

**Witness present and duly sworn on 27.10.2025.**

**Cross examination by Sri.LS advocate for the defendant No.2:-**

4. I have done B.Com and post graduation in ICFAI. I can read and write in English language. I am working in plaintiff company from the time of its establishment i.e. from 2020. My current position in said company is Senior Manager, Finance and Admin. I have given documents in that regard. I have produced Ex.P10 Board Resolution in that regard. Our company is registered under Companies Act. We have not produced registration certificate of our company. There are three Directors in our company. Their names are Deepak M. Nachnani, Nayan G. Jadeja and N.S.Sekhar. It is true to suggest that only two directors are signed Ex.P10 Resolution. Witness volunteers, for Board Resolution signature of two Directors is sufficient. I have not impediment to produce our company registration certificate.

5. I know defendants through business relationship. I have seen defendant by name Sagar. It is false to suggest that I do not know anything about other defendant by name Lakshmi. Witness volunteers that she is also partner of defendant Firm. It is false to suggest

that our company has entered into transaction only with defendant by name Sagar. Witness volunteers, we have entered into transaction with defendant firm. It is true to suggest that Ex.P1 quotation was issued to defendant Sagar. It is true to suggest that it is not issued to other defendant Lakshmi. Witness volunteers, there was no necessity of issuing the same.

6. It is true to suggest that we have sent Ex.P2 notice to defendant by name Sagar as a representative of defendant firm. It is true to suggest that we have not sent such notice to other partner Lakshmi. Witness volunteers, it was not necessary. It is true to suggest that we had filed CC No.30435/2022 before 25<sup>th</sup> ACMM Court. It is false to suggest that only defendant Sagar was party in said case. Witness volunteers, we filed said case against Firm. It is true to suggest that we did not file said case against other partner Lakshmi. Witness states, it was not necessary. In said case, defendant company represented by its partner Sagar was convicted.

7. It is false to suggest that Ex.P12 GST certificate was issued to me by partner No.1 Sagar. Witness volunteers, it is issued by defendant firm. I do not know if, partner by name Sagar has incorporated the name of Partner No.2 Lakshmi by obtaining her signature on false

document. I do not know if, the photo of defendant Lakshmi shown in Ex.P12 belongs to her when she was 25 years old.

**(At this stage, further cross examination of witness is deferred as per request of counsel for defendant No.2)**

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I & A.C.,

**(ANAND T. CHAVAN)**  
**LXXXIV Addl. City Civil & Sessions Judge,**  
**(CCH-85) Commercial Court, Bengaluru**