

**DATED: 26.09.2024 PW.1 PRESENT AND OATH IS ADMINISTERED.**

**FURTHER CROSS EXAMINATION BY ADVOCATE SRI.V.P FOR DEFENDANT:**

16. The defendant was placing the purchase orders either by telephonic message or through his executive visits. The defendants were not placing any written documents for placement of the purchase orders. At the time of placing purchase orders the place and mode of delivery was conveying to our establishment. If the purchase orders is placed, if there is a stock, we were raising invoices and dispatching the goods. Now I have seen Ex.P.40 and we produced this document to the court. Mr.Rajkumar to whom it is referred in paragraph No.1 of Ex.P.10 was the employee of the plaintiff establishment. The said Mr.Rajkumar is not concerned to the Sale Territory pertaining to the this case. In paragraph No.1 of Ex.P.10 there is reference with respect to letter dated 13.01.2020 said to have issued by the defendant to the plaintiff. Personally I am not aware with respect to the said letter, the plaintiff establishment might have received the said letter. Right now I am not unable to say whether there was an enquiry conducted against Mr.Rajkumar on the basis of letter dated 13.01.2020. Now I have gone through the averments made in paragraph No.3 of Ex.P.40. The plaintiff has not received any such blank cheques from the defendant. The accounts maintained by us as per Ex.P.34 is found correct, there is no irregularities in the same. Now I have gone through the table appended to paragraph No.6 of Written Statement. In the said table the particulars are found

correct but the defendant has not made the payments.

(Upon the request of advocate for defendant further cross examination of PW.1 deferred)

(Typed to my dictation in the open Court.)

**R O I & A C**

**(JITHENDRANATH C.S.)  
LXXXVI ACC & SJ, BENGALURU.**