

02.04.2026

Present: Sh. Pankaj Bhatia, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Mohit Dahiya, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.
IO SI Vivek with case file.

1. The present anticipatory bail application is filed on behalf of applicant Amit in FIR No. 113/2026, U/S 85/80/3(5) BNS registered at PS Jahangir Puri.

1.2 Arguments on the present anticipatory bail application heard. Record perused.

ARGUMENTS ON BEHALF OF ACCUSED/APPLICANT

2. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that the marriage between the parties solemnized in the month of November, 2025 and thereafter within 3 months of marriage the wife of the applicant committed suicide on 01.03.2026. He further submits that there was no demand of dowry from the family of the deceased and even the applicant transferred Rs. 20,000/- to the sister of the deceased prior to the date of marriage. He further submits that the communication between the present applicant and deceased was normal and she committed suicide at her parent's house. On the basis of these arguments, Ld. counsel for the applicant seeks concession of regular bail.

ARGUMENTS ON BEHALF OF STATE

3. On the other hand the present anticipatory bail application is strongly opposed by Ld. Addl. PP for the State, who is assisted by the IO, and by Ld. counsel for the complainant. Ld. Addl. PP submits that

there are specific allegations that the demand of Rs. 5 lakhs dowry was made from the parents of the deceased prior to the marriage and out of which some money was also paid at the time of marriage. However, despite of best efforts of giving gifts to the deceased and applicant's family, the applicant was constantly harassing the applicant for the demand of dowry. Ld. counsel has relied upon the statement of father of the deceased, who is the complainant in the present matter, as well as the statement of mother and sister of the deceased. All the three statements are on same line whereby it is stated that the deceased was constantly harassed by the applicant and his family for demand of dowry. It is also stated by the mother of the deceased that the mother of the applicant was not even allowing the deceased to speak with her family members and due to the intense pressure caused for the dowry demand, the applicant finally committed suicide on 01.03.2026. It is also alleged by the complainant that the applicant is having illicit relation with another woman and he is addict of gambling due to which he constantly made demand of dowry from the family of the deceased. Ld. counsel has also submitted that the applicant has not joined the investigation and NBWs have been issued against the present applicant. Further, there is presumption in law against the applicant since the wife of the applicant has committed suicide within 7 years of marriage.

3.2 Ld. counsel for the complainant has also placed on record the details of the whatsapp call between the applicant and the deceased from 8:39 pm to 8:53 pm on the fateful day and stated that during the said call the deceased committed suicide. On the basis of these

submissions, the anticipatory bail of the present applicant is strongly opposed.

3.3 It is also matter of fact that a writ petition was filed by the complainant i.e. father of the deceased in the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi for bringing into its notice the glaring deficiencies in the investigation conducted by the IO and by virtue of the order dated 26.03.2026 of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, it was specifically directed that the concerned DCP will monitor the investigation in the present matter.

3.4 Submissions heard. Record perused.

FINDINGS/CONCLUSION

4. As a matter of fact, the wife of the applicant committed suicide within three months of their marriage. There are specific allegations of demand of dowry and illicit relation of the applicant due to which the deceased was forced to commit suicide. Further, the suicide was committed by the deceased during the telephonic call with the applicant which further raises strong cases against the applicant. Moreover, the applicant has not joined the investigation till date. A specific query was raised from the Ld. counsel for the applicant regarding the sanity of the deceased to which Ld. counsel for the applicant has stated that the deceased was sane and was mentally fit. Further, the investigation is at nascent stage and custodial interrogation of the applicant is required for fair investigation. **Keeping in view the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, no case for grant of anticipatory bail is made out and this court is not inclined to**