

Order Sheet
In the Court Of: IV ADDL. CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC BELAGAVI
AT BELGAUM
F.R.No:O.S./332/2011
Case Reg.No: O.S./1402/2011

Plaintiff

V E R S U S

Defendent

**1)KHUTEJABI WO. ABDULSALAM
CHONCHE &1 others**

**1)THE CITY CORPORATION
BELGAUM. &10 others**

**2)RAFIQ KHUTUBUDDIN INAMDAR
3)SHAFI SO. ABUDLMAJID DARGA
4)MOHAMMED ABDULMAJID DARGA
5)SHADAF SO. ABDULMAJID DARGA
6)MUKADAR SO. ABDULMAJID
DARGA
7)SHIKANDAR SO. ABDULMAJID
DARGA
8)NAFEESA DO. ABDULMAJID DARGA
9)MADINA DO ABDULMAJID DARGA
10)SAMINA DO. ABDULMAJID DARGA**

FURTHER CROSS

Witness present and duly sworn on 22.02.2018

Further cross Examination of P.W.1 by Shri.A.N.S., Learned advocate for defendant No.10, 11 and 12

Abdul Khadar Mujawar and Mohamad sadiq Mujawar have given oral gift in favour of the plaintiff in respect of suit property. The name of donor's father is Abdulkatalsab Mujawar. Asadsab Mujawar is the father of Khataalsab Mujawar. I

do not know whether Asadsab Mujawar was alive prior to 1950. It is false to suggest that Asadsab Mujawar has executed a registered sale deed in favour of Parvatibai Tavare in respect of the suit property. Witness volunteers that “ the said sale deed is false”. It is false to suggest that Parvatibai Tavare executed registered sale deed in respect of suit property in favour of Narayan Tavare. It is false to suggest that Mr. Narayan Tavare constructed a house and was leaving for a period of 37 years. It is false to suggest that Mr. Narayan Tavare sold the house after 37 year to one Smt. Saraswati Basargekar on 14.08.1981. It is false to suggest that Smt. Saraswati Basargekar sold the said house on 29.11.1994 under a registered sale deed in favour of Yallappa Pawar after staying there for 13 years. It is false to suggest that Yallappa Pawar sold the house property in favour of Abdulmajid Darga on 12.12.1999. It is true to suggest that P.A.holder of Abdulmajid Darga divided the said house into 2 parts and sold the same in favour of 2 persons. Witness volunteers that “The said sale was made by creating false document”. It is true to suggest that Rafiq Ahmed Inamdar is the P.A.Holder of Adbulmajid Darga. Witness volunteers that “the power of attorney is also a false document”. Mr. Rafiq ahmed Inamdar sold suit property in favour of defendant No.11 and 12. I have challenged all the sale deeds from 1944 to 1999 pertaining to suit property. I have challenged all the sale deeds in this suit. This is the first suit filed by the plaintiff in respect of suit property. It is true to suggest that except the present suit I have not filed any other suits.

It is false to suggest that to harass defendant No.11 and 12 , I have filed a false suit. It is false to suggest that I have not constructed the suit property nor I have lived there. I have not produced the building permission. I have no hurdle to produce the same.

Re-examination nil.

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

Sd/-
IV Addl. Civil Judge & JMFC,
Belagavi