Aged about 25 years,
R/at Tattanuru Village,
Anugondanahalli Hobli,
Hosakote Taluk,
Bengaluru Rural District.

**[Represented by:
Sri.Y.V.R.-Advocate]**

-Vs-

RESPONDENT : State of Karnataka by
Anugondanahalli Police Station
Bengaluru.

**[represented by:
Public Prosecutor]**

ORDER

Sri. Surya T.S., S/o Shanthamurthy T.R being accused of Crime No.20/2026 of respondent police does prefer this bail petition seeking an order of Anticipatory bail to be granted under Section 482 of BNSS.

2. Smt. Guramma in her FIS dated 14.02.2025 mentions inter-alia that she and her three brothers are the children of her parents Rangappa and Sanjeevamma. That she is the resident of Vinayakanagar of Bagaluru. That she had given her first daughter Rekha in marriage to her own younger brother Balakrishna. That the marital life of Balakrishna and Rekha is not good enough as they have been living separately for the last 1-½ decade. That the said Balakrishna has been suffering from ill health. As such the informant Guramma and her 2nd daughter Chayadevi left her native place so as to call on the said Balakrishna. When she did so, her nephew Surya, who is the son of another younger brother by name Shanthamurthy, has abused the informant and her 2nd daughter in filthy language besides assaulting them by using club and also used force upon Chayadevi and pulled her hair. That the said Surya had even gave life threat.

Therefore the FIS after taking treatment in Government hospital at Anekal. That basing on the said FIS the respondent police have registered a case in Crime No.20/2026 by invoking penal provision under Section 115(2), 118(1) and 351(2) of BNS.

3. The above being the facts, the accused/bail petitioner urge inter-alia that, that he is innocent of the offences alleged, that he has good tenable defence, that he has been falsely implicated, that there is an inordinate delay in putting the law in motion. That the averments of FIS are totally false, concocted and fabricated, that at no point of time did he committed the alleged offences, that the materials collected by the respondent police so far revealed no prima-facie case as against the accused/bail petitioner. That the accused/bail petitioner hails from a respectable family and having no bad antecedents. That the alleged offences are not punishable with death penalty. That he is the permanent resident of the address as mentioned in the cause title. That he is ready and willing to abide by any of the conditions which may be imposed by this court. Therefore that he be granted with the bail.

4. The learned public prosecutor in his objections

while repeating the facts of the case pleads inter-alia that the bail petitioner has not assigned proper reasons, that in the event of grant of bail, there is ample scope for tampering of prosecution witnesses by the accused/bail petitioner and therefore that in the interest of society the bail petition be rejected.

5. The following are the points which do arise for consideration.

1) Is the accused/bail petitioner entitle for an order of bail to be granted under Section 482 of BNSS as sought for?

2) What order?

6. Having perused the aforementioned rival pleadings, the materials placed before this court and having heard the parties hereto, this court assigns the following:

REASONS

6.1. The offences alleged are not punishable with death penalty. The contents of FIS underline that the accused/bail petitioner is none other than the nephew of informant. As such an opportunity deserves to be given to

the accused/bail petitioner to correct his mistakes if any and to have cordial relationship with his paternal aunt i.e., the informant. It is not the case of the prosecution that the accused/bail petitioner was previously convicted by any court of law for any offences. Therefore in the opinion of this court the bail petition deserves to be answered in the ***Affirmative***. While answering so, this court passes the following :

ORDER

That, for the aforementioned reason the bail petition preferred under Section 482 of BNSS by the accused/bail petitioner (of Crime No.20/2026 of respondent police) is hereby ***allowed*** on the following terms:

1. That the accused/bail petitioner shall appear before the court of first instance forthwith.

2. The accused/bail petitioner shall execute personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- and he shall offer surety for the like sum.

3. He shall maintain peace and tranquility with the informant and her daughters.

4. The accused/bail petitioner shall assist the IO as and when he is called upon to do so in the matter of further investigation.

(Dictation given to the Stenographer, transcribed by her, transcription corrected by me and then pronounced in open Court on this the 07th day of April, 2026)

(Siddalinga Prabhu)

I Addl. District & Sessions Judge,
Bengaluru Rural District, Bengaluru.