

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 15-04-2026 ರಂದು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯನ್ನು ಕರೆಯಿಸಿ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ವಚನ ಬೋಧಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

ಮುಖ್ಯ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ: ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಪರ ಶ್ರೀ. ಎಸ್.ಡಿ.ಜಿ. ವಕೀಲರಿಂದ:

12. ನಾನು ನನ್ನ ಮುಖ್ಯ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಪತ್ರದ ಮೂಲಕ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದು, ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆದಿರುವ ಸಂಗತಿಗಳು ಸತ್ಯವಾಗಿವೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ನಾನು ನನ್ನ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ನಾನು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಹಾಜರು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Sl.No.	Descriptions	Marked as
1	R/R of R.S.No.121/1 of Belavi village	Ex.P1
2	Power supply certificate in respect of R.S.No.121/1	Ex.P2
3	Certified copy of Sale deed R.S.No.766/2 dated 26.05.2016 of Belavi village	Ex.P3
4	Certified copy of Sale deed R.S.No.249/2 dated 03.10.2016 of Belavi village	Ex.P4
5	Certified copy of Sale deed R.S.No.766/2 dated 14.12.2015 of Belavi village	Ex.P5
6	Certified copy agreement of Sale deed dated 15.04.2017 of Shirahatti K.D. village in respect of R.S.No.11, 10, 237	Ex.P6
7	Certified copy of Sale deed dated 04.01.2016 of Shirahatti K.D. village in respect of R.S.No.14/19	Ex.P7
8	Certified copy of Agreement of sale deed dated 26.10.2016	Ex.P8
9	Certified copy of Sale deed dated 04.06.2018 of Shirahatti B.K. village in respect of R.S.No.190	Ex.P9
10	Certified copy of Sale deed dated 03.08.2015 of Shirahatti B.K. village in respect of R.S.No.190/2	Ex.P10
11	Certified copy of Sale deed dated 17.02.2023 of Shirahatti B.K. village in respect of R.S.No.190/2	Ex.P11

12	Certified copy of Decree in O.S.139/2018	Ex.P.12
13	Certified copy of petition in EP 52/2022	Ex.P13
14	Certified copy of order sheet in EP 52/2022	Ex.P14
15	Certified copy of Judgment and award in LAC 791/2022	Ex.P15
16	Certified copy of Judgment and award in LAC 426/2022	Ex.P16
17	True copy of village Map of K.D. Shirahatti	Ex.P17
18	True copy of village Map of Shirahatti B.K.	Ex.P18
19	True copy of village Map of Belavi	Ex.P19
20	Cane weight Certificate	Ex.P20

ನಾನು ನನ್ನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿದಂತೆ ಆದೇಶ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಪಾಟೀ ಸವಾಲು: 1ನೇ ಎದುರುದಾರ ಪರ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಡಿಜಿಪಿ ರವರಿಂದ:

13. It is true to suggest that Belavi comes under Gram Panchayath. It is true to suggest that at Belavi there are different types of lands at different area. It is true to suggest that rate of the land various from area to area based on the nature of the land.

14. It is true to suggest that value of irrigated land and land adjacent to village is higher than other lands. For the suggestion that Belavi to Shirahatti K.D and Shirahatti D.K is around 10 km

distance, witness answers it is at 1 km distance.

15. It is true to suggest that I have not furnished any certificate to show the actual distance from Belavi to Shirahatti D.K and Shirahatti K.D. It is false to suggest that Shirahatti D.K and Shirahatti K.D is bigger village than Belavi.

16. I have furnished the RTC of acquired land as per Ex.P.1. It is true to suggest that Ex.P1 is of the year 2024–25. It is true to suggest that all particulars of the acquired land are furnished in Ex.P1/RTC. RTC of 2017 is available and all particulars of the acquired land are available. I have no hurdle to furnish the same.

17. It is true to suggest that apart from me there are other co owners related to R.S.No.121/1. It is true to suggest that Award is passed on behalf of other co-owners also. It is true to suggest that along with me others are also having right over the said property and the said property is in the name of joint owners. It is true to suggest that other co-owners have not given me written authorization, witness further volunteers that they have orally told him to depose in this case. It is true to suggest that all

co-owners have received the award amount jointly. It is false to suggest that I am deposing falsely that other co-owners have not given me any oral consent to depose in this case.

18. It is true to suggest that Ex.P2 is of the year 2025 and the same is issued by village accountant. It is true to suggest that I have not furnished any document to show that at the time of acquisition of land my land was irrigated land.

19. It is false to suggest that since all the details of the land will be revealed if I had furnished the RTC of 2017 therefore willfully I have furnished the same.

20. I possess bank account. I use to send the sugar cane grown in my land to the sugar factory. It is true to suggest that sugar cane factory issue weigh bill. It is true to suggest that payment from the sugar factory would be deposited to the bank account. I have no hurdle to furnish the bank statement of my bank account.

21. It is true to suggest that apart from the acquired land we have other land and the said land is irrigated land. It is true to

suggest that we grow sugar cane in our land and the sugar cane is send to the sugar factory. It is true to suggest that in Ex.P20 survey number of my acquired land is not mentioned. It is true to suggest that Ex.P20 is pertaining to my land only. It is false to suggest that Ex.P20 is not connected to the acquired land. It is true to suggest that Ex.P20 is obtained in the year of 2026. It is false to suggest that Ex.P20 is furnished in order to obtained enhanced compensation and it does not belongs to the acquired land.

22. It is false to suggest that I was not growing sugar cane in my land and therefore I have not furnished any document pertaining to sugar cane factory.

23. It is true to suggest that Ex.P3 to 5 are of irrigated land. It is true to suggest that I have not furnished any expert opinion to show that the acquired land and lands at Ex.P3 to 5 are similar lands. It is true to suggest that I have not furnished any document to show that the acquired land and lands at Ex.P6 to 10 are adjacent land. Witness volunteers they have furnished

village map.

24. It is true to suggest that lands at Ex.P6 to 10 are not present near the boundary of my acquired land. It is true to suggest that the judgments at Ex.P11 to 15 are of others land. It is true to suggest that I have not furnished any expert opinion to show that the lands under Ex.P6 to 15 and acquired land are similar.

25. It is false to suggest that based on Ex.P3 to 15 redetermination in this case can not be considered as the lands are well fertile and irrigated lands.

26. It is true to suggest that notification is dated 22.02.2018. It is true to suggest that after the notification SLAO has issued us notice. It is true to suggest that both SLAO and KNNL have conducted joint survey of the said land.

27. For the suggestion that SLAO has before passing award visited the land and considered all aspects of the land before passing award, witness answers they has not intimated nor considered various aspects before award.

28. I had no hurdle to furnish the documents before the SLAO before passing award. I am not aware about the lands at Koshtak 2 whether they are adjacent to my acquired land. It is true to suggest that lands at kostak 2 are of the years 2016 and 2017. It is true to suggest that lands at Koshtaka 2 are irrigated land. I am not aware whether SLAO has considered the sale deeds at Koshtak 2 for before award.

29. It is false to suggest that lands at Koshtaka 2 and my lands are similar lands. It is false to suggest that SLAO has considered all the aspect at Koshtaka-2 and considered sale instances of 3 years prior to the notification and passed the award. It is false to suggest that although SLAO has adequate compensation, I am deposing falsely in order to get maximum compensation.

Cross-Examination by Counsel Sri. GSC for Smt. M.P. for R2 :

30. It is true to suggest that notification is dated 09.03.2018. It is true to suggest that both SLAO and KNLL have visited our land and conducted joint survey. It is true to suggest that they have conducted spot inspection in order to ascertain whether it is dry

land or irrigated land. It is false to suggest that I have not furnished any document before the SLAO to considered land as irrigated land.

31. It is true to suggest that award was passed by SLAO on 01.09.2020. It is true to suggest that SLAO has issued award notice to us dated 10.04.2021. I am not aware whether the lands at koshtaka 2 are adjacent land.

32. It is false to suggest that I have created documents and furnished before this court in order to get maximum compensation. It is false to suggest that the exhibits furnished by me are lands adjacent to the village and not adjacent to my acquired land.

33. It is false to suggest that these exhibits furnished by me and acquired land are not similar lands. It is false to suggest that although SLAO has given adequate compensation, I am deposing falsely in order to get maximum compensation.

Re-Examination : Nil

(ತೆರೆದ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಗಣಕ ಯಂತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆರಳಚ್ಚು ಮಾಡಲಾಯಿತು.)

ಓ ಹೇ ಕೆ ಸರಿ ಅದೆ

4ನೇ ಅಧಿಕ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಧೀಶರು ಮತ್ತು ಭೂಸ್ವಾಧೀನ,
ಪುನರ್ವಸತಿ ಮತ್ತು ಪುನರ್ವಸತಿ ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರ, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ