

WITNESS CALLED AND DULY SWORN ON 03.07.2025.**FURTHER CROSS EXAMINATION BY SRI.S.S.S., ADVOCATE FOR THE DEFENDANT:-**

9. It is true to suggest that, Myself and my officers were prepared Invoices on behalf of Plaintiff. I do not have any documents to substantiate para no.6 of Plaint. I have issued Invoice on 1st of the month as per para no.4 of 2nd line of my evidence affidavit. On the basis of calculation Invoice was raised as stated in para no.4 of 5th line of my evidence affidavit. I have not produced any documents to substantiate my version.

10. Plaintiff No.1 and 2 are separate entities. As per the available records in my office after 2018, we have prepared Plaintiff No.1 and 2's Invoices separately. I am not aware about the previous owner of the Suit Schedule Property as per Ex.P.5 and 6. I do not know, there is no agreement entered between both Plaintiffs to demise the Suit Schedule B-Property.

11. I am not aware that, who are witnesses to the terms and conditions of the Rental Agreement with regard to the payment of 19% of net sales. I am not aware that, who are witnesses to the payment of Rs.8,55,680/- as mentioned in para no.4 of my evidence affidavit. I am not aware that, Plaintiff No.1 received the separate payment or cheque from Defendant. I am not aware that, the Plaintiff's Predecessor received payment or cheque from Defendant. The Plaintiff's received the payment separately from Defendant after 2016.

12. The Plaintiff's firm cash basis accounting. I have not informed to the I.T. department as claim and I do not know the Plaintiff's has informed the same. I do not know Shashikanth referring in Ex.P.12. It is false to suggest that, Defendant is no due as mentioned in my affidavit evidence.

RE-EXAMINATION : NIL

(Typed to my dictation in open court.)

R.O.I & A.C.,

sd/-

(VIDYADHAR SHIRAHATTI)
LXXXII ADDL. CITY CIVIL & SESSIONS JUDGE,
BENGALURU.