

**Manoj Saini Vs. Gaurav Singhal**

Present: Complainant in person, represented by Sh. Rohit Yadav, Advocate.

Complaint presented today. It be checked and registered. CW1 i.e. Complainant appeared and vide his separate statement has tendered his affidavit Ex.CW1/A and documents Ex.C1 to C7 and closed his preliminary evidence. Hearing has been provided to the Ld. Counsel on the point of summoning of accused on the basis of allegations made in the complaint.

2. As per the version of complainant the accused in discharge of his liability had issued cheque bearing no.414660 dated 11.07.2026 on his account no.0751104000159944 amounting to Rs.1,00,000/- in favour of the complainant. On presentation the same was returned unpaid by the banker of the complainant with remarks '50-Account Closed'. The complainant issued a legal notice through registered speed post on 22.07.2026 but despite the said legal notice accused had failed to pay the amount of cheque to the complainant. These averments prima facie make out a case punishable under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 in favour of the complainant.

3. To prove these averments, the complainant has appeared himself as CW1 and proved the documents from Ex.C1 to C7 respectively. A perusal of the case file goes to show that the above said cheque was exclusively signed by the respondent/accused. Thus, there are sufficient grounds for summoning the respondent/accused for commission of offence under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. Hence, ordered accordingly. Now, accused be summoned through all modes except publication for **20.11.2026** on filing of PF/RC etc. within two days positively. Dasti be given, if so desired.

Date of order: 13.08.2026

‘Sangeeta, Stenographer Gr.II’

(Aditya Verma)  
JMIC (Exclusive Court  
under N. I. Act), Jhajjar.  
UID No. HR0720