

**DULY SWORN ON: 21-08-2024**

**Further CROSS-EXAMINATION BY Sri. KBS THE  
COUNSEL FOR Defendant – 1 and 2:**

**25.** If I am asked whether all the invoices raised by us is there in Exhibit P5, I say all invoices are not there, but only those invoices in respect of which payment is not made. According to me, for the invoices produced as Exhibit P5, payment is not received. It is false to suggest that there are duplicates in exhibit P5 which are deliberately produced by us to claim higher amount than that to which we are entitled to. It is true to suggest that the invoices at page 306 and 307 of Exhibit P5 are one and the same invoices.

**26.** It is false to suggest that work order dated 22-02-2014 produced as document 2 along with the plaint is fabricated document and is not enforceable. It is true to suggest that original of said document number 2 is not there with the plaintiff. It is true to suggest that document number 2 consists of work order, general conditions of contract and annexure A. It is true to suggest that the terms of the purported contract dated 22-02-2014 is contained in document number 2 produced along with the plaint which has not been got marked.

At this stage, further cross-examination deferred at request.

**(Computerized to my dictation in the Open Court as  
deposed by the Witness)**

R.O.I. & A.C.,

**(SUDINDRANATH.S.)**  
LXXXIII ADDL. CITY CIVIL & SESSIONS JUDGE,  
BENGALURU.