

KABG010046102026



**IN THE COURT OF HON'BLE III ADDL SESSIONS JUDGE
THE EXCLUSIVE SPECIAL COURT**

constituted for offence triable under Scheduled Castes &
Scheduled Tribes [Prevention of Atrocities] Act.

AT: BELAGAVI

DATED: 18th DAY OF JUNE 2026

BEFORE:

Ms. SAVITHA KUMARI. N. B.A.L., LL.B.,

**In The Matter of Criminal Miscellaneous Case In
Crl. Misc.No.00816 of 2026**

BETWEEN:

**Shri. Irappa Bilakar,
Son of Shri. Satyappa Bilakar,
Aged about 40 years,
Resident of Tukkanatti,
Taluk: Mudalagi,
District: Belagavi.
[Rep by Adv. Shri. K.B.B.]**

**Petitioner
Accused No.10**

AND

**The State of Karnataka,
The Station House Officer
Raibagh Police Station,
Belagavi.
[By Special Public Prosecutor]**

Respondent

AND

**Smt. Shridevi Sangayyagol,
Wife of Chandru Sangayyagol,
Aged about 32 years,
Resident of Kankanawadi,
Taluk: Raibagh,
District: Belagavi.
[Rep by Adv. Shri. V.K.N]
[In compliance of Section 15-A [5]
of Scheduled Caste & Scheduled Tribe
Prevention of Atrocities Act].**

Informant.

O R D E R

[On Petition under Section 483 of the
Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita]

The petitioner is before this Court seeking enlargement on bail under the provisions of Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita being arraigned as tenth accused in Crime No. 00209 of 2026 registered by the respondent for offence punishable under Section 189(2), Section 191[2], Section 191[3], Section 74, Section 115[2], Section 118[1], Section 118[2] read with Section 190 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and under Section 3[1][r], Section 3[1][s], Section 3[2][v], Section 3[2][v-a] of Scheduled Castes and Schedule Tribes [Prevention of Atrocity] Act.

2. The shorn projection of the prosecution is that the informant named a woman was at work as a labour in the land of Yallappa Bhimappa Gadadi along with Renuka Ravi Sangayyagol. There is a civil dispute regarding land R. S. No. 110/2 b/1. On 21-05-2026, when the informant and Renuka are on work of flunking the weedicides in the said field, at about 4.00 p.m., all the accused entered the field along with sticks, and carrying stones, one Kumar

Bhimappa Maradi and Gurunath Sangappa Hukkeri asked the informant and Renuka in whose field she is working, the informant and Renuka answered, they are working in the field of Bhimappa Maradi.

3. The accused started abusing the informant and Renuka in vulgarity and by naming their caste, came by holding deadly weapons like stone, sickle, stick and axe in their hands. criminally tress passed into the field and assaulted the wife of informant on her cheek and tried to outrage her modesty and the accused also assaulted landowner and his family members who came to rescue her, with hands, stick and stone on their head, chest and hands and legs and caused simple and grievous bleeding injuries to them and also posed life threat to them. Therefore, a complaint came to be lodged.

4. During the course of investigation these petitioner accused No.10 was arrested and since remanded to judicial custody. Hence this petition is lodged seeking enlargement of Petitioner on bail on the grounds that the Petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence

being falsely involved in the alleged crime. That the alleged offences are not punishable with death penalty or life imprisonment, but on the contrary all the offences are bailable except one attempt to caused homicide.

5. The petitioner is the only earning member in their family and his family members are depending upon his income. The petitioner has deep root in society and do possess properties and hence, there is no chance of petitioner's abscondence. The petitioner has to nurture his ailing farther. An aggravated form of crime is projected so that the accused is harassed.

STATEMENT OF OBJECTIONS BY THE STATE

6. This petition is resisted by the State through the Special Public Prosecutor who has lodged the Statement of objection by reiterating the contents of complaint and further states that the investigation is at nascent stage. The materials documents are yet to be collected and there is every possibility of accused Petitioner being emboldened in the event of being enlarged on bail and may indulge in similar offences. It is further contended that, the accused

may indulge in disturbing peace and tranquility by avenging lodgment of compliant that may result in further offences.

7. The accused may even abscond permanently and cause protraction of prosecution witnesses. The twisted self-serving version has been set out in the petition and the same is denounced by State. It is further contended that accused petitioner being both affluent and influential politically may exert duress on witnesses and there could be adverse impact on the trial.

SAY OF THE INFORMANT:-

8. The statutory notice was caused upon informant and remained absent. However, the informant appeared through his Advocate has lodged Statement of objections reiterating allegations as in compliant and repetition of grounds raised by prosecution.

9. In this backdrop the point that merits the consideration of this court is that :-

Whether the petition lodged by the accused under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarika

*Suraksha Sanhita deserves to be allowed? And
What should be the Order?*

10. I have perused the petition. statement of objections placed before me. Considering all these materials, I proceed to determine the point for consideration based on the following reasons:

REASONS

11. At the outset it is evident that two rival claimants of an agricultural land have been acting like feudal Lords and employing poor labours to scramble over and snatching possession from each other to the accused Petitioner are poor daily wage earners are employed for their objects to be achieved.

12. Though labours should desist from involving themselves into such activities it is these landlords who use money to fuel these persons reeling under poverty and ignite caste factors and unleash them into warring factions. Thus, though there is prima-facie case, the instrumental accused are the landlords.

13. The rejection of this petition would neither serve any purpose as the interrogation that is required to be directed against landlord and not against the poor labours.

14. Further mere detention, if arrested by rejecting this application, fill the prison without any purpose and neither these accused are able to influence the investigation.

15. The petitioner is the local resident and anchored with poverty to be local resident, where he cannot flee and thus securing the accused petitioner is not impossibility. The imposition of conditions will suffice requirement under the Law.

16. Hence holding the point for consideration in the affirmative I proceed to pass the following order :-

ORDER

I The petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarika Suraksha Sanhita lodged by the Tenth accused in Crime No. 209 of 2026, registered by the respondent police for offence punishable under Section 189[2], Section 191[2], Section 191(3), Section 74,

Section 115[2], Section 118[1], Section 118[2] read with Section 190 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and under Section 3[1][r], Section 3[1][s], Section 3[2][v], Section 3[2][v-a] of Scheduled Castes and Schedule Tribes [Prevention of Atrocity] Act, stands allowed subject to compliance of following conditions:-

i. the petitioner shall execute Personal Bond for Sum of Rs. 25,000/= .

ii. Furnish one surety by the accused for the like sum.

- II. The accused Petitioner shall not tamper with any evidence that is in the knowledge of the accused Petitioner that it shall be used against him or that may be discovered at any point of time in by further investigation.
- III. Accused shall appear before the Investigation Officer on every 2nd and 4th Sunday until lodgment of Final Report.
- IV. The attendance book shall be produced before this Court on every Tuesday for scrutiny.
- V. Any violation, the prosecution is at liberty to move this Court for cancellation of bail.

VI. The accused Petitioner shall not induce or influence any persons who has been examined or may be examined by the prosecution or any person who knows the facts of this case and thereby induce or dissuade such person or persons from disclosing the facts about this case to any public servant or investigation officer or to the court in trial that may ensue.

VII. The accused Petitioner shall attend the court and proceedings on all dates of hearing without fail.

[Dictated to stenographer Grade I directly typed on computer, script corrected and signed and pronounced by me in the open court on this the 18th day of June 2026]

SAVITHA KUMARI. N
III Additional Sessions Judge,
The Exclusive Special Court constituted.
for offence triable under Scheduled Castes &
Scheduled Tribes [Prevention of Atrocities] Act.
Belagavi.