

**IN THE COURT OF IV ADDL. DIST & SESSIONS JUDGE
and ADDL. MACT, BELAGAVI, AT : BELAGAVI.**

**MVC No. 371/2023
P.W.No.07**

**Name : Dr. Siddappa Rachappa
Angadi**

Father / Husband's name : Rachappa Angadi

Age : 81 yrs

occupation : Orthopedic Surgeon

Residents : Belagavi

Duly sworn on: 28-01-2026

**Chief examination by: Counsel Sri. P.S.J advocate for
petitioner:**

I have filed my affidavit for examination-in-chief which bears my signature and the contents of the same is true and correct. Now I see the disability certificate issued by me to the **petitioner by name Balaraj (MVC 371/2023)**, the same is already marked as **Ex.P-62** and witness signature is marked as **Ex.P-62(a)**. Further, Now I see the disability certificate issued by me to the **petitioner by name Shreyas Yallappa Dhulam (MVC 416/2023)**, the same is already marked as **Ex.P-66** and witness signature

is marked as **Ex.P-66(a)**. Further, Now I see the disability certificate issued by me to the **petitioner by name Priyanka Yallappa Dhulam (MVC 418/2023)**, the same is already marked as **Ex.P-70** and witness signature is marked as **Ex.P-70(a)**.

Cross Examination by : Counsel for Respondent No.2

Sri. MDT:

2. It is true to suggest that I have not treated petitioner **Balaraj**. It is true to suggest that on the request made by petitioner I have issued disability certificate as per Ex.P62. It is true to suggest that I have issued disability certificate to petitioner and later he has not come for any followup treatment before me. I have inquired petitioner why treated doctor has not issued disability certificate for which petitioner told said doctor resides at Solapur Maharashtra and he was unable to attend the Court.

3. It is false to suggest that since petitioner had not disability treated doctor had not issued disability certificate to him. It is false to suggest that treated doctor is the best person to issue disability certificate and give evidence in

that regard.

4. Before issuing disability certificate I have gone through discharge card of Ashwini Rural Medical Collage Solapur Maharashtra.

5. It takes around 3 to 4 months to heal fracture in the upper limb and around 5 to 6 months to heal fracture in the lower limb. It is true to suggest that I have issued Ex.P62 after 4 years of accident. The said fractures of petitioner were united with malunion.

6. Implants were inserted for both the fracture to the petitioner. It is true to suggest that implants are inserted in order to unite the fracture. It is true to suggest that implants were present while issuing disability certificate to petitioner. It is false to suggest that the fracture of petitioner are united completely and I am deposing falsely that it is united with malunion.

7. It is false to suggest that petitioner has restriction to moments due to implants only. It is false to suggest that disability can be assessed only after removal of implants. I have assess the disability based on the Government of

India Notification but I have not furnished the said calculation. I have no hurdle to furnish the same.

8. It is false to suggest that I have assess the disability without proper calculation on assumption. It is false to suggest that if the assessment of disability is made on the basis of Government Gezettee notification the disability would be around 8 to 10 %. It is false to suggest that I have issued a false disability certificate so as to enable the petitioner to get maximum compensation.

9. It is true to suggest that I have not treated petitioner **Shreyas**. It is true to suggest that on the request made by petitioner I have issued disability certificate as per **Ex.P.66**. It is true to suggest that I have issued disability certificate to petitioner and later he has not come for any followup treatment before me. I have inquired petitioner why treated doctor has not issued disability certificate for which petitioner told said doctor resides at Solapur Maharashtra and he was unable to attend the Court.

10. It is false to suggest that since petitioner had not disability treated doctor had not issued disability certificate

to him. It is false to suggest that treated doctor is the best person to issue disability certificate and give evidence in that regard.

11. Before issuing disability certificate I have gone through discharge card of Ashwini Rural Medical Collage Solapur Maharashtra.

12. It takes around 3 to 4 months to heal fracture in the upper limb. It is true to suggest that I have issued **Ex.P.66** after 4 years of accident. The said fractures of petitioner were united with malunion.

13. It is true to suggest that petitioner was treated on conservative treatment and there were no implats inserted. It is false to suggest that since the fracture sustained by Shreyas was hairline fracture he was treated conservatively. It is false to suggest that the fracture of petitioner are united completely and I am deposing falsely that it is united with malunion.

14. It is false to suggest that petitioner does not have restriction to moments. I have assess the disability based on the Government of India Notification but I have not

furnished the said calculation. I have no hurdle to furnish the same.

15. It is false to suggest that I have assess the disability without proper calculation on assumption. It is false to suggest that if the assessment of disability is made on the basis of Government Gezettee notification the disability would be around 5 to 6 %. It is false to suggest that I have issued a false disability certificate so as to enable the petitioner to get maximum compensation.

16. It is true to suggest that I have not treated petitioner **Priyanka**. It is true to suggest that on the request made by petitioner I have issued disability certificate as per **Ex.P.70**. It is true to suggest that I have issued disability certificate to petitioner and later he has not come for any followup treatment before me. I have inquired petitioner why treated doctor has not issued disability certificate for which petitioner told said doctor resides at Solapur Maharashtra and he was unable to attend the Court.

17. It is false to suggest that since petitioner had not disability treated doctor had not issued disability certificate

to him. It is false to suggest that treated doctor is the best person to issue disability certificate and give evidence in that regard.

18. Before issuing disability certificate I have gone through discharge card of Ashwini Rural Medical Collage Solapur Maharashtra.

19. It takes around 3 to 4 months to heal fracture in the upper limb and around 5 to 6 months to heal fracture in the lower limb. It is true to suggest that I have issued **Ex.P.70** after 4 years of accident. The said fractures of petitioner were united with malunion.

20. Implants were inserted for both the fracture to the petitioner. It is true to suggest that implants are inserted in order to unite the fracture. It is true to suggest that implants were present while issuing disability certificate to petitioner. It is false to suggest that the fracture of petitioner are united completely and I am deposing falsely that it is united with malunion.

21. It is false to suggest that petitioner has restriction to moments due to implants only. It is false to suggest that

disability can be assessed only after removal of implants. I have assess the disability based on the Government of India Notification but I have not furnished the said calculation. I have no hurdle to furnish the same.

22. It is false to suggest that I have assess the disability without proper calculation on assumption. It is false to suggest that if the assessment of disability is made on the basis of Government Gezettee notification the disability would be around 8 to 10 %. It is false to suggest that I have issued a false disability certificate so as to enable the petitioner to get maximum compensation.

Re-examination: NIL.

**(Dictated by me, typed by the typist in the open court
as per the statement of the witness)**

R.O.&F.C

IV A.D.J., & Addl. MACT, Belagavi.