

Present: Ld. APP for the State.
Accused Surjeet Tandi in custody, represented by Sh. Pawan Kumar Shehrawat, Advocate.

Accused named above produced in custody. 14 days judicial remand sought. Bail application moved on behalf of accused through his counsel. Notice of same given to state. Reply not filed. While arguing, Ld. counsel for accused submitted that his client is innocent and has been falsely implicated in present case. He further submitted that no investigation is pending against him. Lastly, he submitted that his client would abide by all the terms and conditions of bail. Per contra, Ld. APP for the State opposed the bail to accused. Heard. Record Perused. Keeping in view the facts of case, submissions of counsel for parties, this Court is of the opinion that no purpose would be served by keeping the accused in custody pending trial. Hence, accused is admitted to bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 30,000/- with one surety in the like amount with the condition that the accused shall not commit any similar criminal offence if released from custody on this bail order. In case, he committed any similar criminal offence, the prosecution is at liberty to get cancel his bail order.. Requisite bail bond and surety bond furnished. Accepted and attested. Accused be released from custody, if not required in any other case. Now, case is adjourned to **28.09.2026 for awaiting of challan.**

Date of Order: 17.08.2026
Joginder Kumar, SG-II

(Rajeev)
Chief Judicial Magistrate/Hisar
UID No.HR00351