

Order No.21

Dated. 05.07.2024

^ Ld. Advocates for the contesting parties are present.

I have already heard the petition for condonation of delay, but today an application is filed on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 (a), 1 (b) and 2, in which it is stated that Ld. Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 1 (a), 1 (b) and 2 intends to file written objection against the condonation petition.

Perused the record and the petition.

It is submitted by the Ld. Advocate for the Petitioner that the petition may be allowed as his side has not adduce any evidence and if the other side is given a chance to file the written objection on this day when the case is fixed for passing order, he will have the liberty to adduce evidence.

From the submission of the Ld. Advocate for the Petitioner, it appears to me that he intends to adduce evidence, but the same was not done because of the fact that no written objection was filed by the other side and accordingly, if the other side is given the chance to file the written objection on this day when the case is ready for passing order, definitely the Petitioner will have to be given the liberty to adduce evidence.

Hence, the adjournment petition is allowed on condition that the Petitioner will have the liberty to adduce evidence, if the written objection is filed.

Accordingly, fix 10-09-2024 for filing the written objections against both the petitions by the contesting Respondents.

Dictated and corrected by me
in the system

J.C.J(Sr.Dvn.)
Port Blair

Joint Civil Judge (Sr.Divn)
Port Blair