

Case is advanced. Accused No.3 is present. Sri. MHP Advocate files Vakalat for Accused no.3. Accused No.3 submits that, he ready to admit his guilt. Hence copies of prosecution papers is furnished to him. The substance of accusation is read over and explained to him. He pleaded guilty of the offences. Plea of the accused No.3 is recorded. I am satisfied that, his plea is voluntary. Hence accepted and pass the following.

ORDER

Acting U/S. 275 of BNSS the accused No.3 is convicted for the offence punishable U/sec. 78(3) of KP Act. The accused No.3 is convicted for the offence punishable U/sec. 78(3) of Karnataka Police Act and he shall pay fine amount of Rs.300/- in default he shall undergo SI for 8 day/s. Total fine of Rs. 300/-. For payment/deposit of penalty by court PC. Call on 09.06.2026.

Case called out. Property amount of Rs. 940/- is deposited PR. No.16/2026. The said amount is forfeited to the state.

-Sd-

II Addl. C.J & JMFC., Gokak

ORDER

The application U/O 23 Rule 3 of CPC is hereby allowed before Lokadalat. In view of settlement between the parties, suit is hereby disposed of as compromised before Lokadalat.

Office is directed to draw decree accordingly and compromise petition shall be part and parcel of compromise decree

Sd/-	Sd/-
Advocate conciliator	Judicial Conciliator.

Birth

Prayed that the respondent may kindly be directed to enter the date of birth of petitioner namely Rajiya D/o Navazkhan Jagadal was born on 25-06-1969 at Konnur village, Tq Gokak in concerned birth register maintained by the respondents

Prayed that the respondent may kindly be directed to enter the date of birth of petitioner namely Hanamant S/o Vittal Soraganvi was born on 29-05-2015 at Lolatur village, Tq- Gokak in concerned birth register maintained by the respondents

Death

Prayed that the respondent may kindly be directed to enter the date of Death of petitioners Son namely Parashuram S/o Laxman Gadiwaddar was born on 22-07-2024 at Dhupadal village, Tq Gokak in concerned Death register maintained by the respondents.

Maintenance

The respondent may kindly be ordered to pay the maintenance of Rs. 15,000/- per month to the petitioners.

OS 437/2019

ORDER

Counsel for plaintiffs files memo by stating that, plaintiff is not interested to proceed with the case. Hence, suit is hereby dismissed as not pressed and interim order if any in force shall stand vacated.

-Sd-

I/c II Addl. C.J & JMFC., Gokak

This EP was disposed on 16.09.2025. Office has put a note stating that in CIS the present EP is not yet closed, due to oversight the said EP is still showing pending in CIS. Perused, as already the present EP is closed on 16.09.2025, concerned Civil section is hereby directed to close the EP in CIS.

C/c II Addl. C.J & JMFC., Gokak

ORDER

Dhr present. Counsel for DHR files a memo along with a letter dated 16.09.2025 stating that JDR No.1 has paid the entire petition amount. Hence prays to close the petition as fully satisfied. Heard. perused the memo. Accordingly, the petition against Jdr.No.1 to 4 stand closed as fully satisfied.

-Sd-

C/c II Addl. C.J & JMFC., Gokak

ORDER

The I.O. has filed PM report of the accused. The case is closed against accused is as abated.

-Sd-

C/c II Addl. C.J & JMFC., Gokak

ORDER

The compromise petition is accepted, in view of the compromise, the suit is decreed as compromised.

The compromise petition is part and parcel of the decree.

Draw final decree as per the terms of compromise petition.

Parties to bear their own costs

-Sd-

C/c II Addl. C.J & JMFC., Gokak

ORDER

Accused is present and voluntarily pleaded his guilt. Plea accepted. Acting U/Sec. 275 of BNSS, I hereby convict the accused for the offence P/U/Sec. 185 of MV Act and sentenced him to pay fine of Rs. 10,000/- in default shall undergo S.I one month.

-Sd-

II Addl. C.J & JMFC., Gokak

ORDER

The compromise petition is accepted, in view of the compromise, the suit is decreed as compromised. The compromise petition is part and parcel of the decree. Draw final decree as per the terms of compromise petition. Parties to bear, their own costs

-Sd-

C/c II Addl. C.J & JMFC., Gokak

Ld counsels Sri. M.H.P. has filed VK for accused. Accused is present and submits that he wants to plead guilty. Accusation is read over and explained to the accused. Accused pleads guilty. I accept his plea to be voluntary one. I convict the Accused acting U/sec. 275 of B.N.S.S. for the offense punishable U/sec.15(A), 32(3) of K.E.Act and sentenced him to pay a fine of Rs. 500/- IDSI for 7 days.

The property deposited vide property No. 93/2025 dated 16.07.2025 shall be destroyed after the expiry of appeal period.

-Sd-

C/c II Addl. C.J & JMFC., Gokak

Accused is present and voluntarily pleaded his guilt. Plea accepted. Acting U/Sec. 275 of BNSS, I hereby convict the accused for the offence P/U/Sec. 185 of MV Act and sentenced him to pay fine of Rs. 10,000/- in default shall undergo S.I one month.

ORDER

The IA is allowed. Plaintiff is permitted to withdraw the suit with liberty to institute a fresh suit in respect of the subject matter of suit as prayed for.

C/c II Addl. C.J & JMFC., Gokak

Accused and counsel are present. 313 statement of accused is recorded, read over to the accused in the language known to him. Accused submits no defence evidence. Heard both sides. For judgement. Call on 06.09.2025.

Sri. R.N.L. advocate files memo of appearance for A-8. Sri. M.P.S and P.M.A Advocate has filed vakalat, surety affidavit for accused No.5 along with application U/Sec. 437 of Cr.P.C. It is contended in the bail application that accused No.5 has not committed any offences. He is permanent resident in the said locality, having movable and immovable properties, his family members are depending upon his income and he is ready to abide by the conditions to be imposed by this court. Hence, prayed to enlarge them on bail.

C.C.No.2363/2025

Learned A.P.P has filed objection to bail petition and contended that the offences are non-bailable. It appears on the basis of complaint and FIR that the accused No.5 has committed offences, statement of witnesses have to be taken, they may commit similar offences, they may escape from the jurisdiction of the court and he will obstruct the investigation, if they are released on bail. Hence, APP has objected for grant of bail.

Heard both the sides.

The offence alleged against the accused No.5 is punishable under Section 420 R/w Sec.34 of IPC. The alleged offence is non-bailable in nature and triable by this court. The accused No.5 is ready to abide by the conditions and also ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of the court.

The apprehension of the learned APP can be met by imposing stringent conditions. The accused has made out

sufficient grounds to allow the application. Hence, the grounds are made out in the bail petition for the accused to be released on bail. Hence, this court proceeds to pass the following:

ORDER

Bail application filed by the accused U/Sec.437 of Cr.P.C is allowed on following;

C.C.No.2363/2025

Conditions

1. He shall execute P.B. of Rs.50,000/- with one surety for like sum.
2. He shall not threaten the prosecution witnesses in any manner and shall not commit similar offences.
3. He shall appear before the court regularly till conclusion of trial
4. He shall not involve in any offences.

Surety by name Shri. Muttappa S/o. Adivappa Yadahalli, Age: 46 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o: Simi Hallada Toda, Belagali village, Tq. Mudhol, Dist. Bagalkot today at Gokak is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.441-A of Cr.P.C by submitting that he is ready to stand as surety for accused No.5 and produced extract of landed property bearing R.S.No.119/*/6, measuring 05Ac-00Gs, which is situated within the limits of Nagaral village, Tq: Mudhol, Dist: Belagavi approximately valued for Rs.50,00,000/- (Fifty Lakhs Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused No.5.

Perused, satisfied and accepted his suretyship for above said accused No.5.

Office to take bond accordingly.

Re issue summons to A-1 to 4, 6, 7, 9 and 10. For appearance of A-8. Call on 08.10.2025.

Date: 28.06.2025.

**C/c II Addl. C.J & JMFC.,
Gokak**

C.C.No.4794/2024

Case called out. Accused No.1 to 6 are present. Ld counsel Sri. M.U.W has filed VK for accused No.1 to 6. The same is taken on record. Ld counsel has also filed application U/sec. 437 of Cr.P.C Surety application, affidavit, surety declaration U/sec. 441-A of Cr.P.C. Ld. APP filed objection. Heard. The Ld Counsel for the accused and Ld. APP

For orders.

Addl. CJ & JMFC, Gokak

Sri. M.U.W Advocate has filed vakalat, surety affidavit for accused No.1 to 6 along with application U/Sec. 437 of Cr.P.C. It is contended in the bail application that accused No.1 to 6 have not committed any offences. They are permanent resident in the said locality, having movable and immovable properties, their family members are depending upon their income and they are ready to abide by the conditions to be imposed by this court. Hence, prayed to enlarge them on bail.

Learned A.P.P has filed objection to bail petition and contended that the offences are non-bailable. It appears on the basis of complaint and FIR that the accused No.1 to 6 have committed offences, statement of witnesses have to be taken, they

may commit similar offences, they may escape from the jurisdiction of the court and he will obstruct the investigation, if they are released on bail. Hence, APP has objected for grant of bail.

Heard both the sides.

The offence alleged against the accused No.1 to 6 are punishable under Section 143, 147, 148, 323, 324, 326, 506 R/w Sec.149 of IPC. The alleged offences are non-bailable in nature and triable by this court. The accused is ready to abide by the conditions and also ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of the court.

The apprehension of the learned APP can be met by imposing stringent conditions. The accused has made out sufficient grounds to allow the application. Hence, the grounds are made out in the bail petition for the accused to be released on bail. Hence, this court proceeds to pass the following:

ORDER

Bail application filed by the accused U/Sec.437 of Cr.P.C is allowed on following;

Conditions

1. They shall execute P.B. of Rs.50,000/- each with one surety for like sum.
2. They shall not threaten the prosecution witnesses in any manner and shall not commit similar offences.
3. They shall appear before the court regularly till conclusion of trial

4. They shall not involve in any offences.

Surety by name Shri. Bhimappa S/o. Siddappa Kottal, Age: 39 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o: Benchinmaradi, Tq. Gokak, Dist. Belagavi today at Gokak is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.441-A of Cr.P.C by submitting that he is ready to stand as surety for accused No.1 to 6 and produced extract of landed property bearing R.S.No.411/*/52, measuring 02Ac-28Gs, assessed at Rs.01.80Ps, he has got 1/3rd share which is situated within the limits of Maladinni village, Tq: Gokak, Dist: Belagavi approximately valued for Rs.5,00,000/- (Five Lakhs Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused No.1 to 6 .

Perused, satisfied and accepted his suretyship for above said accused No.1 to 6.

Office to take bond accordingly.

For HBC

Call On:

Date: 25.06.2025.

JMFC.,

C/c II Addl. C.J &

Gokak

Gokak Rural P.S Cri.No.91/2025

Case is advanced taken on today's board. Ld counsel Sri. B.H.N and A.L.S have filed memo of appearance, surety petition and declaration U/Sec.486 of BNSS. Ld counsel has also filed application U/sec. 480 of BNSS, The Ld APP has filed objection. The same are taken on record. Hd the Ld APP and Ld counsel for the accused No.2.

Sri. B.H.N and A.L.S Advocates have filed a memo of appearance, vakalat, surety affidavit for accused No.2 along with application U/Sec. 480 of BNSS. It is contended in the bail application that accused No.2 has not committed any offences. He is permanent resident in the said locality, having movable and immovable properties, his family members are depending upon his income and he is ready to abide by the conditions to be imposed by this court. Hence, prayed to enlarge them on bail.

Learned A.P.P has filed objection to bail petition and contended that the offences are non-bailable in nature. The prima facie case reveals that the accused No.2 has involvement in the offences, statement of witnesses have to be taken, APP submits that he may commit similar offences, he may escape from the jurisdiction of the court and he will obstruct the investigation, if he is released on bail. Hence, APP has objected for grant of bail.

Heard both the sides.

The offence alleged against the accused No.2 is punishable under Section 331(3), 305(a) of B.N.S. The alleged offences are

non-bailable in nature and triable by this court. The accused No.2 is ready to abide by the conditions and also ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of the court.

The apprehension of the learned APP can be met by imposing stringent conditions. The accused No.2 has made out sufficient grounds to allow the application. Hence, the grounds are made out in the bail petition for the accused No.2 to be released on bail. Hence, this court proceeds to pass the following:

ORDER

Bail application filed by the accused No.2 U/Sec.480 of BNSS is allowed on following;

Condition

1. He shall execute P.B. of Rs.50,000/- with one surety for like sum.
2. He shall not threaten the prosecution witnesses in any manner and shall not commit similar offences.
3. He shall appear before the court regularly
4. He shall not involve in any similar kind of offences
5. The accused No.2 shall mark his attendance before the concerned IO on every Sunday between 10 a.m to 4 p.m for a period two months or until the filing of the charge sheet, whichever is earlier.

Surety by name Mallappa @ Mallesh S/o. Appayya Karennavar @ Kari, Age: 44 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o: Hanabaratti, Tq. Bailhongal, Dist. Belagavi today at Gokak is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.486 of BNSS by submitting that he is ready to stand as surety for accused No.2 and produced extract of

agriculture landed property bearing R.S.No.266*/1, measuring 00Ac-39Gs, Assessed at Rs.0.72Ps, which is situated at Hanabaratti village, Tq: Bailhongal, Dist: Belagavi approximately valued for Rs.20,00,000/- (Twenty Lakhs Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused No.2.

Perused, satisfied and accepted his suretyship for above said accused No.2.

Issue intimation to the jail authorities by hand and through e-mail to release the accused No.2 if he is not required in any other case.

Office to take bond accordingly.

Date: 25.06.2025.
JMFC.,

C/c. II Addl. C.J &
Gokak

Sri. M.B.K Advocate has filed memo of appearance, vakalat, surety affidavit for accused No.1 along with application U/Sec. 480 of BNSS. It is contended in the bail application that accused No.1 has not committed any offences. He is permanent resident in the said locality, having movable and immovable properties, his family members are depending upon his income and he is ready to abide by the conditions to be imposed by this court. Hence, prayed to enlarge them on bail.

Learned A.P.P has filed objection to bail petition and contended that the offences are non-bailable in nature. It appears that on the basis of complaint and FIR prima facie case reveals that the accused No.1 has involvement in the offences, statement of witnesses have to be taken, APP submits that he may commit similar offences, he may escape from the jurisdiction of the court and he will obstruct the investigation, if he is released on bail. Hence, APP has objected for grant of bail.

Heard both the sides.

The offence alleged against the accused No.1 is punishable under Section 331(3), 305(a) of B.N.S. The alleged offences are non-bailable in nature and triable by this court. The accused No.1 is ready to abide by the conditions and also ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of the court.

The apprehension of the learned APP can be met by imposing stringent conditions. The accused No.1 has made out sufficient grounds to allow the application. Hence, the grounds

are made out in the bail petition for the accused No.1 to be released on bail. Hence, this court proceeds to pass the following:

ORDER

Bail application filed by the accused No.1 U/Sec.480 of BNSS is allowed on following;

Condition

1. He shall execute P.B. of Rs.50,000/- with one surety for like sum.
2. He shall not threaten the prosecution witnesses in any manner and shall not commit similar offences.
3. He shall appear before the court regularly
4. He shall not involve in any offences
5. The accused No.1 shall mark his attendance before the concerned IO on every Sunday for a period two months or until the filing of the charge sheet, whichever is earlier.

Surety by name Fakirappa S/o. Ramappa Talawar, Age: 44 years, Occ: Agriculture/coolie, R/o: Mohare, Tq. Bailhongal, Dist. Belagavi today at Gokak is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.486 of BNSS by submitting that he is ready to stand as surety for accused No.1 and produced extract of House property bearing V.P.C No.299/2 under village panchayat Deshnur of Mohare village, Tq: Bailhongal, Dist: Belagavi approximately valued for Rs.8,00,000/- (Eight Lakhs Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused No.1.

Perused, satisfied and accepted his suretyship for above said accused No.1.

Issue intimation to the jail authorities to release the accused No.1 if he is not required in any other case.

Office to take bond accordingly.

Date: 23.06.2025.
JMFC.,

C/c. II Addl. C.J &
Gokak

Kulagod P.S Cri.No.64/2025

Case called out. Accused is present. Ld counsel Sri. S.S.M and R.B.G has filed VK for accused. The same is taken on record. Ld counsel has also filed application U/sec. 480 of BNSS Surety application, affidavit, surety declaration U/sec. 486 of BNS. Ld. APP filed objection. Heard. The Ld Counsel for the accused and Ld. APP

For orders.

Addl. CJ & JMFC, Gokak

Sri. S.S.M and R.B.G Advocates have filed vakalat, surety affidavit for accused along with application U/Sec. 480 of BNSS. It is contended in the bail application that accused has not committed any offences. He is permanent resident in the said locality, having movable and immovable properties, his family members are depending upon his income and he is ready to abide by the conditions to be imposed by this court. Hence, prayed to enlarge them on bail.

Learned A.P.P has filed objection to bail petition and contended that the offences are non-bailable. It appears on the basis of complaint and FIR that the accused has committed offence, statement of witnesses have to be taken, he may commit similar offences, he may escape from the jurisdiction of the court

and he will obstruct the investigation, if he is released on bail. Hence, APP has objected for grant of bail.

Heard both the sides.

The offence alleged against the accused is punishable under Section 305, 240 of B.N.S. The alleged offences are non-bailable in nature and triable by this court. The accused is ready to abide by the conditions and also ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of the court.

The apprehension of the learned APP can be met by imposing stringent conditions. The accused has made out sufficient grounds to allow the application. Hence, the grounds are made out in the bail petition for the accused to be released on bail. Hence, this court proceeds to pass the following:

ORDER

Bail application filed by the accused U/Sec.480 of BNSS is allowed on following;

Conditions

1. He shall execute P.B. of Rs.50,000/- with one surety for like sum.
2. He shall not threaten the prosecution witness in any manner and shall not commit similar offences.
3. He shall appear before the court regularly till conclusion of trial
4. He shall not involve in any offences.
5. He shall mark his attendance before the concerned P.S on every Tuesday, i.e., till 01.07.2025.

Surety by name Shri. Suresh S/o. Tammanna Khilari, Age: 48 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o: Koujalagi, Tq. Gokak, Dist. Belagavi today at Gokak is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.486 of BNSS by submitting that he is ready to stand as surety for accused and produced extract of landed property bearing R.S.No.103/*/*, measuring 09Ac-13Gs, assessed at Rs.03.91Ps, he has got ½ share which is situated within the limits of Yaraganavi village, Tq: Yaragatti, Dist: Belagavi approximately valued for Rs.25,00,000/- (Twenty five Lakhs Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused.

Perused, satisfied and accepted his suretyship for above said accused.

Office to take bond accordingly.

Date: 10.06.2025.

**Addl. C.J & JMFC.,
Gokak**

C.C.No.2330/2025

Case called out. Accused No.1 and 2 are present. Ld counsel Sri. S.V.I has filed VK for accused No.1 and 2. The same is taken on record. Ld counsel has also filed application U/sec. 482 of BNSS Surety application, affidavit, surety declaration U/sec. 486 of BNS. Ld. APP filed objection. Heard. The Ld Counsel for the accused and Ld. APP

For orders.

Addl. CJ & JMFC, Gokak

Sri. S.V.I Advocate has filed vakalat, surety affidavit for accused No.1 and 2 along with application U/s. 482 of BNSS. It is contended in the bail application that accused No.1 and 2 have not committed any offences. They are permanent resident in the said locality, having movable and immovable properties, their family members are depending upon their income and they are ready to abide by the conditions to be imposed by this court. Hence, prayed to enlarge them on bail.

Learned A.P.P has filed objection to bail petition and contended that the offences are non-bailable. It appears on the basis of complaint and FIR that the accused No.1 and 2 have committed offence, statement of witnesses have to be taken, they may commit similar offences, they may escape from the

jurisdiction of the court and they will obstruct the investigation, if they are released on bail. Hence, APP has objected for grant of bail.

Heard both the sides.

The offence alleged against the accused No.1 and 2 are punishable under Section 305, 331(3) of B.N.S. The alleged offences are non-bailable in nature and triable by this court. The accused No.1 and 2 are ready to abide by the conditions and also ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of the court.

The apprehension of the learned APP can be met by imposing stringent conditions. The accused No.1 and 2 have made out sufficient grounds to allow the application. Hence, the grounds are made out in the bail petition for the accused No.1 and 2 to be released on bail. Hence, this court proceeds to pass the following:

ORDER

Bail application filed by the accused U/Sec.482 of BNSS is allowed on following;

Conditions

1. They shall execute P.B. of Rs.50,000/- each with one surety for like sum.
2. They shall not threaten the prosecution witness in any manner and shall not commit similar offences.
3. They shall appear before the court regularly till conclusion of trial
4. They shall not involve in any offences.

Surety by name Shri. Bhimappa S/o. Yallappa Muddappagol, Age: 50 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o: Gokak, Tq. Gokak, Dist.Belagavi today at Gokak is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.486 of BNSS by submitting that he is ready to stand as surety for accused No.1 and 2 and produced extract of landed property bearing R.S.No.401/*/*, measuring 240Ac-13Gs, assessed at Rs.00.00Ps, out of it 03Ac-00Gs, out of it 1/4th share which is situated within the limits of Gokak, Tq: Gokak Dist: Belagavi approximately valued for Rs.10,00,000/- (Ten Lakhs Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused No.1 and 2.

Perused, satisfied and accepted his suretyship for above said accused No.1 and 2.

Office to take bond accordingly.

Date: 09.06.2025.

**Addl. C.J & JMFC.,
Gokak**

C.C No.2333/2024

Sri. B.R.K Advocate has filed vakalat, surety affidavit for accused No.1 to 3 along with application U/Sec. 437 of Cr.P.C. It is contended in the bail application that accused No.1 to 3 have not committed any offences. They are permanent resident in the said locality, having movable and immovable properties, their family members are depending upon their income and they are ready to abide by the conditions to be imposed by this court. Hence, prayed to enlarge them on bail.

Learned A.P.P has filed objection to bail petition and contended that the offences are non-bailable. It appears on the basis of complaint and FIR that the accused No.1 to 3 have committed offence, statement of witnesses has to be taken, they may commit similar offences, they may escape from the jurisdiction of the court and they will obstruct the investigation, if they are released on bail. Hence, APP has objected for grant of bail.

Heard both the sides.

The offence alleged against the accused No.1 to 3 are punishable under Section 498(A), 323, 341, 504, 506 R/w Sec.34 of IPC. The alleged offences are non-bailable in nature and triable by this court. The accused No.1 to 3 are ready to abide by the conditions and also ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of the court.

The apprehension of the learned APP can be met by imposing stringent conditions. The accused No.1 to 3 have made out sufficient grounds to allow the application. Hence, the grounds are made out in the bail petition for the accused No.1 to

3 to be released on bail. Hence, this court proceeds to pass the following:

ORDER

Bail application filed by the accused No.1 to 3 U/Sec.437 of Cr.P.C is allowed on following;

Conditions

1. They shall execute P.B. of Rs.50,000/- with one surety each for like sum.
2. They shall not threaten the prosecution witness in any manner and shall not commit similar offences.
3. They shall appear before the court regularly till conclusion of trial
4. They shall not involve in any offences.

Surety by name Shri. Siddappa S/o. Chanabasappa Petlur, Age: 75 years, R/o: Beeranagaddi, Tq. Gokak, Dist.Belagavi today at Gokak is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.441-A of Cr.P.C. by submitting that he is ready to stand as surety for accused No.1 to 3 and produced extract of landed property bearing R.S.No.81/1, measuring 03Ac-29Gs, PK (2) 00Ac-04Gs, out of it 03Ac-25Gs which is situated within the limits of Beeranagaddi, Tq:Gokak approximately valued for Rs.15,00,000/- (Fifteen Lakhs Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused No.1 to 3.

Perused, satisfied and accepted his suretyship for above said accused No.1 to 3.

Office to take bond accordingly.

Date: 29.03.2025.

Addl. C.J & JMFC., Gokak

Sri. V.R.M and K.B.B Advocates have filed vakalat, surety affidavit for accused No.1 along with application U/s. 437 of Cr.P.C. It is contended in the bail application that accused No.1 has not committed any offences. He is permanent resident in the said locality, having movable and immovable properties, his family members are depending upon his income and he is ready to abide by the conditions to be imposed by this court. Hence, prayed to enlarge him on bail.

Learned A.P.P has filed objection to bail petition and contended that the offences are non-bailable. It appears on the basis of complaint and FIR that the accused has committed offence, statement of witnesses have to be taken, he may commit similar offences, he may escape from the jurisdiction of the court and they will obstruct the investigation, if he is released on bail. Hence, APP has objected for grant of bail.

Heard both the sides.

The offence alleged against the accused No.1 is punishable under Section 379 of IPC. The alleged offence is non-bailable in nature and triable by this court. The accused No.1 is ready to abide by the conditions and also ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of the court.

The apprehension of the learned APP can be met by imposing stringent conditions. The accused No.1 has made out sufficient grounds to allow the application. Hence, the grounds are made out in the bail petition for the accused No.1 to be released on bail. Hence, this court proceeds to pass the following:

ORDER

Bail application filed by the accused No.1 U/Sec.437 of Cr.P.C is allowed on following;

Conditions

1. He shall execute P.B. of Rs.50,000/- with one surety for like sum.
2. He shall not threaten the prosecution witness in any manner and shall not commit similar offences.
3. He shall appear before the court regularly till conclusion of trial
4. He shall not involve in any offences.

Surety by name Shri. Chandrashekar S/o. Maruti Kotabagi, Age: 57 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o: Dhupadal, Gokak, Tq. Gokak, Dist.Belagavi today at Gokak today at Gokak is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.441-A of Cr.P.C. by submitting that he is ready to stand as surety for accused No.1 and produced extract of house property bearing TPC No.435/1 which is situated at village limits of Town Panchayat Ghataprabha, Tq: Gokak, approximately valued for Rs.7,00,000/- (Seven Lakh Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused .

Perused, satisfied and accepted his suretyship for above said accused.

Office to take bond accordingly.

Call on:14.05.2025.

Date: 26.03.2025.

Addl. C.J & JMFC.,

Gokak

Sri. SIP, PMS and SKK Advocates have filed vakalat, surety affidavit for accused along with application U/s. 480 of BNSS. It is contended in the bail application that accused has not committed any offences. He is permanent resident in the said locality, having movable and immovable properties, his family members are depending upon his income and he is ready to abide by the conditions to be imposed by this court. Hence, prayed to enlarge him on bail.

Learned A.P.P has filed objection to bail petition and contended that the offences are non-bailable. It appears on the basis of complaint and FIR that the accused has committed offence, statement of witnesses have to be taken, he may commit similar offences, he may escape from the jurisdiction of the court and they will obstruct the investigation, if he is released on bail. Hence, APP has objected for grant of bail.

Heard both the sides.

The offence alleged against the accused is punishable under Section 32 and 34 of Karnataka Excise Act. The alleged offence is non-bailable in nature and triable by this court. The accused is ready to abide by the conditions and also ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of the court.

The apprehension of the learned APP can be met by imposing stringent conditions. The accused has made out sufficient grounds to allow the application. Hence, the grounds are made out in the bail petition for the accused to be released on bail. Hence, this court proceeds to pass the following:

ORDER

Bail application filed by the accused U/Sec.480 of BNSS is allowed on following;

Conditions

1. He shall execute P.B. of Rs.50,000/- with one surety for like sum.
2. He shall not threaten the prosecution witness in any manner and shall not commit similar offences.
3. He shall appear before the court regularly till conclusion of trial
4. He shall not involve in any offences.

Surety by name Shri. Appanna S/o. Basappa Khatedar, Age: 47 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o: Gudas, Tq. Hukkeri, Dist.Belagavi today at Gokak today at Gokak is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.486 of BNSS by submitting that he is ready to stand as surety for accused and produced extract of landed property bearing R.S.No.185*/*, measuring 15Ac-25Gs, assessed at Rs.00.00Ps, out of it 01Ac-00Gs which is situated within the limits of Gudas village, Tq:Hukkeri approximately valued for Rs.20,00,000/- (Twenty Lakh Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused .

Perused, satisfied and accepted his suretyship for above said accused.

Office to take bond accordingly.

Call on: 15.05.2025

Date: 26.03.2025.
Gokak

Addl. C.J & JMFC.,

Ghataprabha Ps. Cri.No.59/2025

Sri. S.L.T and V.S.C Advocates have filed vakalat, surety affidavit for accused No.1 along with application U/Sec. 480 of BNSS. It is contended in the bail application that accused No.1 has not committed any offences. He is permanent resident in the said locality, having movable and immovable properties, his family members are depending upon his income and he is ready to abide by the conditions to be imposed by this court. Hence, prayed to enlarge him on bail.

Learned A.P.P has filed objection to bail petition and contended that the offences are non-bailable. It appears on the basis of complaint and FIR that the accused No.1 has committed offence, statement of witnesses have to be taken, he may commit similar offences, he may escape from the jurisdiction of the court and he will obstruct the investigation, if he is released on bail. It is contended that there are two other cases filed against the accused. The accused is a repeated offender. Hence, APP has objected for grant of bail.

Heard both the sides.

The offence alleged against the accused No.1 and 2 are punishable under Section 189(2), 191(2), 191(3), 126, 118(2), 74, 352 R/w Sec. 190 of BNS Act 2023. The alleged offences are non-bailable in nature and triable by this court. It is the specific contention of learned APP that there are two more cases registered against the said accused. However, it is worth to state that the allegation against accused has not yet been proved. The

allegation against the accused is to be decided after trial. As such, mere filing of two more cases against the accused does not establish that he is a repeated offender unless the guilt against the accused is proved. The accused No.1 is ready to abide by the conditions and also ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of the court.

The apprehension of the learned APP can be met by imposing stringent conditions. The accused No.1 has made out sufficient grounds to allow the application. Hence, the grounds are made out in the bail petition for the accused to be released on bail. Hence, this court proceeds to pass the following:

ORDER

Bail application filed by the accused U/Sec.480 of BNSS is allowed on following;

Conditions

1. He shall execute P.B. of Rs.50,000/- with one surety for like sum.
2. He shall not threaten the prosecution witness in any manner and shall not commit similar offences.
3. He shall appear before the court regularly till conclusion of trial
4. He shall not involve in any offences.

Surety by name Shri. Kallappa S/o. Ramappa Handigund, Age: 65 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o: Tukkanatti, Tq Mudalagi, Dist.Belagavi today at Gokak is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.486 of BNSS by submitting that he is ready to stand as surety for accused No.1 and produced extract of agriculture landed property bearing R.S.No.136*/2 measuring 01Ac-13Gs, assessed at Rs.0.27Ps out

of it 00Ac-21Gs which is situated at Tukkanatti village, Tq: Mudalagi approximately valued for Rs.25,00,000/- (Twenty five Lakhs Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused No.1.

Perused, satisfied and accepted his suretyship for above said accused No.1.

Office to take bond accordingly.

Issue intimation to the jail authorities to release the accused No.1 if he is not required in any other case.

Date: 26-03-2025.

Addl. C.J & JMFC., Gokak

C.C.No.91/2025

Accused present. Sri. D.P.L Advocate filed Vk for accused along with bail application and surety documents.

Charge sheet copy furnished to accused. APP filed objection. Heard, for Orders.

Kept by:

I/C. Addl. C.J & JMFC.,

Gokak

ORDER

Sri. D.P.L Advocate has filed vakalat, surety affidavit for accused along with application U/s. 480 of BNSS. It is contended in the bail application that accused has not committed any offences. He is permanent resident in his locality, having movable and immovable properties, his family members are depending upon his income and he is ready to abide by the conditions to be imposed by this court. Hence, prayed to enlarge him on bail.

Learned A.P.P has filed objection to bail petition and contended that the offences are non-bailable. It appears on the basis of complaint and FIR that the accused has committed offences, he may commit similar offences, he may escape from the jurisdiction of the court, if he is released on bail. Hence, APP has objected for grant of bail.

Heard both the sides.

The offences alleged against the accused are punishable under Section 32 and 34 of Karnataka Excise Act. The alleged offences are non-bailable in nature and triable by this court. The accused is ready to abide by the conditions and also ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of the court.

The apprehension of the learned APP can be met by imposing stringent conditions. The accused has made out sufficient grounds to allow the application. Hence, the grounds are made out in the bail petition for the accused to be released on bail. Hence, this court proceeds to pass the following:

ORDER

Bail application filed by the accused U/Sec.437 of Cr.P.C is allowed on following;

Conditions

1. He shall execute P.B. of Rs.50,000/- with one surety for like sum.
2. He shall not threaten the prosecution witnesses in any manner and shall not commit similar offences.
3. He shall appear before the court regularly till conclusion of trial
4. He shall not involve in any offences.

Surety by name Shri. Ashok S/o. Gangaram Kalal, Age: 70 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o: Hunshyal P.G, Gokak, Tq. Gokak, Dist.Belagavi today at Gokak today at Gokak is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration by submitting that he is ready to stand as surety for accused and produced extract of landed property bearing R.S.No.183*/1೩,

which is situated within the limits of Hunshyal PG village, Tq: Mudalagi, Dist: Belagavi approximately valued for Rs.16,00,000/- (Sixteen Lakh Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused .

Perused, satisfied inquired and accepted his suretyship for above said accused.

Office to take bond accordingly.

For HBC by: 05.06.2025.

Date: 24.03.2025.
Gokak

Addl. C.J & JMFC.,

Ghataprabha Ps. Cri.No.54/2025

Sri. P.B.I Advocate has filed vakalat, surety affidavit for accused No.1 and 2 along with application U/Sec. 480 of BNSS. It is contended in the bail application that accused No.1 and 2 have not committed any offences. They are permanent residents in the said locality, having movable and immovable properties, their family members are depending upon their income and they are ready to abide by the conditions to be imposed by this court. Hence, prayed to enlarge them on bail.

Learned A.P.P has filed objection to bail petition and contended that the offences are non-bailable. It appears on the basis of complaint and FIR that the accused No.1 and 2 have committed offence, statement of witnesses have to be taken, they may commit similar offences, they may escape from the jurisdiction of the court and they will obstruct the investigation, if they are released on bail. Hence, APP has objected for grant of bail.

Heard both the sides.

The offence alleged against the accused No.1 and 2 are punishable under Section 189(2), 191(2), 191(3), 115(2), 118(1), 74, 133, 352, 351(2) R/w Sec. 190 of BNS Act 2023. The alleged offences are non-bailable in nature and triable by this court. The accused No.1 and 2 are ready to abide by the conditions and also ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of the court.

The apprehension of the learned APP can be met by imposing stringent conditions. The accused No.1 and 2 have made out sufficient grounds to allow the application. Hence, the

grounds are made out in the bail petition for the accused to be released on bail. Hence, this court proceeds to pass the following:

ORDER

Bail application filed by the accused U/Sec.480 of BNSS is allowed on following;

Conditions

1. They shall execute P.B. of Rs.50,000/- each with one surety for like sum.
2. They shall not threaten the prosecution witness in any manner and shall not commit similar offences.
3. They shall appear before the court regularly till conclusion of trial
4. They shall not involve in any offences.

Surety by name Shri. Anand S/o. Basappa Kareppagol, Age: 38 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o: Beerangaddi, Tq Gokak, Dist.Belagavi today at Gokak is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.486 of BNSS by submitting that he is ready to stand as surety for accused No.1 and 2 and produced extract of agriculture landed property bearing R.S.No.202 measuring 04Ac-17Gs, assessed at Rs.5.81Ps he has got 1/4th share in the said land which is situated at Beerangaddi village, Tq: Gokak approximately valued for Rs.20,00,000/- (Twenty Lakhs Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused No.1 and 2.

Perused, satisfied and accepted his suretyship for above said accused No.1 and 2.

Office to take bond accordingly.

Issue intimation to the jail authorities to release the accused No.1 and 2 if they are not required in any other case.

Date: 22-03-2025.

Addl. C.J & JMFC., Gokak

Sri. B.H.N and A.L.S Advocate has filed vakalat, surety affidavit for accused along with application U/s. 437 of Cr.P.C. It is contended in the bail application that accused has not committed any offences. He is permanent resident in the said locality, having movable and immovable properties, his family members are depending upon his income and he is ready to abide by the conditions to be imposed by this court. Hence, prayed to enlarge him on bail.

Learned A.P.P has filed objection to bail petition and contended that the offences are non-bailable. It appears on the basis of complaint and FIR that the accused has committed offence, statement of witnesses have to be taken, he may commit similar offences, he may escape from the jurisdiction of the court and they will obstruct the investigation, if he is released on bail. Hence, APP has objected for grant of bail.

Heard both the sides.

The offence alleged against the accused is punishable under Section 32 and 34 of Karnataka Excise Act. The alleged offence is non-bailable in nature and triable by this court. The accused is ready to abide by the conditions and also ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of the court.

The apprehension of the learned APP can be met by imposing stringent conditions. The accused has made out sufficient grounds to allow the application. Hence, the grounds are made out in the bail petition for the accused to be released on bail. Hence, this court proceeds to pass the following:

ORDER

Bail application filed by the accused U/Sec.437 of Cr.P.C is allowed on following;

Conditions

1. He shall execute P.B. of Rs.50,000/- with one surety for like sum.
2. He shall not threaten the prosecution witness in any manner and shall not commit similar offences.
3. He shall appear before the court regularly till conclusion of trial
4. He shall not involve in any offences.

Surety by name Shri. Mudakappa S/o. Kallappa Jatteppagol, Age: 28 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o: Betageri, Gokak, Tq. Gokak, Dist.Belagavi today at Gokak today at Gokak is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.441-A of Cr.P.C. by submitting that he is ready to stand as surety for accused and produced extract of landed property bearing R.S.No.48*/2, measuring 00Ac-26Gs, assessed at Rs.00.34Ps, which is situated within the limits of Betageri village, Tq:Gokak approximately valued for Rs.30,00,000/- (Thirty Lakh Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused .

Perused, satisfied and accepted his suretyship for above said accused.

Office to take bond accordingly.

Date: 18.03.2025.

Addl. C.J & JMFC.,

Goka

Sri. A.S/.N Advocate has filed vakalat, surety affidavit for accused along with application U/s. 437 of Cr.P.C. It is contended in the bail application that accused has not committed any offences. He is permanent resident in the said locality, having movable and immovable properties, his family members are depending upon his income and he is ready to abide by the conditions to be imposed by this court. Hence, prayed to enlarge him on bail.

Learned A.P.P has filed objection to bail petition and contended that the offences are non-bailable. It appears on the basis of complaint and FIR that the accused has committed offence, statement of witnesses have to be taken, he may commit similar offences, he may escape from the jurisdiction of the court and they will obstruct the investigation, if he is released on bail. Hence, APP has objected for grant of bail.

Heard both the sides.

The offence alleged against the accused is punishable under Section 379 of IPC. The alleged offence is non-bailable in nature and triable by this court. The accused is ready to abide by the conditions and also ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of the court.

The apprehension of the learned APP can be met by imposing stringent conditions. The accused has made out sufficient grounds to allow the application. Hence, the grounds are made out in the bail petition for the accused to be released on bail. Hence, this court proceeds to pass the following:

ORDER

Bail application filed by the accused U/Sec.437 of Cr.P.C is allowed on following;

Conditions

1. He shall execute P.B. of Rs.50,000/- with one surety each for like sum.
2. He shall not threaten the prosecution witness in any manner and shall not commit similar offences.
3. He shall appear before the court regularly till conclusion of trial
4. He shall not involve in any offences.

Surety by name Shri. Harish Ravi Chandugol, Age: 20 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o: Arabhavi, Gokak, Tq. Mudalagi, Dist.Belagavi today at Gokak today at Gokak is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.441-A of Cr.P.C. by submitting that he is ready to stand as surety for accused and produced extract of landed property bearing R.S.No.412/1, measuring 00Ac-19Gs, assessed at Rs.00.66Ps, out of it surety has got 1/5th share, which is situated within the limits of Arabhavi village, Tq:Gokak approximately valued for Rs.15,00,000/- (Fifteen Lakh Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused .

Perused, satisfied and accepted his suretyship for above said accused.

Office to take bond accordingly.

Date: 15.03.2025.

Addl. C.J & JMFC.,

Goka

Case called out. Accused is present. Ld counsel for accused filed application U/Sec. 437 of Cr.PC. Ld APP filed objection.

For orders kept by

Addl. C.J & JMFC., Gokak

Sri. M.Y.P Advocate has filed vakalat, surety affidavit for accused along with application U/s. 437 of Cr.P.C. It is contended in the bail application that accused has not committed any offences. He is permanent resident in the said locality, having movable and immovable properties, his family members are depending upon his income and he is ready to abide by the conditions to be imposed by this court. Hence, prayed to enlarge him on bail.

Learned A.P.P has filed objection to bail petition and contended that the offences are non-bailable. It appears on the basis of complaint and FIR that the accused has committed offence, statement of witnesses have to be taken, he may commit similar offences, he may escape from the jurisdiction of the court and they will obstruct the investigation, if he is released on bail. Hence, APP has objected for grant of bail.

Heard both the sides.

The offence alleged against the accused is punishable under Section 379 of IPC. The alleged offence is non-bailable in nature

and triable by this court. The accused is ready to abide by the conditions and also ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of the court.

The apprehension of the learned APP can be met by imposing stringent conditions. The accused has made out sufficient grounds to allow the application. Hence, the grounds are made out in the bail petition for the accused to be released on bail. Hence, this court proceeds to pass the following:

ORDER

Bail application filed by the accused U/Sec.437 of Cr.P.C is allowed on following;

Conditions

1. He shall execute P.B. of Rs.50,000/- with one surety each for like sum.
2. He shall not threaten the prosecution witness in any manner and shall not commit similar offences.
3. He shall appear before the court regularly till conclusion of trial
4. He shall not involve in any offences.

Call on for surety 11.03.2025.

Date: 07.03.2025.

Addl. C.J & JMFC., Gokak

C.C.No.50/2016

Surety by name Lagamappa S/o. Yamanappa Thoragal, Age: 73 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o: 1353, Banagar Galli, Gokak, Tq. Gokak, Dist.Belagavi today at Gokak is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.441-A

of Cr.P.C. by submitting that he is ready to stand as surety for release the amount of Rs,57,400/- and produced extract of landed property bearing R.S.No.76*, measuring 03Ac-13Gs, assessed at Rs.06.95ps, he has got ½ share in the said property which is situated within the limits of Shinglapur village, Tq:Gokak approximately valued for Rs.10,00,000/- (Ten Lakh Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to release the amount of Rs.57,400/-.

Perused, satisfied and accepted his suretyship for above said amount.

Office to take bond accordingly.

Date: 27.02.2025.
Gokak

Addl. C.J & JMFC.,

C.C.No.1118/2016

Surety by name Bhimappa S/o. Tammanna Teradal, Age: 66 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o: Pulagaddi village, Tq. Mudalagi, Dist.Belagavi today at Gokak is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.441 of Cr.P.C. by submitting that he is ready to stand as surety for accused No.1 and produced extract of landed property bearing R.S.No.85/2, measuring 03Ac-13Gs, PK 00Ac-02Gs, remaining 03Ac-11Gs, assessed at Rs.6.47Ps, he has got 1/4th share in the said land which is situated within the limits of Pulagaddi village, Tq:Gokak approximately valued for Rs.10,00,000/- (Ten Lakh Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused No.1.

Perused, satisfied and accepted his suretyship for above said accused No.1.

Office to take bond accordingly.

Date: 19.02.2025.

Addl. C.J & JMFC.,

Gokak

C.C No.8680/2023

Sri. G.S.R Advocate has filed vakalats, surety affidavits for accused No.8 and 10 along with application U/s. 437 of Cr.P.C. It is contended in the bail application that accused No.8 and 10 have not committed any offences. They are permanent resident in the said locality, having movable and immovable properties, their family members are depending upon their income and they are ready to abide by the conditions to be imposed by this court. Hence, prayed to enlarge them on bail.

Learned A.P.P has filed objection to bail petition and contended that the offences are non-bailable. It appears on the basis of complaint and FIR that the accused No.8 and 10 have committed offence, statement of witnesses has to be taken, they may commit similar offences, they may escape from the jurisdiction of the court and they will obstruct the investigation, if they are released on bail. Hence, APP has objected for grant of bail.

Heard both the sides.

The offence alleged against the accused No.8 and 10 are punishable under Section 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 of IPC. The alleged offences are non-bailable in nature and triable by this court. The accused No.8 and 10 are ready to abide by the conditions and also ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of the court.

The apprehension of the learned APP can be met by imposing stringent conditions. The accused No.8 and 10 have made out sufficient grounds to allow the application. Hence, the grounds are made out in the bail petition for the accused No.8

and 10 to be released on bail. Hence, this court proceeds to pass the following:

ORDER

Bail application filed by the accused No.8 and 10 U/Sec.437 of Cr.P.C is allowed on following;

Conditions

1. They shall execute P.B. of Rs.50,000/- with one surety each for like sum.
2. They shall not threaten the prosecution witness in any manner and shall not commit similar offences.
3. They shall appear before the court regularly till conclusion of trial
4. They shall not involve in any offences.

Surety by name Mahammadrafik S/o. Kasimsab Sanadi, Age: 48 years, Occ: Business, R/o: Gokak, Tq. Gokak, Dist.Belagavi today at Gokak is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.441-A of Cr.P.C. by submitting that he is ready to stand as surety for accused No.8 and produced extract of landed property bearing R.S.No.149/D-P1, measuring 00Ac-06Gs, out of it 00Ac-00Gs-13.34Ana (907.92 Sq. feet) share in the said land which is situated within the limits of Gokak , Tq:Gokak approximately valued for Rs.20,00,000/- (Twenty Lakhs Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused No.8.

Surety by name Maruti S/o. Shivanappa Sangati, Age: 37 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o: Pamaladinni, Tq. Gokak, Dist.Belagavi today at Gokak is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.441-A of

Cr.P.C. by submitting that he is ready to stand as surety for accused No.10 and produced extract of landed property bearing R.S.No.149/5, measuring 02Ac-37Gs, PK (A) 00Ac-01Gs, P K (B) 00A-01Gs, remaining land 02Ac-35Gs, assessed at Rs.2.62Ps he has got $\frac{1}{2}$ share in the said land which is situated within the limits of Pamaladinni village, Tq:Gokak approximately valued for Rs.40,00,000/- (Forty Lakhs Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused No.10.

Perused, satisfied and accepted his suretyship for above said accused No.8 and 10.

Office to take bond accordingly.

Date: 13.02.2025.

Addl. C.J & JMFC., Gokak

C.C No.3427/2024

Sri. M.G.K Advocate has filed vakalat, surety affidavit for accused No.1 to 4 along with application U/s. 437 of Cr.P.C. It is contended in the bail application that accused No.1 to 4 have not committed any offences. They are permanent residents in the said locality, having movable and immovable properties, their family members are depending upon their income and they are ready to abide by the conditions to be imposed by this court. Hence, prayed to enlarge them on bail.

Learned A.P.P has filed objection to bail petition and contended that the offences are non-bailable. It appears on the basis of complaint and FIR that the accused No.1 to 4 have committed offence, statement of witnesses has to be taken, they may commit similar offences, they may escape from the jurisdiction of the court and they will obstruct the investigation, if they are released on bail. Hence, APP has objected for grant of bail.

Heard both the sides.

The offence alleged against the accused No.1 to 4 are punishable under Section 498(A), 323, 504 R/w Sec. 34 of IPC. The alleged offences are non-bailable in nature and triable by this court. The accused No.1 to 8 are ready to abide by the conditions and also ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of the court.

The apprehension of the learned APP can be met by imposing stringent conditions. The accused No.1 to 4 have made out sufficient grounds to allow the application. Hence, the grounds are made out in the bail petition for the accused No.1 to

4 to be released on bail. Hence, this court proceeds to pass the following:

ORDER

Bail application filed by the accused No.1 to 4 U/Sec.437 of Cr.P.C is allowed on following;

Conditions

1. They shall execute P.B. of Rs.50,000/- with one surety for like sum.
2. They shall not threaten the prosecution witness in any manner and shall not commit similar offences.
3. They shall appear before the court regularly till conclusion of trial
4. They shall not involve in any offences.

Surety by name Appayya S/o. Vittal Malyagol, Age: 33 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o: Duradundi, Tq. Gokak, Dist.Belagavi today at Gokak is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.441-A of Cr.P.C. by submitting that he is ready to stand as surety for accused No.1 to 4 and produced extract of landed property bearing R.S.No.99*/7 measuring 03Ac-06Gs-08Ana, assessed at Rs.2.04Ps out of it 1/3rd i.e., 01Ac-02Gs which is situated at Duradundi village, Tq:Gokak approximately valued for Rs.20,00,000/- (Twenty Lakhs Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused No.1 to 4.

Perused, satisfied and accepted his suretyship for above said accused No.1 to 4.

Office to take bond accordingly.

Date: 30.01.2025.

Addl. C.J & JMFC., Gokak

Sri. S.G.T, R.P.P and U.B.N Advocates have filed vakalat, surety affidavit for accused No.1 to 8 along with application U/s. 480 of BNSS. It is contended in the bail application that accused No.1 to 8 have not committed any offences. They are permanent residents in the said locality, having movable and immovable properties, their family members are depending upon their income and they are ready to abide by the conditions to be imposed by this court. Hence, prayed to enlarge them on bail.

Learned A.P.P has filed objection to bail petition and contended that the offences are non-bailable. It appears on the basis of complaint and FIR that the accused No.1 to 8 have committed offence, statement of witnesses has to be taken, they may commit similar offences, they may escape from the jurisdiction of the court and they will obstruct the investigation, if they are released on bail. Hence, APP has objected for grant of bail.

Heard both the sides.

The offence alleged against the accused No.1 to 8 are punishable under Section 143, 147, 148, 342, 323, 324, 326, 354, 504, 506 R/w Sec. 149 of IPC. The alleged offences are non-bailable in nature and triable by this court. The accused No.1 to 8 are ready to abide by the conditions and also ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of the court.

The apprehension of the learned APP can be met by imposing stringent conditions. The accused No.1 to 8 have made

out sufficient grounds to allow the application. Hence, the grounds are made out in the bail petition for the accused to be released on bail. Hence, this court proceeds to pass the following:

ORDER

Bail application filed by the accused U/Sec.480 of BNSS is allowed on following;

Conditions

1. They shall execute P.B. of Rs.50,000/- with one surety for like sum.
2. They shall not threaten the prosecution witness in any manner and shall not commit similar offences.
3. They shall appear before the court regularly till conclusion of trial
4. They shall not involve in any offences.

Surety by name Mahamood S/o. Meerasab Momin, Age: 35 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o: P.B Road Sankeshwar, Tq. Hukkeri, Dist.Belagavi today at Gokak is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.486 of Cr.P.C. by submitting that he is ready to stand as surety for accused No.1 to 8 and produced extract of landed property bearing R.S.No.80RS/2 measuring 1Ac-10Gs-08Ana, assessed at Rs.4.21Ps assessed at Rs.3.00 ps, out of it ½ share comes to his extent which comes to 00Ac-25Gs, he has got ½ share which is situated at Jabapur village, Tq: Hukkeri approximately valued for Rs.25,00,000/- (Twenty five Lakhs Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused No.1 to 8.

Perused, satisfied and accepted his suretyship for above said accused No.1 to 8.

Office to take bond accordingly.

Date: 23-01-2025.

Addl. C.J & JMFC., Gokak

Ghataprabha P.S. Crime no.199/2024

Sri. B.K.Kantkar, Advocate has filed memo of appearance for accused along with application u/s.480 of BNSS. It is contended in the bail application the accused has not committed any offences; he is permanent resident in the said locality, having movable and immovable properties, his family members are depending upon his income and he is ready to abide by the conditions to be imposed by this court. Hence, prayed to enlarge him on bail.

Learned A.P.P has filed objection to bail petition and contended that the offences are non-bailable, it appears on the basis of complaint and FIR that the accused has committed offence, statement of witnesses has to be taken, they may commit similar offences, they may escape from the jurisdiction of the court and they will obstruct the investigation, if they are released on bail. Hence, APP has objected for grant of bail.

Heard both the sides.

The offence alleged against the accused are punishable under Section 20[b](ii)[A] of NDPS Act. The alleged offence is non-bailable in nature and triable by this court. The accused is ready to abide by the conditions and also ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of the court.

The apprehension of the learned APP can be met by imposing stringent conditions. The accused has made out sufficient grounds to allow the application. Hence, the grounds are made out in the bail petition for the accused to be released on bail. Hence, this court proceeds to pass the following:

ORDER

Bail application filed by the accused U/Sec.480 of BNSS is allowed on following;

Conditions

1. He shall execute P.B. of Rs.50,000/- with one surety for like sum.
2. He shall not threaten the prosecution witness in any manner and shall not commit similar offences.
3. He shall appear before the court regularly till conclusion of trial

4. He shall not involve in any offences.

Surety by name Shivappa S/o.Satteppa Kotre, Age: 38 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o: Mekhali, Tq. Raibag, Dist.Belagavi today at Gokak is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.441(A) of Cr.P.C. by submitting that he is ready to stand as surety for accused and produced extract of landed property bearing R.S.No.152/1 measuring 6Ac-14Gs, assessed at Rs.3.00 ps, situated within the limits of Koujalagi village, approximately valued for Rs.15,00,000/- (Fifteen Lakhs Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused.

Perused, satisfied and accepted his suretyship for above said accused.

Office to take bond accordingly.

Issue intimation to the jail authorities to release the accused if he is not required in any other case.

Date: 07-12-2024.

Addl. C.J & JMFC., Gokak

Surety by name Shri. Basavaraj S/o. Makut @ Magund Nandganvi Age: 40 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o: Dhupadal village, Tq. Gokak , Dist. Belagavi today at Gokak is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.441(A) of Cr.P.C. by submitting that he is ready to stand as surety for accused and produced extract of landed property bearing R.S.No.105/3+5 measuring 01Ac-39Gs, assessed at Rs.3.18ps, out of it he has got 0.34Gs, situated within the limits of Mallapur P.G village in Tq: Gokak, approximately valued for Rs. 5,00,000/- (Five Lakhs Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused.

Perused, satisfied and accepted his suretyship for above said accused.

Office to take bail bond accordingly.

C.C.No.2650/2021

Sri. K.N.M, Advocate has filed Vakalath for accused No.5 along with 437 application, surety application, affidavit and application U/Sec. 441-A of Cr.P.C. RTC and Adhar card. It is contended in the bail application that he has not committed any offences, he is permanent resident in the said locality, having movable and immovable properties, his family members are depending upon his income and he is ready to abide by the conditions to be imposed by this court. Hence, prayed to enlarge him on bail.

Learned A.P.P has filed objections to bail petition and contended that the offences are non-bailable, it appears on the basis of complaint and FIR that the accused No.5 has committed offences, statement of witness has to be taken, he may commit similar offences, he may escape from the jurisdiction of the court and he will obstruct the investigation, if he is released on bail. Hence, APP has objected for grant of bail.

Heard both the sides.

The offence alleged against the accused No.5 is punishable under Section 143,147, 148, 323, 324, 498(A), 341, 504, 506 R/w 149 of IPC. The alleged offences are non-bailable in nature and triable by this court. The accused No.5 is ready to abide by the conditions and also ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of the court.

The apprehension of the learned APP can be met by imposing stringent conditions. The accused No.5 has made out sufficient grounds to allow the application. Hence, the grounds

are made out in the bail petition for the accused No.5 to be released on bail. Hence, this court proceeds to pass the following:

ORDER

Bail application filed by the accused No.5 U/Sec.437 of Cr.P.C. is allowed on following;

Conditions

1. He shall execute P.B. of Rs.50,000/- with one surety for like sum.
2. He shall not threaten the prosecution witness in his manner and shall not commit similar offences.
3. He shall appear before the court regularly till conclusion of trial
4. He shall not involve in any offences.

Surety by name Shri. Siddappa Gangappa Waderahatti Age: 50 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o: Ganesh Nagar, Melavanki, Tq. Gokak , Dist.Belagavi today at Gokak is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.441(A) of Cr.P.C. by submitting that he is ready to stand as surety for accused No.5 and produced extract of landed property bearing R.S.No.661/19 measuring 01Ac-36Gs assessed at Rs.3.49 ps, he has got 1/3rd share situated within the limits of Melavanki village in Tq: Gokak, approximately valued for Rs. 10,00,000/- (Ten Lakhs Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused No.5.

Perused, satisfied and accepted his suretyship for above said accused No.5.

Office to take bail bond accordingly.

Date: 30-08-2024.

Addl. C.J & JMFC., Gokak

Sri. B.K.J, Advocate has filed Vakalath for accused No.1 to 8 along with 437 application, surety application, affidavit and application U/Sec. 441-A of Cr.P.C. RTC and Adhar card. It is contended in the bail application that they have not committed any offences, they are permanent residents in the said locality, having movable and immovable properties, their family members are depending upon their income and they are ready to abide by the conditions to be imposed by this court. Hence, prayed to enlarge them on bail.

Learned A.P.P has filed objections to bail petition and contended that the offences are non-bailable, it appears on the basis of complaint and FIR that the accused No.1 to 8 have committed offences, statements of witnesses have to be taken, they may commit similar offences, they may escape from the jurisdiction of the court and they will obstruct the investigation, if they are released on bail. Hence, APP has objected for grant of bail.

Heard both the sides.

The offence alleged against the accused No.1 to 8 are punishable under Section 143,147, 232, 354(B), 354 R/w 149 of IPC. The alleged offences are non-bailable in nature and triable by this court. The accused No.1 to 8 are ready to abide by the conditions and also ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of the court.

The apprehension of the learned APP can be met by imposing stringent conditions. The accused No.1 to 8 have made out sufficient grounds to allow the application. Hence, the grounds are made out in the bail petition for the accused No.1 to

8 to be released on bail. Hence, this court proceeds to pass the following:

ORDER

Bail application filed by the accused No.1 to 8 U/Sec.437 of Cr.P.C. is allowed on following;

Conditions

1. They shall execute P.B. of Rs.50,000/- with one surety for like sum.
2. They shall not threaten the prosecution witnesses in they manner and shall not commit similar offences.
3. They shall appear before the court regularly till conclusion of trial
4. They shall not involve in any offences.

Surety by name Shri. Venkappa S/o. Ramappa Maharaddi, Age: 53 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o: Kalliguddi village, Tq. Gokak , Dist.Belagavi today at Gokak is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.441(A) of Cr.P.C. by submitting that he is ready to stand as surety for accused No.1 to 8 and produced extract of landed property bearing Sy No.94*/2 measuring 08Ac-12Gs assessed at Rs.13.28 ps, situated within the limits of Kalliguddi village in Tq: Gokak, approximately valued for Rs. 15,00,000/- (Fifteen Lakhs Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused No.1 to 8.

Perused, satisfied and accepted his suretyship for above said accused No.1 to 8.

Office to take bail bond accordingly.

Date: 24-08-2024.

Addl. C.J & JMFC., Gokak

For HBC

Call on: 19.10.2024.

Addl. C.J & JMFC.,

Gokak

Sri. SSM, Advocate has filed Vakalath for accused No.1 to 5 along with 437 application, surety application, affidavit and application U/Sec. 441-A of Cr.P.C. RTC and Adhar card. It is contended in the bail application that they have not committed any offences, they are permanent residents in the said locality, having movable and immovable properties, their family members are depending upon their income and they are ready to abide by the conditions to be imposed by this court. Hence, prayed to enlarge them on bail.

Learned A.P.P has filed objections to bail petition and contended that the offences are non-bailable, it appears on the basis of complaint and FIR that the accused No.1 to 5 have committed offences, statements of witnesses has to be taken, they may commit similar offences, they may escape from the jurisdiction of the court and they will obstruct the investigation, if they are released on bail. Hence, APP has objected for grant of bail.

Heard both the sides.

The offence alleged against the accused No.1 to 5 are punishable under Section 143,147,148,323,324,354,109,504,506 R/w 149 of IPC. The alleged offences are non-bailable in nature and triable by this court. The accused No.1 to 5 are ready to abide by the conditions and also ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of the court.

The apprehension of the learned APP can be met by imposing stringent conditions. The accused No.1 to 5 have made out sufficient grounds to allow the application. Hence the grounds are made out in the bail petition for the accused No.1 to 5 to be released on bail. Hence, this court proceeds to pass the following:

ORDER

Bail application filed by the accused No.1 to 5 U/Sec.437 of Cr.P.C. is allowed on following;

Conditions

1. They shall execute P.B. of Rs.50,000/- with one surety for like sum.
2. They shall not threaten the prosecution witnesses in any manner and shall not commit similar offences.
3. They shall appear before the court regularly till conclusion of trial
4. They shall not involve in any offences.

Surety by name Shri. Avappa S/o Channappa Nidasosi, Age: 49 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o: Mallapur P G, Tq. Gokak is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.441(A) of Cr.P.C. by submitting that he is ready to stand as surety for accused No.1 to 5 and produced extract of landed property bearing R.S.No.47/10 measuring 01Ac-24Gs assessed at Rs.2.27 ps, which is situated at Badigavada village, Tq: Gokak, approximately valued for Rs. 25,00,000/- (Twenty Five Lakhs Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused No.1 to 5.

Perused, satisfied and accepted his suretyship for above said accused No.1 to 5.

Office to take bail bond accordingly.

Date: 22-08-2024.

Addl. C.J & JMFC., Gokak

Sri. M.G..K, Advocate has filed Vakalath for accused along with 437 application, surety application, affidavit and application U/Sec. 441-A of Cr.P.C. RTC and Adhar card. It is contended in the bail application that they have not committed any offences, they are permanent resident in the said locality, having movable and immovable properties, his family members are depending upon his income and he is ready to abide by the conditions to be imposed by this court. Hence, prayed to enlarge them on bail.

CC.No.1203/2024

Learned A.P.P has filed objections to bail petition and contended that the offences are non-bailable, it appears on the basis of complaint and FIR that the accused has committed offences, statements of witnesses has to be taken, they may

commit similar offences, they may escape from the jurisdiction of the court and they will obstruct the investigation, if they are released on bail. Hence, APP has objected for grant of bail.

Heard both the sides.

The offence alleged against the accused is punishable under Section 323, 324, 326, 506, 504 R/w 34 of IPC. The alleged offences are non-bailable in nature and triable by this court. The accused are ready to abide by the conditions and also ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of the court.

The apprehension of the learned APP can be met by imposing stringent conditions. The accused has made out sufficient grounds to allow the application. Hence the grounds are made out in the bail petition for the accused to be released on bail. Hence, this court proceeds to pass the following:

Order

Bail application filed by the accused U/Sec.437 of Cr.P.C. is allowed on following;

Conditions

1. They shall execute P.B. of Rs.50,000/- with one surety for like sum.
2. They shall not threaten the prosecution witnesses in any manner and shall not commit similar offences.
3. They shall appear before the court regularly till conclusion of trial
4. They shall not involve in any offences.

Surety by name Shri. Krishnappa S/o. Siddappa Goudappanavar Age: 63 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o:

Kalarakoppa, Dhupadal, Tq:Gokak, Dist: Belagavi, today at Gokak is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.441(A) of Cr.P.C. by submitting that he is ready to stand as surety for accused and produced extract of landed property bearing R.S.No.128*/* measuring 04Ac-39Gs assessed at Rs.8.47 ps, out of it he has got 01Ac-26Gs-08Ana share, which is situated at Kalarakoppa village, Tq: Gokak, approximately valued for Rs. 20,00,000/- (Twenty Lakhs Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused.

Perused, satisfied and accepted his suretyship for above said accused.

Office to take bail bond accordingly.

Date: 02-08-2024.

Addl. C.J & JMFC., Gokak

Sri. SBH, Advocate has filed Vakalath for accused along with 437 application, surety application, affidavit and application U/Sec. 441 of Cr.P.C. RTC and Adhar card. It is contended in the bail application that he has not committed any offences, he is permanent resident in the said locality, having movable and immovable properties, his family members are depending upon his income and he is ready to abide by the conditions to be imposed by this court. Hence, prayed to enlarge them on bail.

Learned A.P.P has filed objections to bail petition and contended that the offences are non-bailable, it appears on the basis of complaint and FIR that the accused has committed offences, statements of witnesses has to be taken, they may commit similar offences, they may escape from the jurisdiction of the court and they will obstruct the investigation, if they are released on bail. Hence, APP has objected for grant of bail.

Heard both the sides.

The offence alleged against the accused is punishable under Section 379 of IPC. The alleged offence is non-bailable in nature and triable by this court. The accused is ready to abide by the conditions and also ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of the court.

The apprehension of the learned APP can be met by imposing stringent conditions. The accused has made out sufficient grounds to allow the application. Hence the grounds are made out in the bail petition for the accused to be released on bail. Hence, this court proceeds to pass the following:

Order

Bail application filed by the accused U/Sec.437 of Cr.P.C. is allowed on following;

Conditions

1. He shall execute P.B. of Rs.50,000/- with one surety for like sum.
2. He shall not threaten the prosecution witnesses in He manner and shall not commit similar offences.
3. He shall appear before the court regularly till conclusion of trial
4. He shall not involve in any offences.

Surety by name Shri. Basavaraj S/o. Vittal Tippannagol Age: 46 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o: Totad Mane, Dhupadal, Tq:Gokak, Dist: Belagavi is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.441(A) of Cr.P.C. by submitting that he is ready to stand as surety for accused and produced extract of landed property bearing R.S.No.84/2B measuring 02.20.00.00 assessed at Rs.01.28PS which is situated at Dhupadal village, Tq: Gokak, approximately valued for Rs. 20,00,000/- (Twenty Lakhs Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused.

Perused, satisfied and accepted his suretyship for above said accused.

Office to take bail bond accordingly.

Date: 18-07-2024.

Addl. C.J & JMFC., Gokak

Ghataprabha P.S Cri.No.116/2024

Sri. M.I.Y, Advocate has filed Vakalath for accused No.1 & 2 along with 437 application, surety application, affidavit and application U/Sec. 441 of Cr.P.C. RTC and Adhar card. It is contended in the bail application that they have not committed any offences, they are permanent resident in the said locality, having movable and immovable properties, their family members are depending upon their income and they are ready to abide by the conditions to be imposed by this court. Hence, prayed to enlarge them on bail.

Learned A.P.P has filed objections to bail petition and contended that the offences are non-bailable, it appears on the basis of complaint and FIR that the accused No. 1 & 2 have committed offences, statements of witnesses have to be taken, they may commit similar offences, they may escape from the jurisdiction of the court and they will obstruct the investigation, if they are released on bail. Hence, APP has objected for grant of bail.

Heard both the sides.

The offence alleged against the accused No.1 & 2 are punishable under Section 379 of IPC. The alleged offence is non-bailable in nature and triable by this court. The accused No. 1 & 2 are ready to abide by the conditions and also ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of the court.

The apprehension of the learned APP can be met by imposing stringent conditions. The accused No.1 & 2 have made out sufficient grounds to allow the application. Hence the grounds are made out in the bail petition for the accused No. 1 & 2 to be released on bail. Hence, this court proceeds to pass the following:

Order

Bail application filed by the accused No. 1 & 2 U/Sec.437 of Cr.P.C. is allowed on following;

Conditions

1. They shall execute P.B. of Rs.50,000/- with one surety for like sum.
2. They shall not threaten the prosecution witnesses in any manner and shall not commit similar offences.
3. They shall appear before the court regularly till conclusion of trial
4. They shall not involve in any offences.

Surety by name Shri. Suresh S/o. Maruti Huchadi @ Nadagoudar Age: 47 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o: Koujalagi, Tq:Gokak, Dist: Belagavi is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.441(A) of Cr.P.C. by submitting that he is ready to stand as surety for accused No. 1 & 2 and produced extract of landed property bearing No.554/1 measuring 14Ac-10Gs, PK 00Ac-27Gs total 13Ac-23Gs, out of it 03Ac-14Gs-12Anna assessed at Rs.8.15PS he has got 1/2th share of land which is situated within the revenue limits of Koujalagi village, Tq: Gokak, approximately valued for Rs. 10,00,000/- (Ten Lakhs Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused No.1 & 2.

Perused, satisfied and accepted his suretyship for above said accused No.1 & 2.

Office to take bail bond accordingly. Issue release intimation to the Jail Authority to release accused No.1 & 2 if they are not required in any other case.

Date: 18-07-2024.

Addl. C.J & JMFC., Gokak

Sri. KSB., Advocate has filed Vakalath for accused No.9 along with 437 application, surety application, affidavit and application U/Sec. 441 of Cr.P.C. RTC and Adhar card. It is contended in the bail application that he has not committed any offences, he is permanent resident in the said locality, having movable and immovable properties, his family members are depending upon his income and he is ready to abide by the conditions to be imposed by this court. Hence, prayed to enlarge him on bail.

Learned A.P.P has filed objections to bail petition and contended that the offences are non-bailable, it appears on the basis of complaint and FIR that the accused No.9 has committed offences, statements of witnesses have to be taken, they may commit similar offences, he may escape from the jurisdiction of the court and he will obstruct the investigation, if he is released on bail. Hence, APP has objected for grant of bail.

Heard both the sides.

The offence alleged against the accused No.4 is punishable under Section 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 R/w 149 of IPC. The alleged offence is non-bailable in nature and triable by this court. The accused No.9 is ready to abide by the conditions and also ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of the court.

The apprehension of the learned APP can be met by imposing stringent conditions. The accused No.9 has made out sufficient grounds to allow the application. Hence the grounds are made out in the bail petition for the accused No.9 to be released on bail. Hence, this court proceeds to pass the following:

Order

Bail application filed by the accused No.9 U/Sec.437 of Cr.P.C. is allowed on following;

Conditions

1. He shall execute P.B. of Rs.50,000/- with one surety for like sum.
2. He shall not threaten the prosecution witnesses in any manner and shall not commit similar offences.
3. He shall appear before the court regularly till conclusion of trial
4. He shall not involve in any offences.

Surety by name Basavaraj S/o. Makoota Nandaganvi Age: 38 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o: Mallapur P.G, Tq:Gokak, Dist: Belagavi is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.441(A) of Cr.P.C. by submitting ready to stand as surety for accused No.9 and produced extract of landed property bearing R.S.No.105/3+5 measuring 01Ac-39Gs, PK 00Ac-34Gs assessed at Rs.3.18PS which is situated at Mallapur P.G village, Tq: Gokak, approximately valued for Rs. 25,00,000/- (Twenty five Lakhs Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused No.9.

Perused, satisfied and accepted his suretyship for above said accused No.9.

Office to take bail bond accordingly

Date: 12-07-2024.

Addl. C.J & JMFC., Gokak

Sri. S.S.M., Advocate has filed Vakalath for accused No.1 to 4 along with 437 application, surety application, affidavit and application U/Sec. 441 of Cr.P.C. RTC and Adhar cards. It is contended in the bail application that he has not committed any offences, he is permanent resident in the said locality, having movable and immovable properties, his family members are depending upon his income and he is ready to abide by the conditions to be imposed by this court. Hence, prayed to enlarge him on bail.

Learned A.P.P has filed objections to bail petition and contended that the offences are non-bailable, it appears on the basis of complaint and FIR that the accused No.1 to 4 have committed offences, statements of witnesses have to be taken, they may commit similar offences, they may escape from the jurisdiction of the court and they will obstruct the investigation, if they are released on bail. Hence, APP has objected for grant of bail.

Heard both the sides.

The offence alleged against the accused No.1 to 4 are punishable under Section 9, 10, 11 of Child marriage prohibition Act 2006. The alleged offence is non-bailable in nature and triable by this court. The accused No.1 to 4 are ready to abide by the conditions and also ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of the court.

The apprehension of the learned APP can be met by imposing stringent conditions. The accused No.1 to 4 have made out sufficient grounds to allow the application. Hence the grounds are made out in the bail petition for the accused No.1 to 4 to be released on bail. Hence proceed to pass the following:

Order

Bail application filed by the accused No.1 to 4 U/Sec.437 of Cr.P.C. is allowed on following;

Conditions

1. They shall execute P.B. of Rs.50,000/- each with one surety for like sum.

2. They shall not threaten the prosecution witnesses in any manner and shall not commit similar offences.
3. They shall appear before the court regularly till conclusion of trial
4. They shall not involve in any offences.

Surety by name Shri. Shrikant S/o. Mahadev @ Mahadevappa Bilakundi Age: 33 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o: Kalliguddi, Tq: Gokak, Dist: Belagavi is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.441(A) of Cr.P.C. by submitting ready to stand as surety for accused No.1 to 4 and produced extract of landed property bearing R.S.No.71/1 measuring 05Ac-31Gs, assessed at Rs.8.85PS which is situated at Kalliguddi village, Tq: Gokak, approximately valued for Rs. 40,00,000/- (Fourty Lakhs Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused No.1 to 4.

Perused, satisfied and accepted his suretyship for above said accused No.1 to 4.

Office to take bail bond accordingly.

Date: 12-07-2024.

Addl. C.J & JMFC., Gokak

Sri. S.S.M., Advocate has filed Vakalath for accused No.3 along with 437 application, surety application, affidavit and application U/Sec. 441 of Cr.P.C. RTC and Adhar card. It is contended in the bail application that he has not committed any offences, he is permanent resident in the said locality, having movable and immovable properties, his family members are depending upon his income and he is ready to abide by the conditions to be imposed by this court. Hence, prayed to enlarge him on bail.

Learned A.P.P has filed objections to bail petition and contended that the offences are non-bailable, it appears on the basis of complaint and FIR that the accused No.3 has committed offences, statements of witnesses have to be taken, they may commit similar offences, he may escape from the jurisdiction of the court and he will obstruct the investigation, if he is released on bail. Hence, APP has objected for grant of bail.

Heard both the sides.

The offence alleged against the accused No.3 is punishable under Section 457, 380 of IPC. The alleged offence is non-bailable in nature and triable by this court. The accused No.3 is ready to abide by the conditions and also ready to furnish surety to the satisfaction of the court.

The apprehension of the learned APP can be met by imposing stringent conditions. The accused No.3 has made out sufficient grounds to allow the application. Hence the grounds are made out in the bail petition for the accused No.3 to be released on bail. Hence proceed to pass the following:

Order

Bail application filed by the accused No.3 U/Sec.437 of Cr.P.C. is allowed on following;

Conditions

1. He shall execute P.B. of Rs.50,000/- with one surety for like sum.
2. He shall not threaten the prosecution witnesses in any manner and shall not commit similar offences.
3. He shall appear before the court regularly till conclusion of trial
4. He shall not involve in any offences.

Surety by name Basavaraj S/o. Channabasappa Shettamnavar Age: 52 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o: Nelogali, Tq: Haveri, Dist: Haveri is present and filed his surety affidavit, surety application and declaration U/Sec.441(A) of Cr.P.C. by submitting ready to stand as surety for accused No.3 and produced extract of landed property bearing R.S.No.23/5 measuring 02Ac-35Gs, assessed at Rs.8.83PS which is situated at Nelogal village, Tq: Haveri, approximately valued for Rs. 20,00,000/- (Twenty Lakhs Rupees) and willing to stand as surety to accused No.3.

Perused, satisfied and accepted his suretyship for above said accused No.3.

Office to take bail bond accordingly and issue release intimation to the Jail Authority, if he is not required in any other case.

Date: 06-07-2024.

Addl. C.J & JMFC., Gokak

IN THE COURT OF IIND ADDL CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC
(SR.DIVISION) BALLARI.

OS.NO: 403/2022

Plaintiffs:

Smt. Akatha H.D and another

V/s

P

Defendants:

Smt. H.Sekamma Dasaratha and others.

I.A.No:

Petitioner:

Smt. Surya Prabha H.D

V/s

Respondents:

Smt. Akatha H.D and another.

Application U/Sec. 151. Of CPC on behalf of the
Petitioner.

For the reasons stated in the enclosed affidavit of the petitioner, who is Defendant No: 4 in the above said suit. The said suit was filed for Partition and the said suit was disposed off on 03/11/2022 by way of compromise between the plaintiffs and the defendants. There was a delay in getting the properties mutated in my name and due to other unavoidable circumstances mentioned in the Affidavit. The said petitioner was unable to get the final decree registered before the Sub-Registrar office within the period of validity. Hence, it is humbly prayed that the Hon'ble court be pleased to pass an order of Revalidation of final decree drawn in my favor and allow this application in the interest of justice and equity.

Place:

Date:

Petitioner

(Defendant No.4)

IN THE COURT OF IIND ADDL CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC
(SR.DIVISION) BALLARI.

OS.NO: 403/2022

Plaintiffs:

Smt. Akatha H.D and another
V/s

Defendants:

Smt. H.Sekamma Dasaratha and others.

AFFIDAVIT

1. Smt. Surya Prabha H.D W/o Veera Pavan Kumar.P
Aged: 40 Yrs Occ: Civil Judge and JMFC, Gokak Permanant
R/o “Mookambika” beside Saptagiri building K.V. Road Cowl
Bazzar Ballari. Do here by solemnly affirm on oath and state
as follows:-

I am the Defendant no: 4 in the above said case. I am
conversant with the facts of the case and the averments

made in the present application. The averments made in this application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. That the present O.S no: 403/2022 was filed by Plaintiffs and the same was disposed off on 03/11/2022 by way of compromise. After furnishing all the non judicial stamp papers the Hon'ble court was pleased to draw the final decree on the stamp papers and signed the same on 21/11/2022. There was a delay in getting the properties mutated in my name as I am working as a Judicial Officer in Gokak and due to Official work and other unavoidable circumstances, I was unable to get the final decree registered before the Sub Registrar office within the period of validity. Under such circumstances, I am making an application for revalidation of the final decree drawn in my favor. Passing of such order will not prejudice the opponents in any manner. On the other hand if such an order is not passed, I will be put to irreparable loss and legal injury that cannot be compensated. Therefore, it is humbly prayed that the Hon'ble court be pleased to pass an order, to pass an order of Revalidation of final decree in the interest of justice and equity.

Place: Gokak
Date: 24.08.2024.

Defendant No: 4