

KABI010027372026



**IN THE COURT OF I-ADDITIONAL DISTRICT &
SESSIONS JUDGE AT BALLARI**

DATED THIS THE 9th DAY OF JULY, 2026

: PRESENT :

Sri H.A. Mohan, **B.A.L., LL.B.**,
I-Addl. District & Sessions Judge, Ballari

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.692/2026

PETITIONER/ Accused Nos.1 to 14 :-

1. Basavaraj
S/o Kadani Ramanna,
Aged about 56 Years,
R/o Behin Cinema Talkies,
Tekkalakote Town,
Siruguppa Taluk, Ballari District.
2. Smt. Siddamma
W/o Basavaraj,
Aged about 46 Years,
R/o Malleshwara Camp,
Byluru village, Kurugodu Taluk,
Ballari District.
3. Naveen
S/o Basavaraj,
Aged about 23 Years,
R/o Malleshwara Camp,
Byluru village, Kurugodu Taluk,
Ballari District.

4. Nagappa
S/o Pampanna,
Aged about 64 Years,
R/o Behind Cinema Talkies,
Tekkalakote Town, Siruguppa Taluk,
Ballari District.
5. Smt. Nagamma
W/o Nagappa,
Aged about 59 Years,
R/o Tekkalakote Town, Siruguppa Taluk,
Ballari District.
6. Pakkira
S/o Nagappa,
Aged about 46 Years,
R/o Behind Cinema Talkies,
Tekkalakote Town, Siruguppa Taluk,
Ballari District.
7. Gadilinga
S/o Nagappa,
Aged about 35 Years,
R/o Behind Cinema Talkies,
Tekkalakote Town, Siruguppa Taluk,
Ballari District.
8. Smt. Lakshmi @ Annapurna Agasara
W/o Gadilingappa Agasara,
Aged about 34 Years,
R/o Behind Cinema Tekkalakote Town,
Siruguppa Taluk, Ballari District.
9. Mitton Chandra
S/o Mallappa,
Aged about 64 Years,
R/o Behind Cinema Talikes,
Tekkalakote Town, Siruguppa Taluk,
Ballari District.

10. Malli @ Chakali Mallikarjuna
S/o Nagappa,
Aged about 32 Years,
R/o Gulyam Village presently
R/o Kurugodu Town, Ballari district.
11. Smt. Jayamma @ Dodda Lakshmi
W/o Shekarappa,
Aged about 50 Years,
R/o Mallapura Camp,
Sindhanur Taluk, Raichur District.
12. Raghu
S/o Shekarappa,
Aged about 36 Years,
R/o Malkapur Camp,
Sindhanur Taluk, Raichur District.
13. Kadasiddappa
S/o Honnurappa,
Aged about 52 Years,
R/o Sirigeri village,
Siruguppa Taluk, Ballari District.
14. Smt. Radhika
W/o Manjunatha,
Aged about 36 Years,
R/o Budugumpa village,
Siruguppa Taluk, Ballari District.

(By Sri N. Abdul Saheb., Advocate)

//Vs//

RESPONDENT :-

The State by the P.S.I.,
Sirigeri Police Station.

(By Public Prosecutor, Ballari)

ORDER

This is a petition filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., by the petitioner Nos.1 to 14/ Accused Nos.1 to 14 in Crime No.124/2022 of Sirigeri police station, seeking grant of anticipatory bail, in the event of their arrest.

2. It is stated that, the petitioners are innocent, law abiding citizens and they have not committed the alleged offences. In fact, they have lodged a complaint against the complainant and others of this case. In that case, the investigation has been conducted and submitted the charge sheet against them. But, this is a counter case filed by them, just to give unnecessary trouble to them. All the alleged offences are not punishable with death or imprisonment for life. But, since one of the offences is non-bailable, they have threat of arrest. They are ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by the Court. Accordingly, prayed to allow the petition.

3. This application is resisted by the learned Public prosecutor by filing objection. It is stated that, an

attempt was made to outrage the modesty of women and also assaulted. They are powerful persons. They have not co-operated for investigation. Hence, they are not entitled for anticipatory bail.

4. Heard both sides.

5. The following points that arise for my consideration:

1. Whether petitioners have made out grounds to grant anticipatory bail to them?

2. What order?

6. My answer to the above points are as under:

Point No.1: In the Affirmative.

Point No.2: As per final order, for the following ;

REASONS

7. **Point No.1** :- After having heard both sides, I have perused the records. It is seen that, this complaint was filed on 4.9.2022 with regard to alleged incident took place on 29.8.2022 and 30.8.2022. There is delay of four

days in lodging the complaint. Proper explanations have not been offered.

8. Further, a case against the complainant and other accused has been registered in C.C. No.4027/2022 before the learned JMFC, Siruguppa and the accused in that case have appeared. It shows that, this is a counter-case. The alleged offences are not punishable with death or imprisonment for life and they are triable by learned J.M.F.C. Hence, by imposing some conditions, the petitioners may be enlarged on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, I answer the above point in the affirmative.

9. **Point No.2** :- In the result, I proceed to pass the following :

ORDER

The petition filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., by the petitioner Nos.1 to 14/Accused Nos.1 to 14 is hereby allowed.

The respondent police are directed to enlarge the petitioners on bail, in the event of their arrest pertaining to Crime No.124/2022 of Sirigeri P.S., on their executing personal bond

for Rs.50,000/- and one surety for like sum, on the following conditions :

1. The petitioners shall surrender before the jurisdictional court within 10 days from the date of this order and to obtain regular bail.

2. The petitioners shall not directly or indirectly threaten or tamper with the prosecution witnesses.

3. The petitioners shall not flee away from the justice.

4. The petitioners shall appear before the Court for conducting trial regularly.

5. The petitioners shall not interfere with the complainant in any manner.

(Dictated to the Stenographer Grade-I, transcribed & computerized by him, script corrected and then pronounced by me in the Open Court on 9th day of July, 2026)

(H.A. MOHAN)

I Additional Sessions Judge,
Ballari.

U