

**II ADDITIONAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT, BENGALURU**

Spl. Case No. 59/2010

PW.26 CW.87

Witness Name : Ramesh. M.
Father's Name : Munishamappa
Age : 44 years,
Occupation : HC 427, Vijayapura Police Station,
Residence : Vijayapura.

Witness duly sworn on 13.01.2023

CHIEF EXAMINATION : BY SPECIAL PP

From June 2008 to June 2010 I served as Police Constable with buckle no. 1256 in Vishwanathapura PS. On 12.02.2010 at 04.30 p.m. the PSI of Vishwanathapura PS handed over the FIR and complaint in this case to me to submit to this court. I came to Vishwanathapura Bus Stand. I did not get bus immediately. By 05.45 p.m. I came to Devanahalli Bus Stand. From Devanahalli bus stand I caught bus and came to Majestic Bus Stand, Bengaluru. It was about 8 p.m. by then. From there I went to residence of Presiding Officer in Koramanagala. There was heavy traffic between Devanahalli to Majestic bus stand. Hence, there was delay in submitting the FIR to the Judge, Special Court. I have give statement to that effect to I.O.

Cross examination: By Sri.MRN Counsel for accused no.1 and 12

2. It is true to suggest that in pocket note book I am supposed to write the duty performed by me on particular date. In my pocket note book I have not mentioned about non availability of bus and there being traffic. The distance between the residence of Presiding officer, Special Court and Viswhanathapura PS is about 60 kms. I do not agree with the suggestion that such distance is only 25 kms. It is incorrect to suggest that on that day there was no heavy traffic between Devanahalli and Bengaluru. It is incorrect to suggest that on that day the FIR was entrusted to me only at 7 p.m. It is incorrect to suggest that at the instance of my senior officer I am deposing falsely that on that day FIR was entrusted to me at 04.30 p.m. It is incorrect to suggest that I own two wheeler and used to come to the court in it.

Cross examination: Sri. KTG advocate for A3, 7, 13 and 14

3. Jeep is provided to Police Inspector. It is incorrect to suggest that the Sub Inspector was provided with Motor cycle. It is incorrect to suggest that patrolling two wheeler and 4 wheeler vehicles were also provided to the Vishwanathapura Police Station.

Cross examination: Counsel for other accused adopt aforesaid cross examination.

Re-examination: Nil

(The statement of witness is recorded in the open court as stated by him and it contains true account of his statement and is read over to him and admitted by him to be correct)

R.O.I. & A.C.

(V. M. Anandashetti)

II Addl. District & Sessions Judge,
Bengaluru Rural District, Bengaluru.