

WITNESS RE CALLED AS PER ORDER DTD.13.02.2026 AND DULY SWORN ON 16.02.2026.

FURTHER EXAMINATION IN CHIEF BY SMT.B.V., ADVOCATE FOR THE PLAINTIFF:-

I pray to mark the following documents produced by me in support of my contentions:-

Ex.P.45	C/C of board resolution
Ex.P.46	C/C of order in AOR No.1/2013

CROSS EXAMINATION BY SRI.P.B.V., ADVOCATE FOR THE DEFENDANT:-

1. I am Director of the Plaintiff company since 2016. Before 2016 I was Director in sister concern of Plaintiff company. I have knowledge about the sale transaction between plaintiff and Defendant in the year 2006. It is true to suggest that, I do not have personal knowledge about the sale transaction in the year 2006 and I am deposing evidence on the basis of documentary evidence.

2. I need to check the document as whether the Defendants are party to the proceedings OA No.451/1995, O.S.255/1995 and OA No.1322/1995. I need to check the documents pertaining to OA No.451/1995, O.S.255/1995 and OA No.1322/1995 are produced before the court. My Vendor's Son has filed a memo in DRT at DCP No.1201 OA 257/1995 as per Ex.P.41. I need to check the documents if I gone through the said Ex.P.41.

3. It is true to suggest that, Plaintiff has filed application U/O 1 R 10 of CPC before the DRT in AOR No.1/2013. It is true to

suggest that, that application was rejected by the DRT. We have challenged the said order before the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka. I need to check the said documents of appeal has produced before the court.

4. It is false to suggest that, all the sale proclamation and attachment in respect of schedule property is after 2010, not prior to 2010. It is false to suggest that, to harass the Defendant I have filed this false suit against the Defendant.

RE-EXAMINATION : NIL

(Typed to my dictation in open court.)

R.O.I & A.C.,

sd/-

(VIDYADHAR SHIRAHATTI)

LXXXII ADDL. CITY CIVIL & SESSIONS JUDGE,
BENGALURU.