

MHCC020025182026



IN THE COURT OF THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR N. D. P. S. CASES
AT GREATER MUMBAI

NDPS SPECIAL CASE NO.251 OF 2026

The State of Maharashtra
(Through Shivaji Nagar police
station vide Cr. No.984/2025)

... Prosecution

- VERSUS -

Hasan Inam Sayyed

... Accused

Appearance :-

Ms. P. S. Jadhav, Advocate for applicant/accused.

Mr. B. G. Rajput, Ld. A. P. P. for respondent/State.

CORAM : H. H. THE SPECIAL JUDGE (N. D. P. S.)
SHRI. S. S. DESHPANDE,
(COURT ROOM NO.44), GR. MUMBAI.

DATE : 11/08/2026.

ORDER BELOW EXHIBIT-5 :

. This is an application for reduction of cash bail amount filed by the accused Hasan Inam Sayed, who is arrested in connection with Cr. No.984/2025 registered with Mankhurd police station, for the offence punishable under Sections 8 (c) and 20 (b) of The Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act (in short NDPS Act). The accused has contended that by way of order passed in NDPS Bail Application No.23/2026 dtd. 26/2/2026 he was directed to be released on executing P. B. and S. B. of Rs.50,000/-. The accused has prayed for

provisional cash bail. The said application came to be allowed directing him to furnish cash bail of Rs.40,000/- by way of order dtd.12/3/2026 and time was granted to deposit cash security till 27/3/2026. It is stated that although the accused was enlarged on bail he could not arrange for the regular surety, nor could not deposit the cash security of Rs.40,000/- till date. Period of more than 5½ months is lapsed after passing of the bail order.

2] Learned advocate for the accused has urged that the accused is a poor person and working as a rickshaw puller. The said rickshaw is not owned by him. As the accused is behind the bars and his relatives are not residing at Mumbai, there is no one to take his care and, therefore, neither surety, nor cash security of Rs.40,000/- could be arranged. In this background, it is urged for reduction of cash security.

3] Learned A. P. P. has candidly submitted that the offence registered against the accused is of serious nature. Hence prayer may not be considered. Alternatively, it is prayed for passing appropriate order.

4] Having considered the submissions advanced at bar, the period already lapsed after passing of the order of grant of bail and then the cash bail, it is seen that the accused is unable to arrange for cash security of Rs.40,000/-. In this background, I am of the considered opinion that the accused has made out case for exercising discretion in his favour by taking pragmatic approach. In the light of aforesaid observations and the grounds submitted by learned advocate for applicant following order is passed :-

ORDER

- 1] Application exhibit-5 is allowed.
- 2] Applicant/accused be released on cash bail of Rs.30,000/- provisionally for a period of three weeks from the date of his release.
- 3] Applicant/accused shall furnish regular surety within stipulated period of three weeks from the date of his release failing which the prosecution may apply for forfeiture of amount of cash bail.
- 4] Application is disposed of accordingly.

Date : 11/08/2026.

(**S. S. DESHPANDE**)
Special Judge (N.D.P.S.),
City Civil & Sessions Court,
Court Room No.44, Gr. Bombay

Dictated on : 11.08.2026
Typed on : 12.08.2026
Signed on : 13.08.2026

“CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL SIGNED ORDER.”		
13/08/2026	06:15 p.m.	V. V. Kulkarni
UPLOAD DATE	TIME	NAME OF STENOGRAPHER

Name of the Judge (With Court Room No.)	H. H. Judge Shri. S. S. Deshpande, Court Room No. 44.
Date of Pronouncement of ORDER	11/08/2026
ORDER Typed on	12/08/2026
ORDER signed by P. O. on	13/08/2026
ORDER uploaded on	13/08/2026