

Witness is duly sworn on 31.03.2021

Cross-examination: By M.B.S Advocate for accused No.1:

No blood sample was sent by I.O., for comparing the blood stains detected in article No. 1, 3 to 9, 11 and 12. I have not conducted any tests to find out whether blood detected in article No. 1, 3 to 9, 11 and 12 belongs to child, adult, male or female as the same was not asked by I.O.

It is true that FSL wing comes under home department of State Government. It is false to suggest that I have given Ex.P. 29 report as per say of police. It is false to suggest that I have not at all examined article No. 1 to 12. It is false to suggest that no blood stains were detected in article No. 1, 3 to 9, 11 and 12. It is false to suggest that if the blood stains are more than two months old, blood group can't be identified. It is false to suggest that at the say of police I am deposing falsely by giving false report Ex. P. 29.

(Cross examination done by advocate for A 1 has been adopted by advocate for other accused persons).

[Typed to my dictation in the open court]

R.O.I. & A. C.

I Addl. District & Sessions Judge.
Bengaluru Rural District,
Bengaluru