

KABI010009602026



**IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE AT BALLARI.**

PRESENT:

**SRI SIDDALINGA PRABHU, B.Sc., LL.B.,
PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
BALLARI.**

DATED THIS THE 12TH DAY OF JUNE, 2026.

Crl.Misc.No.219/2026.

PETITIONER:-

Syed Gafar S/o Syed Ghouse, 35
years, R/o Ward No.8, Society
Rice Mill Road, 4th Cross,
Millerpet, Ballari.

(Rep. by Sri.S.Rangaswamy, Advocate).

.. V/s ..

RESPONDENT :

The State of Karnataka by the
Police Sub-Inspector Brucepet
Police Station, Ballari.

(Rep. by Public Prosecutor, Ballari)

ORDER

Syed Gafar S/o Syed Ghouse, being the accused person/bail petitioner of Crime No.235/2025 of respondent Brucepet Police Station, Ballari do prefer this petition dated 14.01.2026 by invoking Section 482 of BNSS seeking an order of Anticipatory Bail.

2. The Food Inspector by name Sri.Adishesh Ambedkar S/o Shankar Amdedkar, the jurisdictional Health Inspector in his FIS *inter-alia* mentions that the accused herein above had without any license or authority had the stock of Bags of Rice which were meant for Public Distribution. The accused was having stock at a Shed, situated on the public road which runs between Avambhavi and Batri and thereby the accused had committed the offences punishable under Sections 3, 6A and 7 the Essential Commodities Act, 1955.

3. The above being the facts, the accused/bail petitioner in his pleading urges *inter alia* that he is

innocent of the offences alleged; that he has been falsely implicated; that the Bags of Rice which were seized do not belong to him. Nor is he the owner of the said Bags of Rice. That at no point of time, did he committed the offences alleged; he hails from a respectable family, that he possess movable as well as immovable properties, that he is ready and willing to abide by any of the conditions which may be imposed by this Court and therefore that he be granted with Anticipatory Bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor in his objections dated 08.04.2026 while repeated the facts of the case. He further pleads *inter-alia* that the Bags of Rice meant for Public Distribution, that by making stock of those Bags of Rice, the accused/bail petitioner has committed the serious offences as against the Society at large. That in the event of grant of bail, the accused would repeat the similar type of offences and

he would also tamper with the prosecution witnesses and therefore that the bail petition be dismissed.

5. The following are the points which do arise for consideration.

1. Is the bail petitioner/accused above mentioned, for the grounds urged in his petition, entitle for an order of Anticipatory Bail as sought for?

2. What Order?

6. Having perused the aforementioned rival pleadings and having heard the parties hereto, this Court assigns the following:

REASONS

6.1. As pointed out by the learned counsel for the accused/bail petitioner, the offences alleged though non-bailable in nature, they are not punishable with death penalty or with life imprisonment.

6.2. It is not the case of the prosecution that the accused/bail petitioner is habitual offender. Nor is it the case of prosecution that this accused was

previously convicted by any Court of law. Therefore, for the reasons aforementioned, this court answers Point No.1 in the Affirmative and passes the following:

ORDER

That, for the aforementioned reasons the bail petition preferred under Section 482 of BNSS by the bail petitioner/accused Syed Gafar in Crime No.235/2025 of respondent Brucepet Police Station, Ballari is hereby allowed on the following terms:

1. That the above said bail petitioner/accused shall execute personal bond for ₹25,000/- and he shall offer surety for like sum.
2. He shall assist the I.O. as and when called upon to do so.

(Dictated to the Stenographer Grade-III, transcribed by her through computer, corrected, signed and then pronounced by me in the open Court on this the **12th day of June, 2026**).

(Siddalinga Prabhu)

Principal District and Session

-6-

Crl.Misc.No.219/2026.

s Judge,
Ballari.