



**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SENIOR CIVIL
JUDGE, GOKAK.**

BEFORE: Sri.Umesh S. Atnure,

B.Com.LL.B.(Spl)

O.S. No.396/2023

DATED THIS 04th DAY OF FEBRUARY-2026

Plaintiff: 1. Shri. Laxman S/o Beerappa Dabaj,
Age: 77 years, Occ: Agri,
R/o: Pamaladinni, Tq: Gokak.

V/s

Defendant: 1. Shri. Bhupal S/o Dudappa Hulloli,
Age: 70 years, Occ: Business,
C/o: Sachin S/o Bhupal Hulloli,
R/o: Ghataprabha, Mallapur (PG).

**PARTIES TO I.A.No.IX FILED U/o VII RULE 14(3) R/W
SEC 151 of CPC**

Applicant: 1. Shri. Laxman S/o Beerappa Dabaj
By P.A. Holder R/o Pamaladinni,
Tq: Gokak.

.....Plaintiff/Applicant

Vs.

Opponents: 1. Shri. Bhupal S/o Dudappa Hulloli
R/o Ghataprabha, Mallapur (PG)

.....Opponent/Defendant

**PARTIES TO I.A.No.XI TO XIII FILED U/o VIII RULE 1A
R/W SEC 151 OF CPC & U/o VII RULE 14(3) R/W SEC
151 OF CPC**

Applicant: 1. Shri. Bhupal S/o. Dundappa Hulloli.

.....Applicant/Defendant

Vs.

Opponents: 1. Shri. Laxman S/o. Beerappa Dabaj

.....Opponent/Plaintiff

**ORDERS ON I.A.No.IX, XI TO XIII FILED U/o VII RULE
14(3) R/W SEC 151 of CPC, U/o VIII RULE 1A R/W SEC
151 OF CPC & U/o VII RULE 14(3) R/W SEC 151 OF
CPC**

I.A.No.IX filed by the plaintiff U/o VII Rule 14(3) R/W Section 151 of CPC praying to permit the plaintiff to produced the documents.

I.A.No.XI and XII is filed by the defendant U/o VIII Rule 1A R/W Section 151 of CPC praying to permit the defendant to produced the documents.

I.A.No.XIII is filed by the plaintiff praying to permit the plaintiff to produced the documents.

2. In the accompanying affidavit of I.A.No.IX, the plaintiff contended that the GPA Holder of the plaintiff has traced out some important documents which will help to decide the matter in dispute effectively. The defendant is also well aware of these documents and there is no surprise to the defendant as such, he prayed to allow the application.
3. The defendant filed objections to this application contending that the documents sought for production were well within the knowledge and possession of the plaintiff. The plaintiff ought to have produced the said documents after settlement of the issues. There is a sufficient delay in producing these documents as such, prayed to reject the application.
4. The defendant filed I.A.No.XI and XII praying to permit him to produced the documents.
5. In the accompanying affidavit of these two applications the defendant contended that he has obtained the documents recently from concerned authorities and he is producing these documents before this court. The documents which he intending to produced are material and important documents

to decide the suit on merits and no surprise will be cause to the other side hence, prayed to allow these applications.

6. The plaintiff filed objections to I.A.No.XI and XII contending that the documents which the defendant is intending to produced are not relevant documents to decide the suit and the death extracts produced by the defendant is forged one. As such, prayed to reject these applications.
7. The plaintiff filed I.A.No.XIII praying to permit him to produced the documents and contended that recently he produced some important documents which are helpful for this court to decide the suit as such, prayed to allow the application.
8. The defendant filed objections to I.A.No.XIII contending that the documents which the plaintiff is intending to produced are not at all relevant and material documents. Further contended that after issuance of the endorsement from the Tahasildar he obtained the opinion from the AGP thereafter the order of T.I is entered in the month of March 2025 i.e., after laps of 2 years from the date of passing of the order by

this court on I.A.No.I. As such, the plaintiff contended that the documents are not relevant for deciding the suit prayed to reject the suit.

9. Heard both side. Perused the application and records.
10. The points that arise for my consideration are as follows:
 1. **Whether the plaintiff has made out grounds to permit him to produced the documents as per the I.A.No.IX and XIII?**
 2. **Whether defendant made out grounds to permit him to produced the documents as per I.A.No.XI and XII?**
 3. **What order?**

11. My findings on the above Points are:

Point No.1:- In the Affirmative

Point No.2:- In the Affirmative

Point No.3:- As per final order

for the following:

REASONS

12. **Point No.1 & 2:-** When this matter is posted for hearing on I.A.No.I and X i.e., on hearing on Temporary Injunction and vacating the Temporary Injunction granted by this court both parties are filed the documents under the above said applications. I perused the documents which both parties are intending to produced are pertaining to the to suit property and both properties are relevant documents to decide this suit. Whether those documents are genuine documents or created documents will be decided only after full-fledged trial of the court. Hence, I am of the consider view that the plaintiff and defendants are made out grounds to permit to produced the documents under I.A.No.VIII, XI to XIII if the parties are permit to produced the documents it will not cause any loss or injury to the either the plaintiff nor the defendant. Hence, I am of the consider view that the plaintiff and defendants are permitted to be produced the documents produced under I.A.No.VIII, XI to XIII. Hence, **I answered the Point No.1 and 2 in the Affirmative.**

13. **Point No.3:-** For the aforesaid findings, I proceed to pass the following;

ORDER

The application filed by the plaintiff U/o VII Rule 14(3) R/W Section 151 of CPC filed at I.A.No.IX and XIII are hereby allowed. The plaintiff is permitted to produced the documents.

The application filed by the defendant U/o VIII Rule 1(A) R/W Section 151 of CPC filed at I.A.No.XI and XII are hereby allowed. The defendant is permitted to produced the documents.

(Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by him on computer, revised, corrected and then pronounced by me in the open Court on this the 04th day of February, 2026.)

(Umesh S. Atnure)
Prl. Senior Civil Judge Gokak.