

271 State Versus Jasvir Kaur and another

Present: Shri Sonu Bansal, APP for the State
Accused Jasvir Kaur on bail with counsel.
Accused Lovepreet Singh on bail with counsel Shri Padam
Paul Advocate.

PW-3 present and examined. Date requested. The perusal of file reveals that charge in this case was framed on 30.01.2019 and thereafter, prosecution has availed sufficient opportunities to conclude its evidence but failed to conclude the same. Hence, I do not find any justification to adjourn the case again and again for the same purpose. As such evidence of prosecution is closed by order.

Statements of the accused under Section 313 Cr.P.C have been recorded. Accused did not opt to lead any evidence in their defence.

Arguments heard and concluded.

Vide my separate judgment of even date, accused have been acquitted of the charge framed against them. Their bail bonds and surety bonds stands discharged. Case property, if any be dealt with as per rules, after the expiry of period of appeal or revision if any. File be consigned to the Record Room.

Pronounced in open Court

Dt:21.12.2022

Rakesh Kumar

G.S.Sekhon
Chief Judicial Magistrate
Sangrur.
Unique Identification No.PB0287
Stenographer Grade-II