

The matter taken up before Lok Adalath.

Shri. H.B. Naduvinamani counsel for the decree holder and representative of the Dhr Murtujkhadri Bilagi present. Judgment Debtors Ishwarayya and Nagaveni present.

Counsel for the decree holder submits that the parties have settled the matter are physically present today and they have been duly identified by him.

Counsel for the Dhr submits that during the pendency of the execution petition, the Dhr has agreed to settle the dispute amicably before the Lok-Adalath.

When enquired, the Dhr states that they have indeed settled the dispute and they have arrived at a compromise/settlement and the execution petition may be disposed of the terms of the compromise/settlement arrived by them. They further state that they have arrived at settlement on their own will and volition without there being any coercion and undue influence from any side.

The oral submission made by the parties and their respective counsel is placed on record.

-----Contents of the Compromise petition are as below-----

That in the above noted case the Dhr and Jdrs had amicably settled for Rs. 1,30,000/-. Today part amount of Rs. 20,000/- paid to Dhr and balance amount of Rs. 1,10,000/- on or before 30.03.2026.

I have perused the compromise petition with utmost care. On perusal of the same, this Court finds that the Memorandum of Settlement/Compromise petition is lawful and there is no legal impediment to accept the same. Hence the following:

ORDER

In view of memo filed by learned Advocate for Dhr. EP is closed with a liberty to the Dhr to file fresh EP if balance is not deposited subject to limitation.

Sd/-
Non Judicial Conciliator

Sd/-
Judicial Conciliator