



**IN THE COURT OF THE II ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
JUDGE, BELAGAVI AT:BELAGAVI**

PRESENT

**SRI. GANGADHARA.K.N., B.A.,LLM.,
II ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, BELAGAVI**

Dated this the 23rd day of June, 2026

Crl.Misc.No.799/2026

Mr. Siyan @ Sihaan S/o. Saifulla Jatti,
Age: 19 years, Occ: Student,
R/o: House No.42, 5th Cross,
Azam Nagar, Belagavi.

..Petr/Accused

(By Shri S.G.K, Advocate)

The State of Karnataka,
Rep. by Public Prosecutor, Belagavi
Through APMC P.S, Belagavi.

..Respondent

ORDER

The accused in Belagavi APMC Police Station crime no.88/2026 registered for the offence punishable under section 108 of the BNS has filed the application under Section 483 of BNSS by seeking regular bail.

2. **Brief facts of the prosecution case is as under:**

The petitioner's contention is that the father of the deceased lodged the complaint on 15.05.2026 alleging that his deceased daughter's marriage was held with one Shaharuk of Teradal village on 27.5.2023. Due to their strained relationship, they have got divorce and she joined her parental home. Later her daughter and the accused were in love and she used to reporting that accused was the cause for taking divorce from her husband. On 15.5.2026 at 8.30 p.m. he was out of his personal work, but he got a call from his sister-in-law stating that she got a video call message from the complainant's daughter, where she has stated that it is the accused in this case is the person who deceived her by stating that he is in love with her, he is ready to marry her, but now he is roaming with some other girl. By doing so he used her for time pass. Thus, got disappointed from his act, thus she decided to commit suicide, for which except the petitioner in this case her family members have no role in her death. For which after watching the video, he came to home found in the kitchen his daughter has hanged herself. Thus, he sought action against the present petitioner herein.

The APMC police by receiving the said case have got registered the complaint for the above said offences.

3. Now the petitioner contention is that he is innocent of the offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. There is no truth in the complaint of the father of the complainant. But he is now aged about 15 years in 2023, he was minor, it cannot be imagine that at the age of 16 years, he made the decision to get divorce from her husband and moreover according to the complainant himself shifted his residence nearby the house of the accused in this case just recently. Thus, there is no bona fide case in this case. There is no genuine case made over by the complainant and he is a student and since from the date of first remand, he is in judicial custody. If his further incarceration is continued, that causes irreparable injury and hardship. Thus, prays to grant the regular bail as sought in the petition.

4. Learned P.P. filed objection opposing the application on the ground that the offence is heinous in nature. There is a dying inspiration of the deceased who led the video before

committing suicide. There is a prima-facie evidence to show the involvement of the accused who abetted the deceased to commit suicide. In this case investigation is under progress. If he is released on bail, he may likely to remained abscond and he may going to cause threat or intimidation to the prosecution witnesses. Thus, prays to dismiss the application.

5. In this case the original complainant is also appeared got appointed his counsel filed his objection opposing the petition on the ground that there are evidence to show the petitioner herein committed the heinous offence to abetting his daughter to commit suicide. If he is released on bail, that causes irreparable injury and hardship to the prosecution case. The offence is heinous in nature, which is punishable up to 10 years and remaining objections are in the line of the objection filed by the learned PP.

6. Heard the arguments of Learned counsel for the petitioner and also Learned PP and also defacto complainant.

7. Now the following point will arise for my consideration.

Does the petitioner is entitled for the regular bail as sought in the petition?

8. My findings to the above noted points are in the Affirmative for the following;

REASONS

9. **Point No.1**: Of course, if the complaint averments are perused, it appears the petitioner herein by having intimated relationship with the deceased by promising to marry her manage to had the appears to be used to meet his pleasure, but thereafter it appears to be neglected and started to roaming with some one which might have result the deceased to take extreme steps to terminate her life which is a serious one. But in this case the victim was aged about 21 years. She had the break up in her life. She had better expose to the life. She should have handled her life in a better manner. However that is not the ground to defend the petitioner herein. Even the act of the petitioner cannot be viewed very leniently. But in this case the petitioner is just 19 years. He is completed his II PUC. He is in judicial custody for more than 30 days. If his further incarceration continues, that causes irreparable injury and

hardship. Moreover, there is nothing to be recovered from the side of the petitioner and moreover it is the accused is cause for her death. It means to say, there is an already evidence in the hand of prosecution, same might have been collected by the same and most of the investigation might have been completed. In this case, if his further incarceration is continued, that will ruin his life career. Though the offences cognizable, but which is not punishable with death or imprisonment for life exclusively. Of course, it is too difficult to console the parents of the deceased as her death cannot be restored and pain cannot be cured. But on that ground alone the petitioner cannot be continued to be kept in jail for indefinite period. Thus, this court felt by imposing condition, if the petitioner is admitted on regular bail, that will meet the ends of justice. Thus, answer the point in affirmative.

10. In the result, I pass the following:

ORDER

The Petition filed by the petitioner under section 483 of BNSS is hereby allowed.

The petitioner/accused in APMC PS, Belagavi in crime No.88/2026 registered for the offence punishable under section 108 of the BNS is enlarged on regular bail with condition to execute the bail bond for an amount of Rs.1,00,000/- with likesum two sureties on the following conditions.

- 1) The petitioner shall not involve in any other criminal activities.
- 2) He shall not cause threat or intimidation to the prosecution witnesses.
- 3) He shall mark the attendance before the IO on every Sunday between 10 a.m. to 5.30 p.m. till filing of charge-sheet or for the period of 2 months, whichever is earlier.
- 4) He shall appear before the committal court and also trial court in the event if the IO found there are materials to connect the petitioner into the alleged offences and filed the charge-sheet.

(Dictated to the Stenographer Gr.III, transcribed and typed by him, corrected by me, then pronounced in the open Court, on this 23rd day of June, 2026)

(GANGADHARA.K.N.)
II Addl. Dist Judge, Belagavi.