

**WITNESS CALLED AND DULY SWORN ON 14.03.2024.****FURTHER CROSS EXAMINATION BY SRI.L.D., ADVOCATE FOR THE DEFENDANT:-**

18. It is true to suggest that, the amount relating to MOU dtd.08.08.2008 and 09.09.2009 are paid through banking channels to the defendant. It is true to suggest that, there is no cash transaction between me and defendant. Advocate for defendant confronted 04 photographs, in photographs two persons are appearing, in that one person is defendant, another person resembles to me. Since the witness admitted the photographs, it is collectively marked as **Ex.D.6**.

19. It is false to suggest that, I went to the house of defendant and demanded the 10% kickback to release the project amount from the defendant. It is false to suggest that, In Ex.D.6 photographs showing that, I am receiving the money from the defendant. It is false to suggest that, the defendant also recorded video when I was receiving the money. It is false to suggest that, regarding receiving of 10% kickback money for releasing of project amount, several conversations has taken place between me and defendant. It is false to suggest that, the said conversations also recorded by the defendant. It is false to suggest that, the defendant informed me through phone call as total Rs.3.40Crores has received by me. It is false to suggest that, I have several pressurized defendant for payment of money by stating that, the amount has to pay to higher officials who were residing in Bombay.

20. It is false to suggest that, after the Arbitration Award we have not taken place any development work as stated by me in the Plaint, now the layout is full of grass and bushes. Advocate for defendant confronted some photographs, Witness denied the photographs as these photographs are not pertaining to our layout. It is false to suggest that, even today we have not formed the Park in the layout. It is false to suggest that, Electric poles and wiring's also done by the defendant in the layout. It is true to suggest that, the defendant has done Sewage work. It is false to suggest that, the defendant has planted the plants in the Park and put the Fencing around the Park.

21. It is false to suggest that, though the defendant has completed the work as agreed, I have filed false suit against defendant. It is false to suggest that, the price was not fixed for entire 33 acres 11 guntas, so in order to avoid the payment to defendant, I have filed false suit against him.

**FURTHER CROSS EXAMINATION: DEFERRED AT THE REQUEST OF ADVOCATE FOR DEFENDANT.**

(Typed to my dictation in open court.)

R.O.I & A.C.,

(SUMANGALA S. BASAVANNOUR)  
LXXXII ADDL. CITY CIVIL & SESSIONS JUDGE,  
BENGALURU.