

KABI010023002026



**IN THE COURT OF I ADDITIONAL DISTRICT &
SESSIONS JUDGE AT BALLARI**

DATED THIS THE 12th DAY OF JUNE, 2026

: PRESENT :

Sri. H.A. Mohan, **B.A.L., LL.B.**,
I Addl. District & Sessions Judge, Ballari

CRL. MISC. No.586/2026.

PETITIONER/ACCUSED NO.1 :-

Pradeep Kumar D S/o. Basappa D, Aged
about 30 years, Working as a Veterinary
Doctor, R/o. 3rd Ward, Near Agase Sirigeri
Village, Siruguppa Taluk, Ballari District.
Presently residing at Near Neelamm
Temple, Kurugodu Town, Ballari District.

(By Sri. G.Shanthappa., Advocate)

//Vs//

RESPONDENT :-

The State by Police Sub-Inspector,
Kampli Police Station, Kampli.

(By Public Prosecutor, Ballari)

ORDER

This is a petition filed by petitioner, under Section
483 of BNSS, 2023, seeking grant of regular bail,

pertaining to Crime No.71/2026 of Kampli Police Station, Kampli.

2. It is stated that, the petitioner is the veterinary doctor and married to deceased Aishwarya and it was a love marriage. The deceased Aishwarya herself fell in love with the petitioner and against to the will and wish of her parents, she got married with the petitioner on 26.12.2024. The the petitioner used to treat her with all love and affection by providing all the necessary amenities. She became pregnant and therefore she was taken by her parents for delivery purpose. But pregnancy was aborted abruptly.

3. It is stated that, even though the petitioner had looked after his wife with all love and affection by providing all the facilities, but by taking undue advantage of this situation, her parents got registered a false case stating that due to the harassments given by the husband and her mother-in-law, she has committed the suicide. Infact, she was in the house of her parents and committed suicide at her parental house itself. There is no material to show that,

the petitioner and his mother have given any sort of ill-treatment to her. They are not at all responsible for her suicide. But on account of the false complaint given by her parents, a false case has been registered and they have been arrested and both of them are in judicial custody.

4. It is stated that, the petitioner has co-operated for investigation and he is a government veterinary doctor, but since he has been arrested, he has been put to great injustice and he has to face problems. There are no reasons to show that he is responsible for to death of his wife.

5. It is further stated that, the petitioner is ready to co-operate for further investigation if any and he is ready to abide by any of the conditions that may be imposed. Accordingly, prayed to allow the petition.

6. This petition is resisted by the learned Public Prosecutor by filing the objections along with I.O. report. It is stated that, against the well and wish of her parents, the petitioner got married Smt.Aishwarya, but thereafter started to demanding dowry from her parental house. He

always used to ill-treat her for the purpose of getting dowry. Due to ill-treatment given by the petitioner and his mother, Smt.Aishwarya committed suicide on 17.05.2026 in between 12.00 p.m. to 02.00 p.m. There is a death note left by her. Therefore, there is sufficient material to show that the petitioner is responsible for the death of his wife. Therefore, he and his mother are not entitled for bail. Accordingly, prayed to dismiss the petition.

7. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned P.P.

8. The following points that arise for my consideration:

1. Whether petitioner has made out grounds for granting anticipatory bail?
2. What order?

9. My answer to the above points are as under:

Point No.1: In the Affirmative.

Point No.2: As per final order, for the following;

REASONS

10. **Point No.1** :- After having heard both sides, I have perused the records. The learned counsel for the

petitioner has pointed out that, admittedly it was a love marriage. She herself fell in love with the petitioner. Her parents were not happy with her marriage with the petitioner. The photographs, the videos produced through pen-drive clearly shows that he was looking after his wife with all love and affection and used to take her to picnics, tourist places and used to provide all the basic amenities. He is highly educated and veterinary doctor. It is further pointed that, the in-law's of the petitioner are poor agriculturists and it was well within knowledge of the petitioner. Therefore, there was no reasons of the petitioner to expect any amount or gold jewelery from his wife. But a false theory has been created after the death of Smt.Aishwarya.

11. On the other hand the learned Public Prosecutor has argued that there is a death note and it clearly establishes involvement of the petitioner. Therefore, at this stage same is sufficient to believe the case of the prosecution.

12. In the light of the said arguments I have perused the material placed by the petitioner. The photographs, the material placed through pen-drive at this stage shows that the petitioner and his wife were happy and cordial. The receipts disclose that the petitioner used to send money to his wife through Google-pay. Many payments have been made during relevant period. That shows that the petitioner being a veterinary doctor was having sufficient salary and since it was a love marriage he was taking care of the well fare of his wife.

13. It is to be noted that, there is no dispute that her parents had taken her to their house for delivery. She got an abortion before the delivery. Thereafter, the deceased used to go to her parental house. Infact, the petitioner himself dropped his wife to the house of her parents on the last occasion. On the that day her elder sister had come to her house and after she left the house with children, she has committed suicide. The suicide was not taken place at the house of the petitioner. The petitioner is a government employee and drawing handsome salary. So for he has co-operated for

investigation. It appears major portion of the investigation has been completed and he is ready to co-operate for further investigation. So under these such circumstances, if the petitioner is kept in judicial custody for a long time, his liberties as per article 21 of Indian Constitution will be prejudiced. Having regard to the dictum of Hon'ble Supreme Court in many cases and having regard to the facts and circumstances of this case, I am of the opinion that it is case for granting bail in favour of the petitioner by imposing some conditions. Hence without much discussion, I hold that, the petitioner is entitled for bail. Accordingly, I answer point No.1 in the Affirmative.

14. **Point No.2** :- In the result, I proceed to pass the following:

ORDER

The petition filed by the petitioner/accused No.1 under section 483 of BNSS, is hereby allowed.

It is ordered that the petitioner/accused No.1 shall be released on bail pertaining to Crime No.71/2026 of Kampli P.S. for the offence punishable under section 85, 80, 352 R/w Sec.

3(5) of BNS, 2023 on his executing personal bond for Rs.1,00,000/- with one surety for like sum to the satisfaction of the learned JMFC, on the following conditions.

1. The petitioner shall not tamper the prosecution witnesses directly or indirectly.

2. The petitioner shall regularly appear before the court for conducting the trial, except under some strong grounds for his exemption.

3. The petitioner shall not indulge in similar offences in future.

4. The petitioner shall not flee away from justice.

If any conditions are violated by the petitioner, the bail shall stand automatically canceled.

(Dictated to the Stenographer Grade-III, transcribed by him, script corrected and then pronounced by me in the Open Court on 12th day of June, 2026)

(H.A.Mohan)

I Addl.District & Sessions Judge,
Ballari.