

DATED: 30.08.2025 DW1 PRESENT AND OATH IS ADMINISTERED.

FURTHER CROSS EXAMINATION BY Sri.HSP ADVOCATE FOR PLAINTIFF:

I am owning houses both at Yelahanka, Bengaluru and Rahulapalyam, Ambedkar District, Andhra Pradesh. I have a son by name Dhanaraju. I was doing real estate business from 2006 to 2010. Except agricultural income from my ancestral property, I have no other income since 2010. I have inherited 10.5 acres of paddy field from my father. I am getting 250 bags per year of paddy from the said land. My house is located in No.203, 9th Block, Heritage Estate, Doddabalapur Road, Yelahanka, Bengaluru. Out of 250 bags I would retained 20 bags of paddy and I would sell the rest of the paddy. The price of paddy per bag is approximately Rs.1,300/- to Rs.1,500/-. I am IT assessee. I have shown the income from paddy in my IT returns. I was earning Rs.10,00,000/- per year from my real estate business. My father had 5 sons and 1 daughter. The name of my sister is Smt.Prameela she was given in marriage to

Sri.P.Ramakrishna Raju. He was also calling by name P.R.K.Raju. During the year 2003 – 2004 I was living in a rented house, the house of P.R.K.Raju was in the same building – Heritage Estate. In the year 2019 I purchased a flat in the same building – Heritage Estate. P.R.K.Raju has 2 daughters. I was frequently visiting the house of P.R.K.Raju from 2006 to 2010. It is not true to suggest that, I was residing in Heritage Estate from 2002-2003. It is true to suggest that, Mr.Suresh the husband of the plaintiff was used to visiting the house of Mr.P.R.K.Raju. I was purchasing agricultural lands and also residential sites. I was selling the same for the good appreciation. It is true to suggest that, Mr.P.R.K.Raju was also investing the money in my real estate business. It is true to suggest that, myself and the husband of the plaintiff are owning sites at Singganayakanahalli which are adjacent to each other. It is true to suggest that, both sites had a common compound wall. Witness volunteers that, Mr.P.R.K.Raju had erected the said compound wall. Vamshi Chakravarthi and Vikram are the sons-in-law of Mr.P.R.K.Raju. Now, I have seen a document. This document contain my signature the **said document** is marked as **Ex.P.5** and **my signatures** are marked as **Ex.P.5(a)**

and Ex.P.5(b). It is true to suggest that, I have signed the said document on 03.09.2016. I am not aware of the fact you suggested that, Sri.Ramachandra Verma is also Signatory to the Ex.P.5. I do not remember the fact you suggested that, the husband of the plaintiff was also a party to Ex.P.5. Now, I have seen a document. The signature shown to me is not of mine. Now, I have seen my written statement filed in this case. I have signed in the bottom of each page. My wife died in the year 2020. She was suffering from Cancer since 2013. It is not true to suggest that, she was seriously fell ill in the year 2017. Witness volunteers that she was seriously fell ill in the year 2019. It is not true to suggest that, I am liable to pay Rs.33,00,000/- to the plaintiff. It is not true to suggest that, I was agreed to pay Rs.10,00,000/- within 22.09.2020. It is not true to suggest that I agreed to pay interest @ 24% per annum in the event, I failed to pay Rs.10,00,000/- within 22.09.2020. It is true to suggest that, that I have purchased 9,000 Sq.Ft. of land in Singganayakanahalli. It is not true to suggest that I have paid a consideration of Rs.56,80,000/-. Witness volunteers that I have paid the guidance value. Now, I have shown a note book. The page shown in the book does not

contain my signature and my handwriting. Now, I have seen a **sale deed** wherein I have signed in the bottom of each page. The said document is marked as **Ex.P.6** and **signatures** are marked as **Ex.P.6(a) to Ex.P.6(g)**. Now, I have seen **gift deed** executed by me in favour of my son. This document contain my signatures, the document is marked as **Ex.P.7** and **signatures** are marked as **Ex.P.7(a) to Ex.P.7(h)**. It is true to suggest that in the year 2020 plaintiff, her husband, their son had come to me to collect their property documents. It is not true to suggest that, by that time I have signed upon Ex.P.4. One Mr.Ramakrishna Raju was the employee of P.R.K.Raju. I do not know whether the said P.Ramakrishna Raju has signed upon Ex.P.4 as a witness or not. It is not true to suggest that I have falsely stated in my evidence affidavit that 'there is no business relationship between me and plaintiff and plaintiff never invested the money in the real estate business and as such I and defendant no.2 have not executed any MOU in favour of plaintiff and the signatures in the MOU is also denied, the said MOU is created and fabricated by the plaintiff for the wrongful gain'.

RE-EXAMINATION:- NIL

(Typed to my dictation in the open Court.)

R O I & A C

**(JITHENDRANATH C.S.)
LXXXVI ACC & SJ, BENGALURU.**