

KABI010019902026



**IN THE COURT OF I ADDITIONAL DISTRICT &
SESSIONS JUDGE AT BALLARI**

DATED THIS THE 05th DAY OF JUNE, 2026

: PRESENT :

Sri. H.A. Mohan, **B.A.L., LL.B.**,
I Addl. District & Sessions Judge, Ballari

CRL. MISC. No.457/2026.

PETITIONER :-
ACCUSED NO.6

Vidya Lakshmi M G D/o. Giriyappa, Aged
about 26 years, R/o. Ward No.05, Magadi
Town, Magadi, Ramanagar-562120.

(By Sri. Uday Kiran D., Advocate)

//Vs//

RESPONDENT :-

The State by Police Sub-Inspector,
APMC Yard Police Station, Ballari.

(By Public Prosecutor, Ballari)

ORDER

This is a petition filed by petitioner/accused No.6,
under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, in Crime No.65/2026 of

APMC Yard P.S, seeking grant of anticipatory bail, in the event of her arrest.

2. It is stated that, the petitioner/accused is nothing do with the alleging crime. But she has been falsely implicated in the case. She has not at all colluded with any person to commit the alleged offences. She was not entrusted with financial matter and She had no knowledge about transferring of amounts to her account.

3. It is stated that the petitioner is a law abiding citizen, hails from respectable family and having deep roots in the society. She is ready to abide by any of the conditions that may be imposed. She is the earning member of the family and her presence is required for welfare of her family members. Accordingly, prayed to allow the petition.

4. This petition is resisted by the learned Public Prosecutor by filing the objections. It is stated that in collusion with accused No.1, this accused has also misappropriated funds of KIADB. Several amounts by

transferring from the account of the accused No.1. Therefore, there are prima facie material to show that she has colluded with accused No.1 and by misusing the cheques. Several crores of rupees have been transferred to various accounts and thereby committed criminal breach of trust and cheated the institution. The investigation is going on. Therefore, at this stage she is not entitled for anticipatory bail. Accordingly, prayed to dismiss the petition.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned P.P.

6. The following points that arise for my consideration:

1. Whether petitioner has made out grounds for granting anticipatory bail?
2. What order?

7. My answer to the above points are as under:

Point No.1: In the Affirmative.

Point No.2: As per final order, for the following;

REASONS

8. **Point No.1** :- After having heard both sides, I have perused the records. The learned counsel for the petitioner has brought to the notice of this court about the granting anticipatory bail in favour of accused No.5 in Crl.Misc No.405/2026 dated:14.05.2026. On perusal of the said order it is seen that my learned predecessor has granted anticipatory bail in favour of accused No.5, after having perused the records.

9. The allegation against this petitioner is also similar in nature. The nature of case is resting on documentary evidence. The documents with regard to transferring of funds from one account to another account can be collected by approaching the concerned banks.

10. The main allegation are against accused No.1. The allegation against other accused persons including this accused are with regard collusion and assisting accused No.1 to transfer the funds from the account of KIADB to other accounts by misusing 16 cheques. Whether the

petitioner is really involved in the alleged crime or not and whether she had colluded with accused No.1 or not will have to be investigated and proved during the course of trial. The alleged offences are not punishable with death or imprisonment for life.

11. As stated above, investigation can be completed by collecting relevant documents and that cannot be destroyed by the accused in any manner. Because the said documents are available in the custody of banks. Since my learned predecessor has already granted anticipatory bail in favor of accused No.5, on the ground of parity and by imposing same conditions, this accused may be released on bail. Hence without much discussion, I hold that, the petitioner is entitled for anticipatory bail. Accordingly, I answer point No.1 in the Affirmative.

12. **Point No.2** :- In the result, I proceed to pass the following:

ORDER

The petition filed by the petitioner/accused No.6 under section 482 of BNSS, are hereby allowed.

It is ordered that the petitioner/accused No.6 shall be enlarged on anticipatory bail pertaining to Crime No.65/2026 of APMC Yard P.S. for the offence punishable under section 316(2), 316(4), 316(5), 318(4), 336(2), 336(3), 340(2) R/w Sec. 3(5) of BNS, 2023 on her executing personal bond for Rs.1,00,000/- and one surety for like sum on the following conditions.

1. The petitioner shall surrender before the police within 10 days from the date of this order to assist the police for conducting investigation, in accordance with law.

2. The petitioner shall give her attendance before the concerned police, once in 15 days i.e., on Saturday in between 10.00 a.m. to on 5.00 p.m. till filing of the final report.

3. The petitioner shall not tamper the prosecution witnesses directly or indirectly.

4. The petitioner shall not involve in any type of criminal activities.

5. The petitioner shall not flee away from justice.

If any conditions are violated by the petitioner, the anticipatory bail shall stand automatically canceled.

(Dictated to the Stenographer Grade-III, transcribed by him, script corrected and then pronounced by me in the Open Court on 05th day of June, 2026)

(H.A.Mohan)

I Addl.District & Sessions Judge,
Ballari.