

WITNESS CALLED AND DULY SWORN ON 09.12.2025.**CROSS EXAMINATION BY SRI.U.N., ADVOCATE FOR THE DEFENDANT:-**

1. I am working as Regional Manager in Plaintiff company since 5 and half years. Based on record, I am deposing my Evidence. V.Ramamurthy is the Incharge officer of Plaintiff company at the time of transactions. The said person was Retired from the service in the year 2023, hence we have impediment to examine him. At the time of filing this suit, V.Ramamurthy was working in the company.

2. It is true to suggest that, the goods supplied from plaintiff company to the Defendants are packed in nature. It is true to suggest that, at the time of delivery Defendant was unable to verify the damaged goods as it is packed in nature. It is true to suggest that, the Defendant has returned the defective goods to the Plaintiff. Witness volunteers the eligible defective goods already replaced by the Plaintiff.

3. We have mentioned the details of amount credited and debited in respect to the defective goods in Ledger Account as produced before the Court. I have to verify my Ledger account as per the suggestions that, defective goods are worth Rs.35,68,336/-. It is false to suggest that, 88 defective goods were returned to the plaintiff by Defendant. Witness volunteers 56 goods were returned. It

is false to suggest that, Rs.2,45,758/- worth goods were returned to the plaintiff. Witness volunteers Rs.1,56,000/- were returned.

4. It is true to suggest that, the plaintiff company is given discount to the Defendant company on MRP. Witness volunteers it varies from scheme to scheme. It is false to suggest that, Defendant has given sales worth Rs.2,96,70,220/- for the period of April – 2019 to March – 2020 to the plaintiff. It is false to suggest that, the Defendant is eligible for discount of Rs.5,66,000/-, but Plaintiff has given only Rs.4,72,386/-. I need to check the account as Defendant has paid Rs.18,350/-, Rs.6,013/-, Rs.8,635/-. Witness volunteers Plaintiff received Rs.1,34,684/- in multiple dates from the Defendant. It is true to suggest that, Rs.1,34,684/- is not considered to claim before this Court.

5. I have claimed Rs.1,24,34,946/- before this Court. Rs.97,68,082/- is the Principal amount and Interest Rs.26,66,864/-. It is true to suggest that, the Defendant is carrying his business to sale the goods through recognized e-commerce portals only. We have recognized many companies for e-commerce portal. Amazon, Flipkart are the recognized e-commerce portal at the time of business and now we have recognized more portals.

6. It is false to suggest that, if any person has given negative review, it will affect the Defendant company. I do not have any knowledge that the Defendant has got negative review in e-commerce portal, which caused bad name in business. I do not know that, due to the plaintiff's defective goods, the Defendant account was de-activated from Amazon portal. I do not know that,

the Defendant has received the e-mail from Amazon about de-activation of account.

RE-EXAMINATION : NIL

(Typed to my dictation in open court.)

R.O.I & A.C.,

sd/-

(VIDYADHAR SHIRAHATTI)

LXXXII ADDL. CITY CIVIL & SESSIONS JUDGE,
BENGALURU.