

WITNESS RE-CALLED AND DULY SWORN ON 02.12.2025.**FURTHER CROSS EXAMINATION BY SRI. M.V.R., ADVOCATE FOR THE DEFENDANT:-**

6. My firm is filed I.T. returns. I do not remember the said I.T. returns has submitted before this Court. I need to check about that, did I have show the transactions in I.T. returns. I have shown the amount in Rental Advance. I do not have any impediment to produce the I.T. documents pertaining to this transactions before the Court.

7. I need to check whether I have referred Ex.P.5 in I.T. returns. I am able to produce the Bank statement before the Court. In our firm we have maintained the Ledger Account pertaining to this transactions. It is true to suggest that, I do not have difficulty to produce the Ledger Account before the Court.

8. Ex.P.5 Draft was prepared by our Advocate and the Defendant has seen and signed the Ex.P.5. I know that the Defendant is staying in Chennai. I do not remember about the Ex.P.5 sent to the Defendant through e-mail or whatsapp prior to signing it. It is false to suggest that, I had threatened, forced and taken undue advantage of her/ Defendant's situation to execute Ex.P.5.

9. We have not passed resolution to pay Rs.39,00,000/-. Witness volunteers the Partner is my Brother, hence we have discussed only. It is false to suggest that, Rs.39,00,000/- had given Advanced Loan to the Defendant, not the Rental Advance. It is true

to suggest that, the document Ex.P.5 was signed in Bangalore. I do not remember the name of the witness signed in Ex.P.5.

10. The Defendant has insisted NOC to pay our Loan. Counsel for Defendant confronted one photocopy of document, witness admitted the document which is sent to Defendant. Since the witness admitted, document is marked as **Ex.D.1**. It is true to suggest that, the Defendant need Loan, hence we have signed MOU.

11. Due to the project delay and financial loss occurred, hence we have demanding Rs.87,00,000/- against Defendant. I do not remember that, I have produced any documents before the Court that, financial losses occurred due to the project delay.

12. I have not seen the Defendant's leased property under Ex.P.5. I am not aware the photo shown by saying that, said property is leased property. I need to check the name of the employee who was at Chennai. I need to check the person by name Sridhar, who was working in Chennai.

13. Mr.Uday was the Finance head of our firm. I do not know whether Defendant has approached the said Mr.Uday. I do not remember that the Leased property was altered in my vim's and Francis. We have not stated anything about not interested in the project, but the Defendant only caused delay to execute the project. I am not aware that, Metro project was going near the premises.

14. I am not aware about the whatsapp chat showed to me by the counsel for Defendant. It is false to suggest that, I am aware about the entire transactions with Defendant, intentionally I am deposing false evidence. It is false to suggest that, I do not have any rights to claim the double the amount within 02 months from the Defendant. It is false to suggest that, I am demanding to purchase the lease property for Rs.1,10,00,000/- from the Defendant. I have not sent the draft sale agreement to the Defendant.

15. I have royaloakfurnitureindia.com website. We have legal team and project team. It is true to suggest that, on of the legal team head by Mrs.Anandi. I do not remember Mr.Rajesh is the Finance head. I am not aware the printout copy of e-mail copy shown to me. I need to verify that e-mail was sent to legal team. I do not have any impediment to examine the Chennai incharge person who is incharge of the project. It is false to suggest that, I have filed this false suit to wrongful gain against Defendant.

RE-EXAMINATION : NIL.

(Typed to my dictation in open court.)

R.O.I & A.C.,

sd/-

(VIDYADHAR SHIRAHATTI)
LXXXII ADDL. CITY CIVIL & SESSIONS JUDGE,
BENGALURU.