

KABI010028892021



**IN THE OF I-ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
JUDGE AND SPECIAL COURT FOR ATROCITY OFFENCES
AT BALLARI.**

DATED THIS 01st DAY OF AUGUST, 2026

: PRESENT :

SHRI H.A. MOHAN., B.A.L., LL.B.
I-Addl. District & Sessions Judge &
Special Judge for Atrocity offences
Ballari.

SPECIAL CASE No.816/2021

COMPLAINANT : -

The State by Deputy Superintendent of
Police, Ballari City Sub-Division,
Ballari.

(Ballari Women Police Station).

(By Spl. Public Prosecutor, Ballari)

Vs.

ACCUSED : -

1. Agasara Mallaiah
S/o Eranna,
Age: 30 Years, Occ: Businessman,
R/o Near Nagappa Katte, CMC Colony,
Belagal Road, Ballari.
2. Sourappa @ Suri
S/o Late Sourappa,
Age: 31 Years, Occ: Farmer,
R/o D No.1/64, near Bus Stand,
Muddangeri, Aluru Taluk, Kurnool.

3. Nagaraja @ Nagaraja
S/o B Srinivasulu
Age: 25 Years, Occ: Driver,
R/o Near English Church, BCC Ground,
Fort, Ballari.
4. Ramanjienyalu @ Ramanjienyalu
S/o Nallareddy
Age: 24 Years, Occ: Driver-autorikshaw,
R/o 8th Cross, Sathyavani Nagara, Kolagal
Road, Ballari.

(Accused No.1 and 2 by Shri Sudarsana Raju P., Advocate and Accused No.3 and 4 by Shri.S.Rangaswamy., Advocate.)

Date of offence	07.06.2021
Date of reporting of offence	07.06.2021
Name of the informant	Smt.Shravani
Date of commencement of recording of evidence.	26.08.2023
Date of closing of evidence	25.02.2026
Offence/s complained off	U/Secs.201, 364, 302, 120B, 394 R/w Sec.34 of I.P.C. and u/Secs.3(2)(v-a) of SC and ST (PREVENTION OF ATROCITIES) Amendment Act 2015.
Opinion of the Judge	The Accused No's.1 to 4 found not guilty.

J U D G M E N T

This case emanates from the charge sheet submitted by the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Ballari City Sub-Division, Ballari, against the accused No.1 to 4 for the

offences punishable u/Secs.364, 394, 302, 120B, 201 R/w Sec.34 of I.P.C. and u/Secs.3(2)(v-a) of SC/ST (PREVENTION OF ATROCITIES) Amendment Act 2015.

2. The case of the prosecution in brief is that, the accused No.1 was known to the family of the complainant and he was well acquainted with Smt.Ramalakshmi and he was trusted person and therefore, he use to do some small works pertaining to Smt.Ramalakshmi and also borrowed loan amount of Rs.1,00,000/- from the said Ramalakshmi and when she insisted him to payback the said amount with interest, he abused her in filthy language and he had a criminal conspiracy with accused No.2 to 4 and hatched a plan to kidnap and murder the said Ramalakshmi who belongs to Schedule Caste community and in that regard on 20.03.2021 accused No.1 by assuring Smt.Ramalakshmi and her mother Smt.Jayamma that he would payback the loan amount and accordingly took them in a Shift Desire Car bearing Reg.No.KA-20/N-7823 from Belagal Cross and took them towards T.B.Dam Circle and as per previous conspiracy accused No.2 to 4

joined him and thereafter they are took Smt.Ramalakshmi and her mother Smt.Jayamma to Mallaiah Temple and their accused No.2 to 4 there were waiting in a Auto-rikshaw bearing Reg.No.KA-34/A-2957 and then they took them to Sirigeri Cross and then, after having dinner at about 09.30 p.m., the accused No.1 assaulted Smt.Ramalakshmi with Kicker Rod on her head and also thrown her to a canal and after hearing the sound when Smt.Jayamma came there, all the accused had also assaulted with the same rod on her head and snatched neck chain weighing 99.1 Gram and thrown her also to a canal and thereby murdered both of them and also taken photographs of the dead bodies while they were flouting in the canal and thereby destroyed the evidence and committed the aforesaid offences.

3. After submission of the charge sheet by the investigating officer, the accused No.1 to 4 were produced before the court and accused No.1 and 2 were in judicial custody for sometime. Thereafter, all the accused have been released on bail.

4. Thereafter, after following the due procedure, my learned predecessor has framed the charges for the aforesaid offences by reading over the same. The accused Nos.1 to 4 have pleaded not guilty and claimed to be tried. Hence, the case was posted for trial.

5. In order to prove the charges, the prosecution has examined C.Ws.1, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 19, 24, 12, 13, 15, 25, 28, 32, 37, 33, 35, 26, 27, 29, 30, 31, 5, 6, 10, 8, 36, 2, 3, 45, 47, 50, additional witnesses CWs.34, 55, 53, 54 and 45 as PWs.1 to 40 and got marked got marked 180 documents as Exs.P.1 to P.52, 54 to 133, 135 to 180 and M.O's.1 to 7. CWs.4 and 51 are reported to be dead, CW.7, 9, 11, 39, 40 are given-up. It is noticed that by oversight Ex.P.134, 153 are not given. Accordingly exhibits are mentioned.

6. Thereafter, the statement of accused No's.1 to 4 as contemplated u/Sec.313 of Cr.P.C., have been recorded by putting the incriminating evidence available against them. The answers given by them are noted in their statements.

7. The accused No's.1 to 4 have not chosen to submit their written statements nor adduced their defence evidence.

8. Heard the learned Spl. Public Prosecutor for State and the learned counsel for the accused Nos.1 to 4.

9. The following points that arise for my consideration:-

1. *Whether the prosecution proves beyond all reasonable doubt that, in connection with monitory transaction the accused No.1 had a criminal conspiracy with accused No.2 to 4 with a common intention to kidnap them, to commit robbery of the Jewelry and to murder them, the accused No.1 along with accused No.2 to 4 have committed the offence punishable u/Sec.120B R/w Sec.34 of I.P.C.?*
2. *Whether the prosecution proves beyond all reasonable doubt that, in furtherance of their common intention, the accused No.1 to 4 by making Smt.Rajalakshmi and Jayamma to believe that loan amount would be repaid, took them in a*

desire car bearing Reg.No.KA-20/N-7823 towards T.B.Dam Circle and thereafter tried to abduct them and thereby committed the offence punishable u/Sec.364 R/w Sec.34 of I.P.C.?

3. *Whether the prosecution proves beyond all reasonable doubt that, in furtherance of their common intention, all the accused persons, as per their previous conspiracy took Smt.Ramalakshmi and Smt.Jayamma in the said car from one place to another place with an intention to murder them and accordingly at 09.30 p.m. on 21.03.2021 by stopping the car near canal bund and when Jayamma had gone for second nature call, accused No.1 took Kicker rod from the car dickey and assaulted Smt.Ramalakshmi while she was alighting from the car, on her head and when she screamed, accused No.3 and 4 went to spot and by holding her hands and legs thrown her to a canal and thereafter when Smt.Jayamma came to spot again accused No.1 assaulted with the same Kicker rod on her head and murdered and then all the accused persons by*

holding her hands and legs thrown her to a canal and thereby committed the offence punishable u/Sec.302 R/w Sec.34 of I.P.C.?

4. *Whether the prosecution proves beyond all reasonable doubt that, on the above said day, time and place, in furtherance of their common intention, after committing murder of Smt.Jayamma, the accused No.1 to 4 have robbed two gold neck chain weighing 99.1 gram and thereby committed the offence punishable u/Sec.394 R/w Sec.34 of I.P.C.?*
5. *Whether the prosecution proves beyond all reasonable doubt that, on the above said day, time and place, in furtherance of their common intention, in order to see that there is no evidence with regard to the murdering of Smt.Ramalakshmi and Smt.Jayamma, the accused by throwing the dead bodies to a canal have tried to destroye the evidence and thereby committed the offence punishable u/Sec.201 R/w Sec.34 of I.P.C.?*
6. *Whether the prosecution proves beyond all reasonable doubt that, on the above*

said day, time and place, in furtherance of their common intention, the accused Nos.1 to 4 knowing fully well that Smt.Ramalakshmi and Smt.Jayamma are belonging to Schedule Caste community by committing murder have also committed the offence punishable u/Sec.3(2)(v-a) of SC and ST (PREVENTION OF ATROCITIES) Amendment Act, 2015?

7. *What order?*

10. My answer to the above points are as under:

Point Nos.1 to 6 :- In the Negative.

Point No.7 :- As per final order, for the following:

REASONS

11. **Point Nos.1 to 6 :-** These points are interlinked to each other and as such they are taken together for discussion, to avoid repetition of facts.

12. After having heard the learned Public Prosecutor and the learned counsel for the accused, I have perused the entire evidence and documents.

13. PW.1 is the complainant in this case. She is the daughter of Jayamma and elder sister of Ramalakshmi who have been murdered, according to the case of prosecution. They belong to Bhovi community. She has stated that, her mother and younger sister were doing finance business and going to many places and residing separately at Belagal Cross. She also used to go to their house often and come back. During March 2021 her mother had telephoned to her and informed her that she would come to her house. But thereafter she did not come to her house and when she called to her phone it was switched of. Then after enquiry they came to know that they were not available and accordingly lodged a complaint.

14. This witness has not supported the case of prosecution with regard to the allegations made against the accused persons, in the complaint marked as Ex.P.1. She has also not admitted another complaint marked as Ex.P.4 with regard to kidnap. But she has identified two photos marked as Ex.P.3 and also the panchanama. But she has

not admitted other suggestions with regard to recovery of gold jewelry, documents etc. She was treated as hostile and the learned Public prosecutor has conducted the cross-examination. But she has not admitted any suggestion made in the cross-examination. Thus it is very clear that she has resiled from her previous statements.

15. P.W.2 to 4, 6, 7, 9, 15, 16, 17, 20, 23, 24 are the circumstantial witnesses and witnesses to last seen theory. But none of the witnesses have supported the case of prosecution. They have completely turned hostile by stating that they did not see the deceased Jayamma and Ramalakshmi along with accused No.1 or other accused persons at any point of time. They have also stated that, they did not know about the financial transactions between the deceased and accused No.1. Therefore, the prosecution could not elicit anything from the mouths of these witnesses to support their case.

16. P.W.5 is the witness to photograph. He has taken photograph at the time of drawing panchanama as

per Ex.P.12. But he has not supported the case of prosecution.

17. PW.10 is the Auto Driver who is the witness to borrowing loan by pledging the gold jewelry which were given by accused No.1. Even though he has identified his photo in Ex.P.8, but he has not supported the case of prosecution. He has not admitted any suggestion made in the cross-examination by the learned Public Prosecutor.

18. PW.11 and 12 are also a witnesses to panchanama as per Ex.P.12. But they have also not supported the case of prosecution.

19. PW.13 is also a circumstantial witness. He has been cited as a witness for the purpose of identifying the accused No.1 and 2. But he has also not supported the case of prosecution with regard to identification of accused No.1 and 2.

20. PW.14 is a witness with regard to providing car to accused No.3. According to the case of prosecution accused No.3 had taken the car of this witness. He has

deposed that at the time of releasing the vehicle to his custody, the police informed him that by using that car the accused had murdered two persons. He has not been subjected for cross-examination, therefore his evidence has been admitted. But the evidence of this witness in any way does not prove the case of prosecution.

21. PW.18 is a witness to identify the house of accused No.1. But she has not supported the case of prosecution.

22. PW.19 is the appraiser. He has only deposed that, about 3 to 4 years ago when police called him to Fed Bank, he weighed MO.Nos.4 and 5. But he does not know as to who was the owner of those gold jewelry.

23. P.W.21 is the witness with regard to Lodge. According to the case of prosecution the deceased were housed in Nadaf Lodge on 20.03.2021. But he has not supported the case of prosecution. Therefore, the evidence of this witness in any way does not help the case of prosecution.

24. The evidence of PW.22 is with regard to driving the car bearing Reg.No.KA-18/P-1523. But he does not know the case of the prosecution with regard to murder. Even in the cross-examination conducted by the learned Public Prosecutor he has not supported the case of prosecution.

25. PW.25 is the witness to Ex.P.70 panchanama. That panchanama was drawn at the time of recovery of mobiles. This witness has supported the case of prosecution. He has deposed that, the accused No.1 took them to Belagal Cross and shown the place from where deceased were kidnapped. Accordingly, panchanama as per Ex.P.72 was drawn and photographs as per Ex.P.73 was taken. Thereafter, accused No.1 and 2 took them to canal and revealed that, at that place they had dinner and accordingly a panchamama as per Ex.P.74 was drawn. Thereafter, the accused No.1 shown one Auto Kick rod and the same was recovered by drawing panchanama as per Ex.P.74 and draft sketch as per Ex.P.75. Photographs as per Ex.P.76 and 77 were taken.

26. He further deposed that, thereafter accused No.1 and 2 took them to T.B. Sanitarium and shown one car and at that place the police drawn the panchanama as per Ex.P.78 and taken photograph as per Ex.P.79. He has identified C.D. as per Ex.P.8.

27. In the cross-examination he admitted that he does not know the case of prosecution, but he went along with police to the places.

28. PW.26 is a witness to recovery of Auto panchanama marked as Ex.P.81. He has deposed that on 15.06.2021 he himself and CW.7 went to police station and in their presence two mobiles were seized from accused No.3 and 4. One Auto-rickshaw was also recovered and drew the panchanama as per Ex.P.81. Photographs as per Ex.P.82 to 85 were taken.

29. He further deposed that, thereafter accused No.3 and 4 took them to HLC canal and shown the place where they had hatched a plan to murder

Smt.Ramalakshmi and Smt.Jayamma and the police have drawn the panchanama as per Ex.P.86.

30. He further deposed that, thereafter the said accused took them to Alur Temple and there the accused revealed that at that place they had conspired to commit murder of Smt.Ramalakshmi and Smt.Jayamma. At that place panchanama as per Ex.P.87 was drawn and photographs as per Ex.P.88 and 89 were taken. Thereafter, they were taken to Dasapura Village and accused shown the canal and revealed that after committing murder of Smt.Ramalakshmi and Smt.Jayamma they were thrown to the said canal. A panchanama as per Ex.P.90 was drawn and photographs as per Ex.P.91 and 92 were taken.

31. He further deposed that, on 16.06.2021 the accused No.1 took them to Siddipriya Kalyana Mantapa and shown the place where he had paid Rs.10,000/- to accused No.3. A panchanama as per Ex.P.93 was drawn and photographs as per Ex.P.94 and 95 were taken. Thereafter, accused No.1 took them to Nadaf Lodge and revealed that in that lodge both the deceased were kept

and at that place panchanama as per Ex.P.37 was drawn and photographs as per Ex.P.38 was taken.

32. He further deposed that, thereafter accused No.1 took them to Fed Bank and shown that gold jewelry were pledged in that bank. The police recovered the same by drawing panchanama as per Ex.P.8.

33. He further deposed that, on the same day accused No.1 took them to his house and shown the documents and there panchanama as per Ex.P.56 was drawn and photographs as per Ex.P.57 and 58 were taken. Thereafter, he went back to his house. He also deposed with regard to showing all the panchanamas through video.

34. In the cross-examination he admitted that, he has been working in Education Department and he does not know the facts of the case. Of-course he has denied the suggestions that he has deposed falsely.

35. PW.27 is also deposed with regard to some recovery in the house of Jayamma. Two neck chains were seized in his presence.

36. PW.28 has deposed with regard to recovery of one mobile in his presence.

37. The other witnesses are with regard to panchanamas, conducting investigation, 65B certificate etc.

38. It is to be noted here that, even though there is some evidence with regard to discovery of places at the instances of some accused persons and recovery of some articles at the instances of accused, but the main witnesses including complainant and her family members have turned hostile. Dead bodies could not be recovered. So there is not chance for identity for deceased. They have not deposed with regard to the role played by the accused persons with regard to the commission of offence. Even the recovery at the instance of accused is not proved in accordance with law. Since the material witnesses have not

supported the case of prosecution with regard to the relationship between accused No.1 and deceased and also with regard to the monetary transactions and last seen theory, the other evidence does not help the prosecution to prove the charges leveled against the accused. The evidence of investigating officer is not sufficient to come to a conclusion that the accused have murdered the deceased persons as alleged in the charge sheet. Therefore, I hold that the prosecution could not able to place cogent, convincing and satisfactory evidence. The benefit of doubt is extended in favour of accused persons. The accused have not claimed any properties. At the same time the complainant has also not claimed any property. The owners of the vehicles got released the vehicles to their interim custody. But they have not played any role with regard to the commission of the offence. Therefore, as rightly pointed out by the learned counsel for the accused, there is no legally acceptable evidence to prove the charges. Accordingly, I answer above points in the **Negative**.

39. **Point No.7** :- In this case vehicles, mobiles, jewelry items were seized as per PF.No.35 to 40, 42, 43. As per earlier orders there is an order with regard to releasing of two cars and one Auto-rickshaw mentioned in PF.No.37 to 39 have been released in favour of the owners. The said orders are made absolute. But mobile and two items gold jewelry marked as MO.2 and 3 and 4 and 5 are in the custody of the court. Nobody has made a claimed with regard to these items.

40. It is to be noted here that, during the course of reply an application has been filed U/sec.451 of Cr.P.C by the complainant for releasing of two items of gold jewelry marked as MO.4 and 5. But the application is not supported by any ownership document. During the course of evidence she has not made any claim. That apart these items were not seized from the custody of PW.1 and on the other hand they were recovered from the bank. The bank also has not made a claim. So in the absence of any ownership documents, the application filed on behalf of the complainant cannot be considered. Therefore, gold jewelry

cannot be released in favour of the complainant. In view of the above said reasons the mobiles, kicker rod, gold jewelry which are marked as MO.1 to 7 shall be confiscated to State. Gold Jewelry marked as MO.4 and 5 shall be sent to State treasury for appropriation, after appeal period is over. The mobiles and kicker rod marked as MO.1 to 3, 6 and 7 shall be auctioned in accordance with rules, after the appeal period is over. In the result, I proceed to pass the following :

ORDER

Acting U/Section 235(1) of Cr.P.C., the accused Nos.1 to 4 are hereby acquitted of offences punishable U/Secs.201, 364, 302, 120B, 394 R/w Sec.34 of I.P.C. and u/Secs.3(2)(v-a) of SC and ST (PREVENTION OF ATROCITIES) Amendment Act, 2015.

The bail bonds of accused Nos.1 to 4 and that of their surety shall be continued for a period of six months or till filling of appeal, if any.

M.O.1 to 7 – kicker rod, mobiles phones, Itel Key Pad, Golden ornaments shall be confiscated to the State, after appeal period is over.

The said properties shall be disposed of as per the observations made while answering point No.7.

[Dictated to the Stenographer Grade-III transcribed and computerized by him, corrected and then pronounced by me in the open Court on this the 01st day of August, 2026]

(H.A. MOHAN),

Spl. Judge and I-Addl. Sessions Judge
Ballari.

ANNEXURE

LIST OF WITNESSES EXAMINED ON BEHALF OF PROSECUTION :

- P.W.1. Shravani
- P.W.2. Rudrappa
- P.W.3. Hanumanthappa
- P.W.4. Ismail
- P.W.5. Hulugappa
- P.W.6. Venkatesh
- P.W.7. Kumar
- P.W.8. Davath Sab
- P.W.9. Samivulla
- P.W.10. Ambarish
- P.W.11. Dodda Basappa
- P.W.12. Mallikarjuna
- P.W.13. Anoop Kumar
- P.W.14. Mahadev Gowda
- P.W.15. Shekhar

- P.W.16. G.Masthan.
P.W.17. Hemalatha.
P.W.18. Gurugovindasingh.
P.W.19. Nijam Bhasha.
P.W.20. Ramesh.
P.W.21. Manju.
P.W.22. Sagar.
P.W.23. Raziya
P.W.24. Mamatha.
P.W.25. Honnurappa.
P.W.26. Ravi Shankar D.M. - CRP, Ballari.
P.W.27. Jagadeesh.M.N.
P.W.28. Veeresh.
P.W.29. Chakrapani.
P.W.30. Bharath Kumar.
P.W.31. Prashanth Kumar.H.
P.W.32. K.Nagaraja – ARSI, Ballari.
P.W.33. C.Anjini Reddy – ARSI, Ballari.
P.W.34. Nagaraj – HC – Kottur Police Station, Kottur.
P.W.35. Vasanth Kumar
P.W.36. Sharadha – PSI, DSB Division, Ballari.
P.W.37. Avinash B -Senior Scientific Officer.
P.W.38. Vasukumar – P.I, Kampli Police Station, Kampli.
P.W.39. Ramesh Kumar H.B. - ACP, K.R. Sub-Division,
Mysore City, Mysore.
P.W.40. M.Shekshavali – ASI, Kurugodu Police Station,
Kurugodu.

LIST OF DOCUMENTS MARKED ON BEHALF OF PROSECUTION :

- Ex.P.1 Complaint.
Ex.P.2 Spot panchanama
Ex.P.3 Photos
Ex.P.4 Missing complaint
Ex.P.5 Spot panchanama
Ex.P.6 Sketch
Ex.P.7 Photo
Ex.P.8 Panchanama
Ex.P.9 Photo
Ex.P.9(a) Photos
Ex.P.10 Panchanama
Ex.P.11 Photos

Ex.P.12 Seizure panchanama
Exs.P.13 & C.Ds.
14
Exs.P.15 to Further statements of P.W.1
20
Ex.P.21 Statement of P.W.2
Ex.P.22 Further statement of P.W.2
Ex.P.23 C.D.
Exs.P.24 & Statement of P.W.3
25
Ex.P.26 Statement of P.W.4
Ex.P.27 Further statement of P.W.4
Ex.P.28 Statement of P.W.5
Ex.P.29 Further statement of P.W.5
Ex.P.30 C.D.
Ex.P.31 Statement of P.W.6
Ex.P.32 Further statement of P.W.6
Exs.P.33 & Statements of P.W.7
34
Ex.P.35 & Further statements of P.W.7
36
Ex.P.37 Panchanama
Ex.P.38 Photos
Ex.P.39 Statement of P.W.8
Ex.P.40 Further statement of P.W.8
Ex.P.41 Statement of P.W.9
Ex.P.42 Further statement of P.W.9
Ex.P.43 Seizure panchanama
Ex.P.44 Photos
Exs.P.45 to Bank Receipts
47
Ex.P.48 Statement of P.W.10
Ex.P.49 Further statement of P.W.10
Ex.P.50 Photos
Ex.P.51 Statement of P.W.13
Ex.P.52 Further statement of P.W.13
Ex.P.54 Statement of P.W.15
Ex.P.55 Statement of P.W.16
Ex.P.56 Panchanama
Exs.P.57 & Photos
58

Ex.P.59	Statement of P.W.17
Ex.P.60	Statement of P.W.18
Ex.P.61	Seizure panchanama
Exs.P.62 &	Photos
63	
Ex.P.64	Statement of P.W.20
Ex.P.65	Further statement of P.W.20
Ex.P.66	Statement of P.W.21
Ex.P.67	Statement of P.W.22
Ex.P.68	Statement of P.W.23
Ex.P.69	Statement of P.W.24
Ex.P.70	Panchanama
Ex.P.71	Photo
Ex.P.72	Spot panchamama
Ex.P.73	Photo
Ex.P.74	Seizure panchanama
Ex.P.75	Sketch
Exs.P.76 &	Photos
77	
Ex.P.78	Seizure panchanama
Ex.P.79	Photo
Ex.P.80	Seizure panchanama
Exs.P.81 to	Photos
85	
Ex.P.86	Panchanama
Ex.P.87	Panchanama
Exs.P.88 &	Photos
89	
Ex.P.90	Panchanama
Exs.P.91 &	Photos
92	
Ex.P.93	Panchanama
Exs.P.94 &	Photos
95	
Ex.P.96 to	C.Ds.
100	
Ex.P.101	Panchanama
Ex.P.102	Photo
Exs.P.103	Copy of U/sec.65B of Indian Evidence Act certificate of P.W.29
Ex.P.104	Receipt

Ex.P.105	Mobile analytics panchanama
Ex.P.106	Photo
Exs.P.107 & 108	Copies of U/sec.65B of Indian Evidence Act certificates of P.W.32
Exs.P.109 & 110	Copies of U/sec.65B of Indian Evidence Act certificates of P.W.33
Ex.P.111	C.D.
Ex.P.112	Copy of U/sec.65B of Indian Evidence Act certificate of P.W.34
Ex.P.113	Memo dated;02.07.2021
Ex.P.114	Letter dated:02.07.2021
Ex.P.115	FSL report
Ex.P.116	C.D.
Ex.P.117	FSL Sample Seal
Ex.P.118	Photos of deceased persons
Ex.P.119	FIR
Ex.P.120	Statement of accused No.1
Ex.P.121	Draft Sketch
Ex.P.122	Letter dated:10.06.2021
Ex.P.123	Requisition Letter dated:09.06.2021
Ex.P.124	Requisition Letter dated:09.06.2021
Ex.P.125	Memo dated:11.06.2021
Ex.P.126	Special Report
Ex.P.127	Memo dated;14.06.2021
Ex.P.128	Letter dated:11.06.2021
Ex.P.129	Requisition Letter dated:14.06.2021
Ex.P.130	Requisition Letter dated:14.06.2021
Ex.P.131	Letter dated:08.06.2021
Ex.P.132	Letter dated:08.06.2021
Ex.P.133	Further statement of accused No.1
Ex.P.135	Requisition Letter dated:15.06.2021
Exs.P.136 to 138	Letters dated:16.06.2021
Exs.P.139	Letter dated:01.07.2021
Ex.P.140	Copy of the Form No.10, Warrant To Search After Information of Particulars Offences.
Exs.P.141 to 143	Letters dated:20.07.2021
Ex.P.144	Acknowledgement
Exs.P.145 & 146	Letter dated:15.07.2021

- Ex.P.147 Letter dated:22.07.2021
 Ex.P.148 Caste Certificate of accused persons
 Ex.P.149 Cate Certificate of complainant and deceased persons
 Ex.P.150 Requisition Letter dated:02.08.2021
 Ex.P.151 CDR report of accused No.1
 Ex.P.152 Requisition Letter dated:08.06.2021
 Ex.P.153 Copy of order dated:03.08.2021
 Ex.P.154 C.D.
 Ex.P.155 Letter dated:22.08.2021
 Ex.P.156 Form of Cate Certificate of accused No.2
 Ex.P.157 Requisition Letter dated:22.08.2021
 Exs.P.158 R.C book
 & 159
 Exs.P.160 Letter dated:22.08.2021
 & 161
 Ex.P.162 FIR
 Ex.P.163 Copy of the missing publication of deceased Ramalakshmi and Jayamma
 Ex.P.164 Draft Sketch
 Ex.P.165 Requisition Letter dated:16.04.2021
 Exs.P.166 Information about the publications in the Lokadarshan, Vijayavani, Udayavani, Hosapete Times daily Newspapers regarding the missing persons i.e., Ramalakshmi and Jayamma
 to 169
 Ex.P.170 Special Report
 Ex.P.171 Requisition letter dated:23.04.2021
 Ex.P.172 Requisition Letter dated:08.06.2021
 Ex.P.173 to Letters dated:11.06.2021
 178
 Exs.P.179 Photos
 Ex.P.180 Details of the documents attached to the report.

MATERIAL OBJECTS MARKED ON BEHALF OF PROSECUTION :

- | | |
|-----------|---------------------------|
| M.O.1 | Auto-rickshaw Kicker Rod |
| M.O.2 | Oppo 15, 4G mobile |
| M.O.3 | Oppo AGV Mobile |
| M.O.4 & 5 | Golden Chains |
| M.O.6 | Keypad mobile |
| M.O.7 | Green Colour Redmi Mobile |

**LIST OF WITNESSES EXAMINED ON BEHALF OF
DEFENSE :**

NIL

**LIST OF DOCUMENTS MARKED ON BEHALF OF
DEFENSE :**

NIL

Spl. Judge and I-Addl Sessions Judge,
Ballari.