

**DULY SWORN ON: 15-10-2025**

**CROSS-EXAMINATION BY Sri. MGR THE COUNSEL FOR  
Plaintiff :**

The D1 Society was established in the year 2016-17. Since the establishment of the society I have been the president of the same. Even as one date, I am the president.

2.The D1 society consists of 1200 members. The annual turnover of the society is Rs. 84 lakhs. Now, the affidavit in support of IA No. 7 is shown to witness and witness admits that the seal thereon is the seal of Defendant No. 1 Society and since the said seal is on a court document namely affidavit in support of IA No. 7, the said seal is now marked as **Ex. C1**. If I am asked whether society is using two addresses, I say earlier the society was operating from Jain Temple Road. and since the place was small about three years back, we have shifted rented entire premises in Panduranga Nagar. At present, the society has only one office in Panduranganagar.

3.The name of society as mentioned in Ex. C1 seal and the name of D1 society refer to one and the same society. The vouchers produced along with the written statement pertain to D1 society. (These vouchers have not been marked because they are

photocopies.) It is false to suggest that I have produced the created vouchers along with my written statement. Witness volunteers the photocopies are produced because the originals will be with the bank. It is false to suggest that the authorization letter produced along with written statement is also a created document.

4. I suggest to you that you are associated with Congress party. (Question disallowed because it is irrelevant for the purpose of the present suit. )

5. It is false to suggest that I got acquainted with plaintiff in the year 2018 when I had gone for canvassing for Congress party for MLA election in Kortegere Tumkur. It is false to suggest that at that time I had represented to the plaintiff that I am aspirant for MLA ticket from Congress party for Tumkur Constituency. It is false to suggest that I am aware that plaintiff is doing real estate business. It is false to suggest that at that time, myself and D3 used to visit the office of the plaintiff. Witness volunteers, I am not at all acquainted with Plaintiff . It is false to suggest that in November 2019, I requested the plaintiff to invest Rs. 1.10 crores with the promise of giving good interest. It is false to

suggest that on my representation, plaintiff agreed to invest Rs. 90 lakhs. It is false to suggest that I had assured that I will return the same within 12 months.

6.It is false to suggest that the plaintiff has issued cheque for rupees 10 lakhs in favour of D1 Society on 23/12/2019. If it is suggested to me that reference in Ex. P3 is to defendant No. 1 society I say I do not know. I do not know If it is suggested to me that in Ex. P3 bank statement there is an entry to show that on 9-1-2020, Rs. 80 lakhs was transferred by plaintiff to D1 society. I do not know if it is suggested to me that in Ex. P4, myself, CEO and D3 have signed acknowledging the said payment. I can produce the bank statement of defendant No. 1 society pertaining to the aforestated dates.

7.If it is suggested to me that I have issued cheque as per Ex. P5 for return of the aforestated amount of Rs. 90 lakhs, I say I do not know about it. It is false to suggest that signature on Ex. P5 cheque is my signature.

**At this stage, further cross-examination deferred at request.**

**(Computerized to my dictation in the Open Court as deposed  
by the Witness)**

R.O.I.& A.C,

**(SUDINDRANATH.S.)**  
LXXXIII ADDL. CITY CIVIL & SESSIONS JUDGE,  
BENGALURU.