

Witness present and duly sworn on 18.11.2025.

Further cross examination by Sri.LS advocate for the defendant No.2:-

8. Before issuance of quotation by defendant No.1, we had visited place of said defendant. The said place was a residential building. I have not personally enquired about said place. It is true to suggest that till today, we have not produced the Registration certificate of our company under Companies Act. Witness volunteers, it is not necessary. It is false to suggest that our company is an unregistered company. We have no impediment to produce such Registration certificate of our

company. I can produce it on next date.

9. It is false to suggest that as per Ex.P10, nothing is mentioned about my Authorization to conduct this case. Witness volunteers, my name is mentioned in it. It is true to suggest that we have not made any complaint against defendant No.2 in Ex.P8. Witness volunteers, as we have made said complaint against Firm, it was not necessary to make separate complaint against defendant No.2. It is true to suggest that letter of undertaking at page No.88 of Ex.P6 does not bear signature of defendant No.2.

10. Till today, I have not met defendant No.2 and I have never spoken to her. It is false to suggest that we have filed this case in collusion with defendant No.1. I do not know if, there is no nexus between the suit transaction and defendant No.2. It is false to suggest that defendant No.2 is not partner of defendant No.1 Firm and as such, she is not related to suit transaction in any manner. I have verified documents to show that defendant No.2 is partner of defendant No.1 Firm. I have seen GST certificate in that regard. I have not seen partnership deed of defendant No.1 Firm. I do not know if, there is no nexus between suit transaction and defendant No.2. It is false to suggest that we have

unnecessarily impleaded defendant No.2 in this case. It is true to suggest that we have not made defendant No.2 as a party in cheque bounce case. It is false to suggest that I am deposing falsely.

Re-examination:- Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I & A.C.,

(ANAND T. CHAVAN)
LXXXIV Addl. City Civil & Sessions Judge,
(CCH-85) Commercial Court, Bengaluru